

Calvin Zuelow

From: Chuck Casper <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 9:29 AM
To: House Resources; Senate Resources
Subject: CS SB280G/CS HB381G Support

At first I was skeptical of the Alternative Volumetric Tax;now I support it.
CS SB280G and CS HB381G are on the right track, but need to find the right balance.

DOR sensitivity analysis of LNG of breakeven price is helpful (4-27-26 CS SB280G).

The status quo without AVT is too high.

The AVT in the original bill is too low.

Split the difference between those two LNG break even prices,
and back-calculate to find the more optimal AVT rate (\$0.xx/MCF).

PS: To improve investor confidence, pass the tax bill with unanimous support in both chambers.

Thanks!

Chuck Casper
[REDACTED]

Calvin Zuelow

From: Harriette Klann <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 1:57 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: HB 381

Sent from my iPhone

Please pass HB 381. Alaska politics has been promising a gas line for 50 years. This bill is fair to both sides. Pass it.
Thank you.

Calvin Zuelow

From: Joshua Knicely <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 2:05 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: Public Comment on House Bill 381

Hello,

I am writing to publicly comment on House Bill 381, which would give tax breaks to AK LNG developers. I ask that you all vote no on House Bill 381.

Alaska is having major tax revenue issues. We don't even have the tax revenue to keep our schools open, but we're supposed to have enough to give yet more tax breaks to rich oil and gas companies?

<https://www.alaskanewssource.com/2026/02/25/anchorage-school-board-votes-close-multiple-schools-overhaul/>

<https://www.webcenterfairbanks.com/2025/02/05/3-schools-fairbanks-north-star-borough-set-closure/>

Worse, these developers haven't even demonstrated a need for these subsidies. How are we supposed to believe that they need these subsidies when they won't even provide a full estimate of project costs?

And on top of that, the AK LNG project will never be financially viable. There are over a dozen major LNG projects around the world under construction already. Those will finish long before we can even start and flood the market with LNG. What happens when a market is suddenly flooded? Prices drop. The current projections for AK LNG - which already say it's not financially viable - don't even include this.

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/michaellynch/2025/07/25/the-risks-around-the-alaskan-lng-project/>

<https://www.lngindustry.com/liquid-natural-gas/23122021/nine-noteworthy-lng-projects-by-2027/>

Investing in AK LNG is idiotic and irresponsible. Please vote no on House Bill 381.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Dr. Knicely

Calvin Zuelow

From: Audrey Mauer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 1:46 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: HB381 & SB280 Alaska LNG Project

Hello,

I am writing to declare my strong support of the LNG project, HB381 being heard today and SB280 tomorrow!!
Make this happen and don't delay!

We need this resource to further our state economy, access to affordable energy, and more jobs!

Respectfully,

Audrey Mauer
North Pole, Alaska

Calvin Zuelow

From: Judy Miller [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 12:11 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: HB 381 gas and oil taxes

Dear members of the Resource Committee;

I am writing to reject Bill HB381, the oil, gas, and property tax bill.

This will create a situation that will negatively affect the LNG project and will not move forward. Without Alaska receiving and building the LNG, Alaska as a whole and its citizens will fail, and people will leave due to the current high energy costs.

This is not the right time to raise taxes.

Judy Miller

Citizen of Alaska

[REDACTED] Fairbanks, AK 99701

From: Paul Seaton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2026 8:21 AM
To: House Resources
Subject: Public testimony for HB381

Thank you for the opportunity to testify:

'Take or Pay' contracts are the basis for financing a large natural gas pipeline and facilities. However, the potential liabilities seem to have been ignored in the presentations for AKLNG. That is a large buyer guarantees to buy a certain amount of gas for a long term - say 20 years - and that secure revenue stream provides the basis for loans to build the facility. If the Buyer does not want to take that much gas, say after 10 years, they still must pay the seller under the contract. The reverse is also the case. If the seller cannot supply the gas because of a breakdown, delay, or most anything except war, the seller must procure gas from others and get it to the buyer under the contract terms. This aligns with the buyer's contracts for the long term resale of that gas with other individual utilities etc. Generally a 20 year deal is at a fixed lower price, so the project bears responsibility of paying higher "spot" price yet delivering it to the buyer at the lower contract price. That is why Big Producers will not generally do a massive project unless they control the entire project instead of relying on an intermediary. The liability is just too much risk. They will 'participate' by agreeing to sell gas on certain terms and conditions to an intermediary because they do not have much 'take or pay risk' as they are simply using producing wells and delivering gas to the intermediary. However, the intermediary project will have the responsibility to take or pay the producer who has used that revenue stream as the basis for building complex oil, gas, and CO2 facilities. Who is the intermediary with both the upstream purchase and downstream sale risks? Is it AKLNG, AGDC, Glenfarne, or 8-Star

LLC, or potentially the State? Other than a statement that the State is not financially liable for AGDC, we do NOT know the answer. The money could be so huge that a court might apportion liability after bankruptcies. Remember LLC stands for Limited Liability Corporation and Glenfarne is a Sub-S corp without deep pockets. Presentations have said the State could have an additional 5-25% equity position. Would that make us "deep pockets" for contract liability? These questions need to be answered before support for the project is given. The State should not consider supporting this project through property tax reduction until these questions are resolved.

Thank you for considering these questions.

Paul Seaton



Homer AK 99603

Calvin Zuelow

From: Barbara Tyndall <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 3:06 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: HB381

Dear Representative:

I am writing today regarding HB 381 and to urge you to promote and advance Alaska's opportunity for affordable energy, jobs and long-term infrastructure, and energy security for Alaska and our nation.

These should be the priorities for the legislature going forward; prosperity and affordable energy for our citizens and the generations going forward. Please make your decisions on behalf of all Alaskans.

(This is my own opinion and not that of any organization or group.)

Thank you

Barbara Tyndall

North Pole

Calvin Zuelow

From: Meg Waite [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 2:43 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: Fairbanks Constituent Meg Waite House Bill 381 Vote NO

Hello,

My name is Meg Waite, and I am writing to share public comment on House Bill 381. I respectfully urge a NO vote.

Alaska is already facing real budget challenges. We are having a hard time funding basic services like schools. In that context, ***it does not make financial sense to reduce state revenue unless there is clear and strong evidence that the return will outweigh the cost.***

Right now, that case has not been clearly made.

There is not enough transparent information about the full cost of the Alaska LNG project or how it will be financed. Without that, it is difficult to understand why these tax incentives are needed or ***what level of risk the state would be taking on.***

There are also real questions about the market. Many large LNG projects around the world are already under construction and expected to come online soon. ***When supply increases, prices tend to drop. That creates uncertainty about whether a high cost project like Alaska LNG will be competitive.***

Given these factors, ***this is a high cost and high risk investment with unclear returns.***

There are more practical options that could deliver results sooner and keep more value here in Alaska.

Microgrids are one example. **A microgrid is a local energy system that can combine different sources like diesel, natural gas, hydro, wind, solar, and battery storage, all working together to power a specific area.**

These are smaller local energy systems that ***are already widely used across Alaska, especially in rural communities.*** They can be built step-by-step, adjusted over time, and maintained locally. ***That means jobs, skills, and dollars stay in our communities. They also help keep power on during outages, which supports businesses and critical services.***

I encourage legislators to work with groups already doing this work, including the Alaska Center for Energy and Power, National Laboratory of the Rockies Alaska Campus, University of Alaska researchers, the Alaska Small Business Development Center, local utility cooperatives, and labor organizations such as the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) ***to form a task force or conduct a feasibility study focused on practical deployment. These groups are focused on solutions that can be built, scaled, and maintained in Alaska communities.***

A step by step approach to energy development is more flexible, lower risk, and easier to evaluate over time than committing to one very large project with many unknowns.

For these reasons, **I respectfully urge a no vote on House Bill 381.**

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Megan "Meg" Waite

Ester Gold Camp, Fairbanks, Alaska

Meg Waite

she | her | they | them

UAF- Student, **CNSM, CLA**

"Let your curiosity be greater than your fear" -Pema Chödrön

Calvin Zuelow

From: Robert Wyatt [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 1:45 PM
To: House Resources
Subject: Gas Pipeline

Let's stop wasting our time over the Pipeline. It has about a 0% chance of moving forward with the proposals you've been advocating for.