

To: All Alaska Senators & Representatives
From: Anglican Churches of Alaska

We are writing in SUPPORT of the Gas Pipeline as the way our Governor requested and NOT TAXED before it is OPERATRATIONAL.

We are all over the state, not just on the road system.
We are REAL ALASKAN's and some have been here since the state was formed.

We have been talking about this GAS LINE longer than some in our church, when are going to do something about it.

Blessings to all & God guide each of you to do the thing!

***Fr Randy Hillman* +**
Anglican Churches of Alaska

From: [Doug Woodby](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: Testimony on SB280 amndment H6
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 3:29:52 PM

Chair Giessel and Committee members:

I am concerned with Amendment H6 to SB280, proposed by Senator Rauscher and passed today (May 13, morning session). The amendment has language that goes well beyond a reduction in the per mile community impact fees. Specifically, the amendment states that payment of impact fees wouldn't happen until "one year after the commencement of commercial operations of a gas pipeline." Is that what the committee wants? This would be too late, well after communities would need the funds for impacts that will start happening when the project starts.

Also, the amendment has a lot of weasel language in the final sentence: "The department may allow deferral or modification of the payment schedule if necessary to ensure economic viability of the project."

Thank you,

Doug Woodby

From: [Jeff Whipple](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: SB280
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 3:13:37 PM

Senators,

Please stop the deliberate attempt to silence the people who still believe Alaska's future should include development, jobs, affordable energy, and economic growth. This is our kids future you are jeopardizing. Modify legislation to incentivize the development of the Alaska LNG Project.

Thank you

Jeff Whipple
441 Keeling Road
North Pole, Alaska 99705
(H) 907-490-9099
(C) 907-590-5915
Email: riverrat@alaska.net

From: [The Alaska Farmer](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: Gas Line
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 1:34:36 PM

My name is Andy Harper and I am resident of Two Rivers Alaska. I have family of 7 and a farmer and full time mechanic. Heating costs are through the roof and I asked citizen want you to put me as Alaska resident first not your government greediness for the short term money. I want the gas line put in. Alaska needs it and my family and farm need it.

Thank you,

Andy Harper

From: [Dave Norton](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Cc: [Chuck Heath](#)
Subject: SB 280 H
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 1:26:07 PM
Attachments: [img-be62989f-10ab-47b1-8f91-c40075ec1e69.png](#)

I attended today's hearing and registered to speak but the hearing was truncated before the announced 11am conclusion and I was not afforded 2 minutes to speak. Here is my testimony as a private citizen:

I arrived in Alaska in 1974 to work as an engineer on TAPS construction, planning to stay for only a few years. Ultimately, I have stayed for over 50 years and raised a family and built a business on Alaska's resources.

I have been a commissioner on the AOGCC appointed by Gov Knowles, was engineering manager for the AGDC ASAP project, manager of engineering for TAPS, and consultant on several pipeline and infrastructure operations, among other boards and projects.

The hearing today indicated to me that care is being taken by the Committee to craft legislation that will afford Alaskans maximum return on the value of its gas resource when extracted. Thank you.

However, some of the late-session discussion appeared to me to be over distinctions without a difference. The concept that 'the perfect is the enemy of the good' seems at play here.

The legislature and the executive should conclude the debate as soon as possible. Glenfarne should have this clarity of the rent and taking of Alaska's share so that it can make a go or no-go Final Investment Decision on the largest privately financed project since TAPS. Please do not delay.



Dave Norton, P.E.

Senior Advisor

3201 C Street, Suite 406 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

office (907) 278-1877 cell (907) 229 4457 hawkpros.com

From: [Bonnie Kulzer](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: SB280 GAS LINE BILL
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 1:08:53 PM

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,
I THINK WE SHOULD PASS THIS BILL BECAUSE IT WOULD BRING JOBS TO
ALASKA. WE NEED TO GET THE ECONOMY GOING IN ALASKA TO MAKE
ALASKA
GREAT AGAIN. ALASKA NEEDS TO INVITE BUSINESS TO ALASKA.
ALASKA NEEDS TO BE MOTIVATED TO BUILDING PROJECTS TO MAKE ALASKA
A PLACE THAT PEOPLE CAN RAISE FAMILIES.

From: [Rick Williams](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: SB 280
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 10:10:29 AM

“Mr. Chairman, members of the committee,

Building the Alaska gas line, starting with Phase 1, represents a tremendous opportunity for our state. According to Wood Mackenzie’s independent analysis, the in-state pipeline would deliver roughly **\$10 billion** in additional economic benefits to Alaska, create over **2,200 construction jobs** and more than **1,100 permanent operations jobs**, while generating significant long-term state revenue through royalties and taxes.

For small businesses across Alaska, the biggest win is **reliable, lower-cost energy**. Reduced heating and operating costs would improve margins for restaurants, manufacturers, greenhouses, and service providers—freeing up money to hire, invest, and grow right here at home.

This project can provide energy security and economic strength for generations. I urge your support for moving it forward with strong local hire and Alaska business priorities.

Thank you.”
Rick Williams.

From: [Libby Dalton](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Cc: [Libby Dalton](#)
Subject: Kill SB 280 version H
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 9:32:44 AM

The Democrats are pushing for an income tax on pass through entities in Alaska. Luckily their bills have been stalled in committees. Now they have transferred their tax on entities objectives to SB 280 completely transforming it from a bill intended to attract investment to a bill intended to put more money in the State coffers.

We need to build the AK LNG pipeline. We need to support the proposed investment plan by Glenfarne. And we need to support private business and residents. I'm against this amendment.

Libby Dalton Slane
HD 35 Fairbanks

From: [Michael Hibpshman](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: Please Oppose Harmful Changes to SB 280
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 9:21:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Senator/Representative,

I am writing to express my strong support for reasonable property tax reform for the Alaska LNG project and my concerns regarding the Senate Resources Committee Substitute for SB 280.

First, it is important to recognize that the proposed Alaska LNG property tax reform is not a subsidy. A subsidy involves government spending, financial assistance, or transferring taxpayer money to a private company. This proposal does none of those things. There are no cash payments, grants, tax credits, or reimbursements being provided to Glenfarne or Alaska LNG. The State is not spending money. The reform simply adjusts an uncompetitive tax structure that currently prevents the project from moving forward.

Alaska's infrastructure property tax burden is an extreme outlier compared to competing LNG jurisdictions such as Texas, Louisiana, British Columbia, and major international LNG producers. Current taxes are estimated to be 10–12 times higher than comparable projects elsewhere. This reform does not create preferential treatment or reduce taxes below normal market standards. It simply brings Alaska closer to a competitive baseline so investment can occur here instead of elsewhere.

Most importantly, this reform increases public revenue instead of reducing it. Without reform, the Alaska LNG project is unlikely to be financeable and likely will not be built. That means zero new revenue for the State and local governments. With a reasonable tax structure in place, the project is projected to generate more than \$26 billion in new State and local revenues over 30 years while also providing billions more in economic activity and jobs.

Even under the proposed reform, Alaska LNG will still pay substantial taxes across the state, including State property taxes and Natural Gas Pipeline Alternative Tax (NGPAT). Local governments will continue receiving billions in revenue from these taxes across the boroughs where infrastructure is located. This is broad tax participation, not a tax exemption.

This project is also critical to Alaska's long-term energy security. Cook Inlet natural gas supplies are declining, and Alaska urgently needs a reliable, affordable long-term energy solution. If Alaska LNG does not move forward, what is the alternative plan to provide

affordable and reliable energy for Alaskans? Long-term LNG imports would lock Alaska into significantly higher energy costs for decades while sending economic benefits, jobs, and tax revenues outside the state.

A private company is willing to invest billions of dollars upfront to build this infrastructure. Yes, the company expects to eventually make a profit — that is how private investment works. The State is not being asked to spend taxpayer money. Attempting to micromanage private investment sends the wrong message and discourages companies from investing in Alaska.

I am also concerned that the Senate Resources Committee Substitute imposes additional costs, taxes, and state controls on Alaska LNG pricing while leaving imported LNG and Cook Inlet gas unregulated. This creates an uneven policy framework that unfairly targets Alaska LNG and discourages investment. By adding additional costs and taxes through the Committee Substitute, the State risks raising the eventual cost of gas to consumers instead of lowering it.

The Department of Revenue has clearly done significant work to develop a reasonable tax structure that balances competitiveness with public benefit. I encourage you to trust that work and support practical tax reform that allows this project to move forward for the benefit of Alaska's economy, energy security, workers, and communities.

I believe the House Resources Committee Substitute for HB 381 represents a more reasonable approach and should be seriously considered.

Please support a fair and competitive tax structure for Alaska LNG and oppose provisions that make this critical project more difficult to finance and build.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Hibpshman

Michael Hibpshman | Sr. Ops Manager, Fuel | **Inlet Energy**
mobile: 907.223.6941 | michael.hibpshman@inletenergy.com
1848 Ship Avenue | Anchorage, AK 99501 | www.inletenergy.com



This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.
For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>

From: [Community Council](#)
To: [Senate Resources](#)
Subject: SB280 Oil and Gas Tax
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 8:38:57 AM

Senate Resources Committee,

First, I think it's very underhanded to change the testimony time to 0900 from the original afternoon public testimony. This is a very important issue to the real conservatives in Alaska. I am speaking for myself but assure you my family agree, but they will not be able to participate in testimony they agree that the state of Alaska needs the LNG project. There seems to be an effort to tax it out of existence. Alaska needs to develop our resources, it's not only good for Alaska but it's good for America, this committee and others should be planning for the long game, not an immediate money grab because some in the house and senate don't know what a balanced budget looks like, it appears to many that you are looking for a way to get more revenue to mis spend. As proposed it is unlikely we will have an LNG. Please do the right thing for Alaska and our future. Our children/grandchildren may not stay here if they can't make a living we need affordable energy. I can't even keep up on the right version of the bill that was reasonable but it's not the current one, please don't kill this project by over taxing. Most of us don't have the luxury to watch all of the hearings but it was very clear that what went on yesterday was an attempt to shut down this LNG project.

Michelle Dempsey
Palmer Alaska