

[REDACTED]

From:

Louis Imbriani [REDACTED]
Wednesday, March 24, 2021 9:09 AM

To: Senate State Affairs

Subject: I Support Senate Bill 39

Chair Shower and Members of the Senate State Affairs Committee,

My name is Louis Imbriani, and I am an Eagle River resident. I am writing to you about Senate Bill 39 (SB-39). I am writing on my own accord and I am not representing any groups or any other special interests.

Thank you for your continued effort to ensure election integrity here in the state. I fully support SB-39. There needs to be some level of custody for mail-in ballots and there needs to be a measure of accountability for those who try to defraud or cheat the election process.

While mail-in ballots should be an option it should not be the only option and should not be the promoted option. Mail-in ballots should go through far stronger scrutiny than in-person ballots and have a higher level of custody when they are being processed. This is particularly important here in the Municipality of Anchorage where currently, all elections are held via mail-in only.

It is clear that a large percentage of the population does not trust mail-in ballots. When trust is lost in our election system our Republic is in danger. We need to find balance in our elections. We need to find ways to ensure those who vote have every opportunity. While mail-in ballots may be part of the solution they alone are not the answer.

Again, thank you for all your hard work in trying to find a viable solution to ensure that we have election integrity in our state.

Louis Imbriani [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Carolyn Ganner [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, April 3, 2021 5:33 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: In support of SB 39

Dear members of Alaska Senate State Affairs Committee,

Thank you for your work on behalf of Alaska.

I am a resident of Haines, registered Independent, and I vote in every local, state, and national election.

This letter is in strong support of SB 39. From everything I have seen about it so far, this proposed legislation is thoughtful and fair, and addresses real deficiencies in Alaska's voting process. Thankfully, we are not as bad off as some places in the country that revealed their inadequacies in this last presidential contest. But, we can do better, and this bill will be a big step forward.

SB 39 will help clean the voter rolls, thus keeping legal voters counted fully.

SB 39 will help establish a clean chain of custody for mailed-in ballots.

SB 39 will allow families to help their elders submit votes but will eliminate the plague of partisan ballot harvesting – I do hope you keep a mechanism for helpers to identify themselves, making this process more secure – kind of like the third party designee on tax forms.

SB 39 will standardize ballot holding periods, destruction methods, and curing processes. All of these are good moves.

There's probably a bit more that I have forgotten to mention. In general, so far everything I've seen of this bill looks good and well thought out. After the mess of 2020, every state in the country needs to step up their game on election integrity and I am happy to see Alaska doing so in a sensible way. It's likely that some on the far left will find something in this bill to fuss about-but they seem to yell loudly about everything, and it is tiresome. I think SB 39 does a good job and is very fair.

Carolyn Moseley/Ganner

[REDACTED]
Haines 99827

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

[REDACTED]

From: Barb Melland [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, April 3, 2021 7:56 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

I fully support SB39
Voters have lost trust in the integrity of the election process. SB39 is a huge step in the right direction to address election irregularities.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Terrence Shanigan

From: Sandy [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 9:46 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

My husband and I strongly support SB39! It is beyond time to deal with Alaska's election integrity. This past election proved that there is a major dishonesty issue. We are still convinced the Prop 2 had to be 'messed with'!

We greatly appreciate Senator Shower taking this on!

Thank you,
Jeff and Sandy May
Wasilla

Terrence Shanigan

From: Susan Crane
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 2:52 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

Senators,

I am currently a resident from Eagle River, AK.

I want you to know that I STRONGLY SUPPORT SB39. While the evidence in the November 2020 election has not been heard in a court, it appears there was plenty of fraud that occurred. Dead people voting, individuals buying votes, voting machines connected to the Internet, etc.

I think it's imperative that we clean up our voting logs and make sure that only eligible individuals can vote and that there is a transparent chain of custody.

Thank you.

Susan Crane

[REDACTED]

From: Dave Peck [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 1:48 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB-39

I support this voter integrity bill. However I believe strong voter ID is critical at both voter registration & when casting a ballot. Actually the strongest voter ID should be done at registration verifying citizenship & residency. Then, once that is established, the only verification at the point of voting would be the voter and registered voter are one & the same.

Without voter integrity we will (as we've seen already) have, at best, a lack of trust in voting & government. And, at worst, citizen disenfranchisement, unrest and even chaos.

The public must know with complete certainty that votes cast are legal, that their vote is counted & that illegal votes will not be counted. The rules must be clear. If there are doubts people will come to believe voting does not matter or that their vote will be nullified by illegal votes. Either case is an invitation to disaster as people decide the way to effect change is other than voting.

Thank you,

Dave Peck

[REDACTED]
Kenai
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPod

[REDACTED]

From: gail mcbride [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 6:59 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

Please support and pass SB39. I am very concerned about our election security. We need to close every opportunity to misuse ballots and to commit voter fraud.
Thank you.
Gail McBride

From: Louis Imbriani <louis.imb907@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 1:48 PM
To: Senate State Affairs; Sen. Tom Begich; Sen. Mike Shower
Cc: Sen. Lora Reinbold; Sen. Scott Kawasaki; Sen. Roger Holland; Sen. Mia Costello
Subject: I Support SB-39

Senator Begich, Chair Shower and Senators of the State Affairs Committee,

My name is Louis Imbriani, and I am an Eagle River resident. I am writing today representing only myself.

I have previously written to this committee to show my support for Senate Bill 39 (SB-39). I wanted to include some more comments regarding this bill as I had the opportunity to listen to the Town Hall that presented by the Alaska Black Caucus this weekend on March 27th, 2021.

There was a lot of talk about expanding the "franchise" of voters. This concept was expressed by a few Anchorage Assembly Members and by Senator Begich. While I too, share the same view in wanting to increase participation in elections. I question how do we expand the "franchise" without disenfranchising others?

The presidential election that took place in November disenfranchised millions of Americans because they believe the current election systems lack the needed integrity. There are many different reasons that people believe the voting system lacks integrity, some are more reasonable than others, we cannot say that our current voting system does not have its flaws. These flaws need to be corrected to do exactly what is desired, which is expanding the franchise of voters and re-enfranchise others to ensure maximum participation.

As I have said previously, I do not have all the answers, but there are something's that need to be done. We need to ensure that everyone who casts a vote is a US Citizen. Whether it is by birth, acquisition, derivation, or naturalization because only U.S. citizens can vote in elections. Ensure those voting, are over the age of 18 on or before election day and meet the states residency requirements, which is very important for state elections, there should not be people who do not live in this state determine who represent the people of this state or represent a district of this state.

So how do we ensure that only people meeting this requirement vote? I would say the easiest thing is to not only register to vote, but to show identification when casting a ballot or receiving a ballot. The State of Alaska does have ways of ensuring identification even online like through MyAlaska accounts. There are ways to address the concerns of those who do not have an identification card for whatever the reason may be.

I could go on but will stop there and address the things that don't work. The biggest thing that doesn't work is the indiscriminate mailing of ballots. By allowing ballots to be sent to every registered voter causes many problems. The biggest problem is that the state does not audit its voter rolls on a regular basis. People move to and from Alaska all the time. We have a large military population and large oil worker population which causes a constant turn over in Alaskan residency.

This lack of an audit and voter roll verification causes the real issue. There are ballots out there floating around. They are sent to the last known address of the voter. They could also be "lost" in the mail. I know that here in Anchorage we have lots of mailbox thefts. They are happening right now during our Mayoral election. This now puts the onus on the voter to realize they haven't received a ballot or to recognize that their ballot had been stolen and report it. This makes the ability to cheat or to defraud an election a very real possibility. This is because we have such a low voter turnout.

Anchorage's 2020 General election that took place on 4/7/2020 and was conducted by mail-in ballots. Every registered Anchorage resident on the State of Alaska's voter roll was mailed a ballot. There were only 30.77% of the ballots mailed out were returned as votes. The closest race was for Assembly District 4 Seat G where the election was determined by 179 votes in that district 11,922 votes were cast out of the 41,355 ballots sent out. Only 28.83% of ballots were returned. This district was won by 1.5% of the total votes cast in that district.

So how do we fix this? Well, we need to start with compromise and ensure that it meets the needs of Alaskan's. It has been made clear that having one day of in person only voting is not what a large number of residents want, but it has also been made clear that the current practice widespread mail-in voting with a few polling locations over a multiple week period doesn't work either.

While I know that we cannot please everyone nor meet every individuals' ideals. The priority should be finding a compromise to ensure the highest level of integrity and encourage the highest level of participation. I say this because voting is one of the most important rights, yet we are not treating it with the security that it needs. We wouldn't mail guns to just any person buying them online. It requires some extra due diligence.

I make this comparison because millions more people in the United States have died at the will of elected officials than have been killed by those who have legally obtained a firearm.

Thank you

Louis Imbriani

[REDACTED]

From: Charlie Franz [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2021 9:42 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

Honorable Committee Members,

As you work on SB39 to improve the integrity of our elections, I strongly recommend you look at the voter legislation recently signed into law in Georgia. SB39 is a good step in the right direction, but it could be stronger. Our state must fix the election system and take a firm stand against incursions into state's rights by the US Congress.

Respectfully,
Charlie Franz
Homer

From: Laurie Sica [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2021 7:06 PM
To: Sen. Mike Shower; Sen. Roger Holland; Sen. Lora Reinbold; Sen. Mia Costello; Senate State Affairs; Terrence Shanigan
Subject: SB 39

Thursday, Sept. 25, 2021, post Senate State Affairs hearing on SB 39

Hello Senator Shower and members of the Senate State Affairs Committee,

I have written the committee previously and you may think that I am one of those people you refer to that state there are "no problems" with elections in Alaska. I will say that no election is "perfect," that questionable issues do come up, but most issues are easily resolved with a little investigation.

Would it be possible for your staff to work with the Division of Elections and the people who testified today to get to the bottom of their issues? DOE keeps information on absentee ballot applications and voter history for each election. DOE can look into these voter's allegations and get to the bottom of their issues. In each circumstance, it would be great to hear the outcome at the next committee meeting, rather than leave us hanging with suspicions.

I'm willing to guess that Arnie Oydna's testimony referred to a Juneau municipal ballot that he received in the mail, not a state ballot. There was confusion this year because there were three elections in a row, the Primary in August, the local in October, and the General in November, and in Juneau the local election was conducted "by-mail."

I'm wondering if Ellen Zetera of Copper Center actually received a mail ballot for an REAA election in October. REAA elections are conducted by the state for communities in the unorganized borough, and some are conducted by mail. One way to find out would be to contact the DOE on behalf of Ms. Zetera to dig into the specifics.

All of the testimony for SB39 to date has been "invited" and those today seemed to be pre-arranged with the intent to prove that voter fraud is happening. What I heard were confused voters that were seeking information, and some that had already made their minds up - one even spoke about the "conspiracy theories" in her household. Will there be an opportunity for public testimony on SB39?

We all want elections to be safe and secure. We may just have different ideas about how we arrive there. Let's always defer to making the vote accessible.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

From:

Yarrow Griffith

Sent:

Wednesday, February 24, 2021 12:45 PM

To:

Senate State Affairs

Subject:

SB39

At the invitation of Senator Shelley Hughes, I listened to an excellent presentation of SB39. I appreciated having the opportunity to listen to this particular discussion and hear in the rationale that there are indeed some good things in this bill relating to election security. In particular I strongly agree that municipalities should not be able to destroy ballots at will.

That being said, I still disagree strongly with several points in the bill.

First is the removal of the automatic PDF voter registration that the people voted for.

This goes against the will of the people and adds unnecessary steps, expense and bureaucracy with no upside that I can see, other than making it more difficult to vote, which is classic voter suppression. People are not forced to vote by being registered. They may, however, overlook a box that needs to be checked or have their name accidentally left off by someone who now needs to specifically look at all these applications to see who checked the box and who didn't.

I also disagree with the maligning of mail in ballots. The presenter talked a lot about broken chain of custody. What he failed to take into account is the chain of custody protocols of USPS, complete with federal penalties for mail tampering. Mail in votes aren't just being thrown into a den of thieves when they hit the mailbox. Again, this smacks of classic voter suppression techniques.

I also have concern with two further points. One is the elimination of faximale votes, if this provision in any way makes voting more difficult for our overseas military.

The other concern I have is with part of the ballot harvesting section. While I agree with this overall, I have concerns with the number of ballots a person can deliver for someone else being set at one. This means a person who might want to deliver the ballots for their elderly parents have to choose one? Either mom gets to vote, or dad? I believe this number should be set at two at the least.

Thank you for hearing my concerns about SB39.

Yarrow Silvers

Terrence Shanigan

From: Neil Gotschall
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 5:58 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB-39

To the Members of the Alaska State Affairs Committee:

Today I called in to comment on SB-39 and found the hearing was canceled.

I think it is obvious to everyone the most recent National Election suffered from voting irregularities. In my opinion the Mail-in votes and voting machines are both very weak links in the integrity of the voting system. If one wants to alter voting data without being observed, the voting machine would be the easiest way to go. Also, "Mail-in ballots are the ballots most vulnerable to being altered, stolen, or forged" (1). And both are what a lot of people are saying has happened in the lower 48. Alaska should set the example of a tightly controlled, fraud-free voting system created by the Alaska State Legislature.

I think if we must use voting machines then, by statute, the voting machine ought to be designed and built with open-source software; all of it created in the United States of America by U.S. Companies, not foreign owned U.S. Companies. The machines also should be very tightly controlled, and all aspects of the machine open for examination by Citizens of the State of Alaska. An example for control of the machine: if the password (or key) to a machine is lost then that machine should be destroyed, unless it contains current voting data. That provides good incentive to not lose the password (or key) and helps maintain integrity of the machine. The machine should be completely isolated; it should be physically impossible to communicate with the machine in any way other than to input votes and retrieve votes at the voting machine, not via wire or internet or in any other way. The original paper ballots used by the individual voters should be kept for years for ease of examination, perhaps a decade or longer as should voter identifying paperwork. The machine should not have any decision-making abilities such as 'adjudicating' software (as has been reported in Dominion machines) where the software decides what the voter intended and/or a voting official does the same. Those decisions should only be made by humans and witnessed by humans without any software interpretation. Also, the machine should not have any capabilities at all to correct or adjust voting errors, only humans witnessed by humans should perform those kinds of functions.

I think the vote-in-person system complimented by the absentee voting system has been a good system and Mail-in voting is only asking for trouble and accusations of fraud. Very many people believe only in-person voting can ensure valid, fair and successful elections and I agree with that. Incidentally, physically taking part in elections by going to the polling place to vote had been a practice in the U.S.A. for over 200 years and has become the global standard.

Lastly, I think voter ID is a good idea. How many versions of identification do we already possess? Voter identification should be required by statute.

The integrity of the existence of our system of government relies on accurate, fraud-free vote tallies of the citizens. History should not be able to look upon us as a failure.

Thank you for listening to me.

Best Regards,
Neil Gotschall
Palmer, Alaska

(1) <https://www.heritage.org/election-integrity/commentary/the-risks-mail-voting>

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Donna Beran

Thursday, February 18, 2021 3:34 PM

Senate State Affairs

SB39

To the Senate State Affairs Committee,

I am writing to ask you not to support SB39. This bill will make it harder for people to register to vote and that is going backwards in the progress of the human race. We should be making it easier for everyone to vote and to do it safely, especially during these pandemic times. There is no way to interpret this Bill other than to try to suppress voters.

Thank you,

Donna Beran

Homer, AK

dlb

From: Erica East
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 12:39 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB 39 - State Affairs Committee Testimony

My name is Erica K East, I am a resident from Fairbanks.

I am writing to you today to encourage you to continue to secure the integrity of voting in Alaska. To say 'no voting fraud' happened in Alaska would be false! I personally know of two instances that makes me personally question our election last November :

- 1) An Alaska resident that I know, who currently is not actively living in Alaska, had his vote stolen. When he reached out to vote, he was told that he ALREADY voted by mail... how does that happen? Who voted using his voter registration privilege? If you had signature verification in November his real vote would of been counted. The unlawful, unfair, practice of allowing ballots to be lawfully counted, without ID Verification, needs to never happen again. To know that the Democratic Attorney's, took advantage of the cloak of Covid-19 lockdowns & shutdowns during a National Emergency, to advert our voting rules is shameful and morally wrong.
- 2) Another Alaskan resident in Fairbanks, was verbally bullied by a Democratic Candidate Campaign Worker, to 'Vote by Mail'. Even after informing the person he prefers to vote in person. He was repeatedly encouraged to 'Apply for his mail in ballot'. He was told that, "it is 'very important', that after receiving the ballot he could just take it with him to his polling location. no harm no foul". What? For what reason where Democrat's trying to FLOOD, the mail in system? Maybe, to hide fraud?

We as Alaskans must, put Alaskans first!

Here are a few more items of concern:

- * We can not allow ballot measure 2 to stand! To allow a group to continue running misleading ADs after being told to stop, is fraud and cohesion of the voting process! They continued the ads and paid a hefty fine? No. You should of told them to cease and desist! They must be held accountable!! How did you allow a political group to buy that ballot measure, from Alaskans?? Why did ballot measure 2 pass in the middle of the night? Who verified every single legal vote, who recounted? All night, the NO votes was ahead. Then in the middle of the night... poof... it was defeated.
- * We must address the free speech issues plague by our nation. We must not allow social media companies to silence speech of the opposing views or let there algorithms, continue to divided our communities. We must feel free to discuss and debate all issues without fear of this social media 'Cancel Culture'. We must tread softly, as to not trample our rights given to us from our Alaska Constitution and the US Constitution!
- * We must NOT allow Vote by Mail, with no signature verification and no Chain of Custody documents!!
- We have always allowed Alaskans to participate by Absentee Ballot Privilege's, at the request of the registered voter, and with ID/signature verification!! that system has worked in Alaska for all of my adult life! While a student on the UAF campus, I was allowed to in-person absentee vote for Delta Junction. That system works, don't let patrician groups change it.
- * If you keep the 'emergency order' clause in this bill, is it then, unlawful to require in-person voters to show their ID, for the same election? Seems like you are treating classes of Alaskans differently? We must ALL follow the same laws and rules. For the last election, why where some Alaskans allowed to vote without signature verification, but I had to show my ID, not my voter registration card, but my ID to even receive a ballot? (I offered my voter registration card as proof and I was told, "no, I need to see your ID") Please note that I am a person of 'high risk from Covid-19' due to medical issues. I have been living with my parents in Delta Junction for almost a year. But I choose to drove 100 miles to vote in November, in person, with a face shield, gloves and a mask!
- * PFD automatic voter registration: I voted for this in 2016. I thought it was another way to 'clean up' and keep current with our voter roles. But personally it made it harder for my young adult children to participate in the voting process. One of my children has moved, at least every two years, since he left high school. He has lived in nearly all FNSB districts. He left his permanent address as my home. This allowed him an anchor, during his ever changing life situations and he always knew where to vote. Once the PDF rules changed, he never knew where to go vote. So, he wouldn't. He had no option to 'opt out' of this content changing of his voting location every year. The law wasn't suppose to make the process harder for a class of citizen.

* Clean up our voter rolls: Who double checks and verifies our voter roles?
What happens with 'Snow birds' off the PFD roles? are they allowed to register to vote in both states?
Are you un-eligible for voting in Alaska if you get a drivers license in another state?
How do we handle deaths in reference to our voting roles?

Thank you for your time. I appreciate the opportunity to tell you my experiences with our Alaska Voting System.

Sincerely,
Erica K East
Proud Life Long Alaskan
(three generations deep)

[REDACTED]

From: Evelyn Octuk [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 11:58 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Written testimony for the record on SB 39

I support SB 39. I am very disgruntled with, and distrusting at this point of, the current voter system and poor policies in place. Voting desperately needs increased security. Voter confidence across party lines is dismal and a global embarrassment. Government has slacked incredibly in keeping up with technological effects on secure voting processes and preventing and penalizing those involved and carrying out fraudulent infractions. There have been numerous cases of discrepancies with mail-in ballots in the past and not just in the 2020 presidential election. It is a pervasive crisis at this point and the public is sick of government leaders sweeping these matters under the proverbial rug.

I believe that there needs to be a much more thorough accountability process and scrutiny of mail-in ballots. A chain of custody or tracking system in place for mail-in ballots should be a must and voter registration also must be cross referenced over every available state data base to ensure eligibility to vote. And what are the consequences for fraud? Clear effective language needs to be added for election offenses, so that there is some sort of deterrent.

Thank you,
Evelyn Octuk
Anchorage, Sand Lake area

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Thomas Dinnocenzo
Thursday, February 18, 2021 9:37 AM
Senate State Affairs
SB39

Hi Folks, I applaud what you are trying to do for Alaska as this state is in dire straits right now. I agree that the first order of business should be election integrity. I have written each of you before on this matter. Senate Bill 39 coming before you today is a start. Although this bill does not go far enough in my opinion it will help make elections more secure and needs to be passed. Please keep election integrity in mind throughout your term of office , because without it there can be no representative government. Not an acceptable alternative... Thank you Thomas Dinnocenzo

[REDACTED]

From: Laurie Sica <infosica@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 9:22 AM
To: Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Roger Holland; Sen. Scott Kawasaki; Sen. Lora Reinbold; Sen. Mike Shower; Senate State Affairs
Subject: Public Testimony for SB39
Attachments: 2021-02-17-Sica_re_SB39.docx

Hello,

I respectfully submit this letter for the public record. Thank you for your work for the State of Alaska and its people.

Sincerely,

Laurie Sica

[REDACTED]
Juneau, AK 99802
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Judy Rae Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 6:52 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Fw: stop SB 39 written testimony

Please include my written testimony against SB 39.

"After seeing the extreme destruction caused by the lies which sought to reverse a fair national election, SB 39 is another threat to our democracy and our right to vote. We should be eliminating problems associated with voters' transportation, availability, and health, not creating more. This is a blatant attempt to deprive voters of their constitutional right to vote. I am totally disappointed that Alaska has spawned legislators who seek to overturn their constituents' right to vote. Eliminate SB 39 and sponsor legislation which makes the voting process easier for voters to cast their ballot."

Judy Rae Smith
P.O. Box 99708, Fairbanks 99708

•
•
•
•

Terrence Shanigan

From: Katie Botz
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 9:21 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Cc: Sen. Jesse Kiehl
Subject: Written Testimony for SB339

Good Afternoon Mr Chair and members of the Senate State Affairs Committee Members, My name is Katie Botz from Juneau and I am speaking on behave of myself.

The past elections have been frustrating to watch without a doubt. I filed for an absentee ballot knows no that I would be in Kodiak driving a bus around 2020's election time. It has been my understanding over the years that we had to get two signatures to ensure voting integrity while voting for absentees. Therefore, I asked both of my parents to be my witnesses and to send it back to Juneau in hope it would make it in time to be counted. Shortly after my ballots were sent back in the mail, I received a postcard stating that witness signatures were not required. Immediately, that sparked a concern, but I failed to save that postcard as proof.

Once I returned to Juneau, I was asked to chauffeur a gentleman to the Mendenhall Mall so that he could help with recount of ballots. Please forgive me, but I'm terrible with names, but he did mentioned that he was concerned about absentee ballots. It was my every intention to ask about how many deceased person attempted to vote in our state elections, but I didn't had time to ask that question.

It is crystal clear that our State election system has many errors. Therefore, I Katie Botz, would like to make a request that ALL Alaskans are to be required to re-registered to vote as of 2023, and that the registration system to be rebooted to absolute nobody be registered in the system.

This way, we can make sure that whoever chooses to re-registered to vote are the following:

1. They are alive
2. They live in Alaska
3. There's no duplicate registered voting registration 4. Our database for voting registration is updated and ready to give valuable data to anyone who ask.

I also request that Legislators fix our State Statutes so that we can find if anyone moves out of state due to college, coastguard members, and other various reasons a person may move out of state. It is clear that we need to keep a closer knit database regarding who can vote and who can't vote for the stars of Alaska to avoid another database breach in our voting system.

May I also request that voting registration does NOT become automatic when it comes to filing for a PFD. It is clear that it has caused many headaches and a good place for a data breach. I would also like to request that ALL stats system work together to ensure that our database is updated and the voting integrity is stronger than ever.

Please note, there are many seniors who enjoy being a snowbirds. My parents are ones. Each year, they enjoy taking off for a few months down south then return home for the remainder of the year. I mentioned because senior citizens may not be able to handle the cold as well as others and it can be a health benefit to go south for some time. I request that they don't get penalized for being snowbirds and that they can continue To vote as Alaskans citizens.

Thank you for reading this.

Katie Botz

Juneau

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

Terrence Shanigan

From: Adam Hykes [mailto:adamhykes@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 10:03 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Public testimony for SB 43 & 39

Honorable Senators of the State Affairs Committee,

My name is Adam Hykes. I represent my wife, Kayla, and myself in this email.

We live in District P (Homer), and we support both SB 43 & 39.

Thank you for serving,
-Adam & Kayla Hykes

To the Senate State Affairs Committee through the Chair--- I am Stuart Thompson, citizen of District 10, speaking for myself. Here is my 5 minute prepared testimony concerning Senator Shower's SB39.

There is a severe constitutional aspect to deliberations on this bill. It takes precedence over both protestations of voting fraud vulnerability and of voter suppression or discrimination. And it gives the Legislature choices over how to deal with the issues this bill exposes.

The constitutional provisions that rule supreme over all lawmaking and the administration of law over all citizens are those that direct that all persons are equal, are entitled to equal rights, and are entitled to equal protection under the law. References are AK Constitution Article 1 Section 1, & US Constitution Amendment 14 Section 1.

It's easy to prove that there's currently discriminatory/double standards treatment of persons under Alaskan anti-fraud laws. Please compare laws concerning restraining voting fraud with laws concerning restraining monetary fraud (e.g. in PFD and Unemployment insurance applications). PFD and Unemployment insurance applications are each several pages long with required documentary proof. Types of excuses allowed in judging irregular votes are resoundingly rejected in judging PFD & UI applications. Inspect this for yourself!

Some self-evident lawmaking background to obedience to the constitutional provisions above may help.

1. All lawmaking is evolutionary. It's a representative's duty to identify changing public circumstances, and changing public awareness of our form of government's natural defects getting out of control (meaning increasing dramatization of human imperfection in and out of government). He is compelled by his oath of office to make government respond to the needs ascertained.
2. Minorities are protected by enforcing equal treatment of all persons under any law. Special treatment of persons by the executive branch--- under the duress of emergencies or the influence of lobbyists for example--- is constitutionally and judicially repugnant. The failure of laws to include reasonable accommodations to persons with natural disabilities or unnaturally negative circumstances (so as to create equal treatment) is similarly repugnant.
3. People's stupidity, laziness, selfishness, and a predilection to commit fraud for only personal gain have never been lawful or judicial justifications for accommodation.
4. To paraphrase James Madison: if men were angels, government or laws wouldn't be needed. So government's real ethical role is to restrain the dramatization of human imperfection and government corruption to a level people will tolerate. Unfortunately, as Thomas Jefferson observed in the Declaration of Independence, people tend to tolerate a lot before they object. It's government's job to listen to and settle such objections before its own continued existence is naturally threatened. Again see the Declaration of Independence for the price of repudiation of the real Will of the People---not what an elite says it is.

Stuart Thompson
PO Box 870702
Wasilla, AK 99687

Respectfully,

I recommend a choice of one of the following legislative paths:

A) In response to confirmed evidence of volume Alaskan concern concerning the extent of voting fraud, deliberate how to add disability accommodation provisions to SB 39 that are reasonable, and then pass it into law.

B) In the event you don't have facilities to validate a serious level of public concern (i.e. People's Will) about voting fraud, pass a resolution to have SB 39 put forth in the next election as a proposition. Meanwhile, don't forget extra funding for enforcing existing law to show government sincerity.

C) If there's insufficient support for either of these paths, there is another option. The Legislature could pass law that makes anti-fraud law provisions for PFD applications/Unemployment Insurance Claims more permissive---in order to be comparable to existing anti-voting fraud law provisions. You can then claim constitutional obedience to equal treatment of all citizens under Alaskan laws.

Some historical background may also be helpful.

a) A major type of corruption in our form of government is voting fraud. This goes back to the ancient Greeks, and to the Romans---with their Corn and Games used to buy votes. Indeed, buying votes (by a variety of means) is a common technique enabled by human imperfection---and political elements preying on it---to this day. Some forms---like promising money and advantages to voters/companies if elected---are mostly tolerated and commonly figure in the operations of lobbyists, political parties and campaign "contributions". Remember how Ted Stevens was repeatedly elected because he "brought home the bacon" to Alaska.

b) Another wildly popular technique is ballot stuffing (such as facilitating dead people or other unqualified/unregistered people to vote). Chicago was infamous for dead person voting during the Kennedy/Nixon election.

[REDACTED]

From: Scott Sikkenga
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 12:56 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Scott Sikkenga on SB 39

Hello. I support SB 39 along with many in my community here in district J. We need to have the tightest possible controls on making sure no funny business happens with our elections, and this bill addresses some concerns many have with the current system in place.

Thank you for your time and work.

--
Scott Sikkenga
1313 Sunrise Dr
907-744-2774
[REDACTED] Anchorage, AK 99508

Terrence Shanigan

From: Francesca A. [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 12:31 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: 2/11 hearing

Committee,

I support SB 39. We need election integrity. We also need to get rid of Dominion voting systems. It is a foreign company. No foreign influence. End mail in voting. Our last election was illegal. A judge can not change election law by removing the requirement of witness signature. Only legislation can. That did not happen. It should not have been certified. It disgraced and disenfranchised Alaskans vote.

I support SJR 7. We the People are the source of government. We must have a vote on taxes. You need the will of the people. We are a constitutional republic.

I support SJR 1. The Permanent dividend Fund is not a "government check". Alaskans own the resources. It is the people's royalties as we are shareholders. Our royalties shall be paid out accordingly. Withholding royalties is theft. Our unpaid royalties are overdue. Will they get the interest they gained while they were withheld from not being paid out according to original statute that is required by law? Unpaid royalties for my family are over \$10,000. We could really use that especially since Anchorage is infringing upon our unalienable rights beside that. They are our owed royalty shares.

Thank you ,
Francesca Allegrezza
Anchorage

Sent from my iPhone

[REDACTED]

From: Charlie Franz [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 12:00 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Written Testimony on SB39 - Hearing, Tuesday, Feb 9, 2021
Attachments: AL Voter ID Ruling.PDF

Honorable Members of the Senate State Affairs Committee,

I would like to offer my thoughts on SB39.

Senator Mike Shower has introduced SB39 in an effort to improve the integrity of our election system. I think the changes he has proposed are a significant step toward restoring citizens' faith in the election system. I suggested to him that he make a couple of changes to the bill to further strengthen it:

1. Delete lines 20 -23 on page 8 regarding allowing the court to declare an emergency that would eliminate the requirement for a witness signature on absentee ballots. I do not believe the court has such authority unless the Legislature delegates or cedes it to the court. It is very difficult for me to believe that a voter cannot find another person to witness his signature even in the most dire emergency. The Legislature and Governor allowed this to happen in the recent election and it should not have happened.
2. Add to the bill a requirement that all voters must present a valid photo ID when voting in person or to the person witnessing a signature on an absentee ballot. Photo IDs are required for so many basic transactions now, that the argument that a photo ID requirement is voter suppression does not make any sense. Photo ID is required for all sort of basic transactions such as to fly on an airplane, buy alcohol or tobacco, open a bank account or cash a check, etc.

I understand that there is reluctance to include a voter ID requirement on this bill due to the irrational opposition by some liberal groups. However, several states have implemented voter ID requirements that have been upheld by the courts. I am attaching an article that describes the court's ruling on a voter ID law in Alabama.

Please consider making these changes to SB39.

Respectfully,

Charlie Franz

Homer

Terrence Shanigan

From: Adam Hykes
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 3:04 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Yes to SB 43, 25, & 39

Hello,

My name is Adam Hykes. I represent myself and my wife, Kayla Hykes, as residents of district 31 in Homer Alaska. We are in favor of SB 43, 25 & 39.

Respectfully,
Adam & Kayla Hykes

Terrence Shanigan

From: brent shibe
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 11:47 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

We are for SB39!

-Brent Shibe

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Friday, January 29, 2021 8:50 AM

Senate State Affairs

SB39

I am totally for SB 39. Please pass this bill

Terrence Shanigan

From: Irene Quednow [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 8:21 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

RE: SB39

Dear legislators serving the public,

I wholeheartedly support senate bill 39 and since you are my representatives I expect you to support it too.

Please read this into the record.

Sincerely,

Irene Quednow
[redacted]
Anchorage, AK 99515

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

Terrence Shanigan

From: Lukas Leuenberger [mailto:lukas.leuenberger@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 2:34 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

To My Legislatures,

Please approve SB39. We need informed and concerned citizens casting their votes. The best way to do this is to require able-bodied people to vote in person. This shows initiative and a bona-fide concern for the issues on which we vote.

Mass mail-in voting takes away the initiative that is required to know the issues at hand, and the people who are running for public office.

Thank you for taking this matter seriously.

Lukas Leuenberger

Anchorage, AK 99518

Terrence Shanigan

From: Janes Cruz
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2021 6:56 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Yes

This is a Yes for SB39! That's all I want to say.

Janes Cannon

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

Terrence Shaniga

From: Dean Cannon
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2021 6:52 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Cc: Sara Rasmussen; Sen. Mia Costello
Subject: YES on SB39.

Dear Legislators,

For brevity's sake I won't go into the 1000s of cases of proven mail fraud in US National and Alaska elections. But I will say my voter information was somehow hacked in 2020 and I was given notice of this hack after the general election. That is not secure.

Look beyond the fraud to who benefits.

One party is desperate to keep the tradition of unsecured mail-in voting. It also happens to be the party 1) who benefits most from mail-in fraud and 2) is always most guilty of abusing that system.

In the developed world, the United States of America retains the dubious title of holding the least secure mail-in ballot process. Most other industrialized democracies don't even do it. Those who want mail-in balloting are the ones who will benefit. Those who are against mail-in tend to be anti-fraud. How can a person be against making elections more safe? How can it disenfranchise people to expect them to act responsibly? I argue the opposite it empowers those who want their votes to count. Do the Democrats fear the enthusiasm of their own voters?

In January 2021 Amazon.com decapitated an effort to unionize a facility in Texas citing 'concerns about election security' with mail-in balloting. When the shoe is on the other foot and honest outcomes need to be achieved, the sharpest people in the world (those who run our corporations) know that mail-in balloting is rife with fraud.

The lawsuit by the AK NAACP is a pure distraction and nothing more than a shameless attempt to smear and thus invalidate a good man who put forth legislation with good intent.

Please vote yes on SB39!

Dean Cannon

Terrence Shanigan

From: Kathy Steadman
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2021 1:29 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SB39

Hello,
I want express my support for SB39. Election Integrity is fundamental to our republic. I am grateful that Senator Shower has brought this bill up again. It is important to be discussed and debated. We citizens need to feel our voting rights are being protected and the we have greater security in our elections. We have put you in position to make sure our elections are made more secure, please don't let us down.

Sincerely,
Kathy Steadman (Soldotna, Ak)

[REDACTED]

From: John Voehl [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: voter reforms

Please support the bill for voter reforms. Thanks