



Published in final edited form as:

N Engl J Med. 2021 October 14; 385(16): 1526–1530. doi:10.1056/NEJMms2104654.

Data in Crisis — Rethinking Disaster Preparedness in the United States

Satchit Balsari, M.D., M.P.H.^{1,2}, Mathew V. Kiang, Sc.D.^{2,3}, Caroline O. Buckee, D.Phil.⁴

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston MA USA

²Harvard FXB Center for Health and Human Rights, Harvard University, Boston MA USA

³Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford CA USA

⁴Center for Communicable Disease Dynamics and Department of Epidemiology, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston MA USA

In 2017, Hurricane Maria’s devastating impact in Puerto Rico exposed significant flaws in the United States’ medical and public health response to natural disasters. The majority of the nearly 3000 excess deaths caused by the hurricane were attributable not to its immediate, direct impact, but to the persistent, indirect effects of delayed medical care and disrupted access.^{1,2} Studies have consistently shown that indirect effects from natural disasters like Hurricane Maria disproportionately affect poor, elderly, and structurally disadvantaged populations.^{1–3}

When medical centers and transportation infrastructure are damaged, patients who need chronic care such as dialysis, wound care, or chemotherapy seek to resume care at alternative sites, or else risk substantial harm or even death. Power outages, such as those that affected more than 4 million households in Texas during winter storms in February 2021, also place Americans at risk in their own homes; people who rely on electric medical equipment such as nebulizers, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) machines, or infusion pumps are particularly vulnerable.⁴ Evacuation, a common response to natural disasters such as the wildfires in California or hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico, similarly endangers the health of people dependent on medical and social services such as visiting nurses, meals-on-wheels, or home health aides, as elderly and vulnerable people struggle to reestablish care in a new health system and jurisdiction.

Despite the need to address these long-lasting indirect effects of disasters, hospitals, health care coalitions, and response agencies are mainly focused on preparing for mass-casualty incidents and large influxes of patients, or on evacuations and safe transfers

Department of Emergency Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School (S.B.); the FXB Center for Health and Human Rights, Harvard University (S.B., M.V.K.); and the Center for Communicable Disease Dynamics, Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (C.O.B.) — all in Boston; and the Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA (M.V.K.).

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available at [NEJM.org](https://www.nejm.org).

after a facility has been damaged.^{5,6} Disaster-preparedness simulations are designed to test facility-based preparedness; they seldom test for community-based preparedness. Federal agencies including the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (ASPR) have developed tools like *MedCon: Pre-Event* or *emPOWER* that help establish baseline medical needs in displaced communities, but few health departments have the know-how or resources to use them.⁷ Despite the fact that natural disasters are expected to increase in frequency and severity in the coming years, our public health preparedness and response — as our national experiences from natural disasters and pandemics have shown — is often woefully inadequate.^{8,9}

In recent years, large-scale streams of digital data on medical needs, population vulnerabilities, physical and medical infrastructure, human mobility, and environmental conditions have become available in near-real time.^{10,11} Sophisticated analytic methods for combining them meaningfully are being developed and rapidly evolving.^{12–14} However, the translation of these data and methods into improved disaster response faces substantial challenges. The data exist but are not readily accessible to hospitals and response agencies. The analytic pipelines to rapidly translate them into policy-relevant insights are lacking, and there is no clear designation of responsibility or mandate to integrate them into disaster-mitigation or -response strategies. Building these integrated translational pipelines that use data rapidly and effectively to address the health effects of natural disasters will require substantial investments, which will, in turn, rely on clear evidence of which approaches actually improve outcomes. Public health institutions face some ongoing barriers to achieving this goal, but promising solutions are available.

Mapping Baseline Vulnerabilities

Local response agencies require access to baseline maps that identify both populations' medical vulnerabilities (e.g., age, coexisting conditions, use of electricity-dependent durable medical equipment) and structural vulnerabilities (e.g., housing status, transport options, food insecurity). Accurate baseline vulnerability data are critical to understanding and responding to the uneven patterns of morbidity and mortality that already exist among communities and that are exacerbated during disasters. Remarkably, although comprehensive patient profiles are routinely constructed from electronic medical records, pharmacy data, and claims data — to be traded and sold commercially — they are not readily available to patients, hospitals, or response planners.¹⁵ Similarly, although technology companies have extensive data on user habits, location, and mobility patterns, relevant aggregated data sets or analyses are seldom shared with public health response agencies.¹⁶

Over the past decade, health information exchanges have been developed to create comprehensive patient profiles, but participation options vary widely from state to state, resulting in incomplete data.¹⁷ In 2015, the ASPR partnered with the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS) to develop *emPOWER*, a publicly available database including information on 4.2 million Medicare beneficiaries who are dependent on

from occurring in its wake.²⁸ Using vulnerability metrics based on previous cholera risk and a mobility model, as well as projected flooding and climate data on sensitivity to El Niño, we accurately predicted the highest-risk regions, but the lack of transparency about how analytic outputs were used in decision making made it difficult to assess the operational utility of these predictions.

The Covid-19 pandemic, however, has improved not only access to these data sets but also policymakers' interest in using them. In 2020, scientists from the Covid-19 Mobility Data Network — to which we belonged — used aggregated mobility data from social media companies to predict population movement after Hurricane Laura and then worked with officials to place emergency-response teams and shelters to accommodate displaced communities.²⁹

New data streams that help track environmental conditions, infrastructure, and population mobility are not traditionally considered to be medical or population health data, and yet they have been repeatedly shown to provide critical epidemiologic insights.³⁰ Targeted research funding is needed to advance and to validate the utility of applying these data streams in disaster planning. For data from private corporations, such as those from mobile phone or social media companies, regulatory and scientific consensus is needed to develop standardized approaches to protecting individual privacy by means of aggregation and anonymization.^{31,32} Nevertheless, with appropriate baseline vulnerabilities mapped, these dynamic data streams can strengthen disaster simulation and response. Just as routine forecasting for epidemics is an important goal for pandemic preparedness, anticipating both the immediate surge in patients and the longer-term dynamics of the medical needs of communities displaced in the wake of natural disasters should become the norm.

Mandate

Substantial regulatory changes and financial incentives are required if these data pipelines are to be sustained and integrated into routine disaster response. The technology exists to allow local data to flow upstream to state or federal response agencies, in real time. The mandate does not. Although CMS has sought to stimulate improvements in facility-based emergency preparedness, there is generally no obligation on either health systems or departments of health to ensure continuity of chronic care.³³ In fact, it is hard to ascribe such responsibility to any single hospital, since patients may seek care from multiple institutions. People of color, people experiencing homelessness, people with disabilities, and people without insurance often do not seek routine primary care and are least likely to be accounted for.

The Covid-19 pandemic has generated renewed interest in data sharing and integration across the health system. It will be important to sustain and consolidate this progress. The CDC expanded its online disease-surveillance tracker, COVIDView to include weekly information on Covid-19–related emergency visits, hospitalizations, deaths, laboratory data, vaccination and even racial/ethnic disparities. Health care coalitions around the country cooperated to routinely share bed-occupancy information and aggregated clinical data, but in the absence of preexisting automated data pipelines, the reporting entailed manual collation

and exchange of spreadsheets and faxes and was needlessly onerous. Health care coalitions are under-resourced, however, and should be funded to maintain such databases and to develop local or regional capacity for analyzing the data. It would be unreasonable to expect every small health care facility (such as a federally qualified health center, for example) to bear associated operational and analytic costs.

CMS can consider expanding the scope of its Emergency Preparedness rule (2016 and 2019) — which mandates facility-based preparedness requirements for natural and human-made disasters, as well as interagency coordination — to require hospitals to contribute these data to health care coalitions during emergencies. CMS could also consider doing so under its Promoting Interoperability Programs.³⁴ Under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, the executive branch could also mandate sharing of data relevant to public health emergency planning.³⁵ As of April 5, 2021, the information blocking (prevention) provision of the 21st Century Cures Act went into effect, finally mandating portability of health information and instituting hefty fines for noncompliance.

Leveraging the progress made in 2020, Congress should make the availability of reliable vulnerability maps and human-mobility data part of our national response data infrastructure. New and existing application program interfaces (APIs) can facilitate timely, automated exchange. Data management, processing, analytic and local translational capability need an overhaul. Much work is needed to identify the types of data and the terms under which they can be exchanged easily during emergencies, as well as the types of personnel and agencies that may access such data, and to balance the risks posed by automation against potential benefit to individuals and groups.

To protect our most vulnerable communities from increasingly frequent climate-related extreme weather events, public health agencies and hospitals need to know — before, during, and after a disaster — who and where these vulnerable people are, their hazard-specific risks, and whether they have been displaced from their networks of care. We have all the necessary building blocks in place to ensure that this information gets where it needs to go, but sustained commitment and investment in the necessary data systems, methodologic tools, and translational pipelines will be required to prepare for the natural disasters facing us.

References

1. Santos-Burgoa C, Sandberg J, Suárez E, et al. Differential and persistent risk of excess mortality from Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico: a time-series analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018;2(11):e478–88. 10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30209-2 [PubMed: 30318387]
2. Kishore N, Marqués D, Mahmud A, et al. Mortality in Puerto Rico after Hurricane Maria. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2018; 10.1056/NEJMsa1803972
3. Raker EJ, Arcaya MC, Lowe SR, Zacher M, Rhodes J, Waters MC. Mitigating Health Disparities After Natural Disasters: Lessons From The RISK Project. *Health Affairs* 2020;39(12):2128–35. 10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01161
4. Casey JA, Fukurai M, Hernández D, Balsari S, Kiang MV. Power Outages and Community Health: a Narrative Review. *Curr Environ Heal Reports* 2020;1–13. 10.1007/s40572-020-00295-0

5. MSCC: The Healthcare Coalition in Emergency Response and Recovery. Health and Human Services, US Government. 2009. Available from <https://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/planning/mscc/Documents/mscctier2jan2010.pdf>
6. Health Care Preparedness and Response Capabilities. Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (ASPR). Department of Health and Human Services, United States. 2017. [cited 2021 May 5]; Available from <https://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/planning/hpp/reports/Documents/2017-2022-healthcare-pr-capabilities.pdf> 2017-2022
7. Adhikari BB, Shrestha SS, Atkins CY, Meltzer MI, Sosin DM. MedCon: Pre-Event, A tool to aid users to estimate pre-event population at risk of medical consequences in a disaster (Beta test version). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA, 2016: 49 pp. Available from <https://emergency.cdc.gov/planning/medcon/index.asp>
8. Smith A 2020 U.S. billion-dollar weather and climate disasters in historical context. Climate Watch Magazine. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2021 1. [cited May 10 2021] Available from <https://www.climate.gov/news-features/blogs/beyond-data/2020-us-billion-dollar-weather-and-climate-disasters-historical>.
9. Abramson DM, Redlener I. Hurricane Sandy: Lesson Learned, Again. Disaster Medicine Public Heal Prep 2012;6(4):328–9. 10.1001/dmp.2012.76
10. COVID-19 GIS Hub [Internet]. ESRI 2020 [cited Mar 10 2021]. Available from <https://coronavirus-disasterresponse.hub.arcgis.com/>
11. Roy KC, Cebrian M & Hasan S Quantifying human mobility resilience to extreme events using geo-located social media data. EPJ Data Sci. 8, 18 (2019). 10.1140/epjds/s13688-019-0196-6
12. Liu JC, Mickley LJ, Sulprizio MP, Dominici F, Yue X, Ebisu K, Anderson GB, Khan RFA, Bravo MA, Bell ML. Particulate Air Pollution from Wildfires in the Western US under Climate Change. Clim Change. 2016 10;138(3):655–666. doi: 10.1007/s10584-016-1762-6 [PubMed: 28642628]
13. Wesolowski A, Qureshi T, Boni MF, Sundsøy PR, Johansson MA, Rasheed SB, Engø-Monsen K, Buckee CO. Impact of human mobility on the emergence of dengue epidemics in Pakistan. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015 9 22;112(38):11887–92. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504964112 [PubMed: 26351662]
14. Ofli F, Meier P, Imran M, Castillo C, Tuia D, Rey N, Briant J, Millet P, Reinhard F, Parkan M, Joost S. Combining Human Computing and Machine Learning to Make Sense of Big (Aerial) Data for Disaster Response. Big Data. 2016 3;4(1):47–59. doi: 10.1089/big.2014.0064. Epub 2016 Feb 26. [PubMed: 27441584]
15. Tanner A. Intimate, Anonymized and For Sale. In Our bodies Our Data. Boston, MA: Beacon Press; 2017.
16. Melendez S, Pasternack A. Here Are The Data Brokers Quietly Buying And Selling Your Personal Information [Internet]. Fast Company [cited Mar 10 2021]; Available from <https://www.fastcompany.com/90310803/here-are-the-data-brokers-quietly-buying-and-selling-your-personal-information>
17. Holmgren AJ, Adler-Milstein J. Health Information Exchange in US Hospitals: The Current Landscape and a Path to Improved Information Sharing. J Hosp Med. 2017 3;12(3):193–198. doi: 10.12788/jhm.2704. [PubMed: 28272599]
18. HHS emPOWER Program Platform. Available from <https://empowerprogram.hhs.gov/>
19. Chin T, Kahn R, Li R, et al. US-county level variation in intersecting individual, household and community characteristics relevant to COVID-19 and planning an equitable response: a cross-sectional analysis. Bmj Open 2020;10(9):e039886. 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039886
20. Plough A, Christopher G. New commission to tackle how national health data are collected, shared, and used. Health Affairs Blog. 5 18, 2021. doi: 10.1377/hblog20210518.409206
21. CDC Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, CDC Social Vulnerability Index (SVI).; 2018. [cited 2021 May 5]. Available from <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/index.html>
22. Geraghty E Leaders Achieve Equitable, Speedy Vaccine Distribution. Health; ESRI Blog. ESRI 9 2020 [cited May 5 2021]. Available from <https://www.esri.com/about/newsroom/blog/gis-to-achieve-equitable-speedy-vaccine-distribution/>

23. Trump Administration Releases COVID-19 Vaccine Distribution Strategy. Press Release. Health and Human Services, United States, 2020 [cited May 5, 2021]. Available from <https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2020/09/16/trump-administration-releases-covid-19-vaccine-distribution-strategy.html>
24. Voigt S, Giulio-Tonolo F, Lyons J, Kučera J, Jones B, Schneiderhan T, Platzeck G, Kaku K, Hazarika MK, Czarán L, Li S, Pedersen W, James GK, Proy C, Muthike DM, Bequignon J, Guha-Sapir D. Global trends in satellite-based emergency mapping. *Science*. 2016 7 15;353(6296):247–52. doi: 10.1126/science.aad8728. [PubMed: 27418503]
25. Bi J, Wildani A, Chang HH, Liu Y. Incorporating Low-Cost Sensor Measurements into High-Resolution PM_{2.5} Modeling at a Large Spatial Scale. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2020 2 18;54(4):2152–2162. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.9b06046. Epub 2020 Jan 27. [PubMed: 31927908]
26. de Montjoye YA, Gambs S, Blondel V, Canright G, de Cordes N, Deletaille S, Engø-Monsen K, Garcia-Herranz M, Kendall J, Kerry C, Krings G, Letouzé E, Luengo-Oroz M, Oliver N, Rocher L, Rutherford A, Smoreda Z, Steele J, Wetter E, Pentland AS, Bengtsson L. On the privacy-conscious use of mobile phone data. *Sci Data*. 2018 12 11;5:180286. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.286. [PubMed: 30532052]
27. Acosta RJ, Kishore N, Irizarry RA, Buckee CO. Quantifying the dynamics of migration after Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico. *Proc National Acad Sci* 2020;117(51):32772–8. doi:10.1073/pnas.2001671117
28. Kahn R, Mahmud A, Schroeder A, Aguilar Ramirez L, Crowley J, Chan J, & Buckee C (2019). Rapid Forecasting of Cholera Risk in Mozambique: Translational Challenges and Opportunities. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 34(5), 557–562. doi:10.1017/S1049023X19004783 [PubMed: 31477186]
29. Bhatia A National Shelter System Data: Opportunities, Challenges, and Applications to COVID-19 [Internet]. CrisisReady.io [cited Mar 30 2021]; Available from <https://crisisready.io/post/national-shelter-system-data-opportunities-challenges-and-applications-to-covid-19/>
30. Salathé M, Bengtsson L, Bodnar TJ, et al. Digital Epidemiology. *PLoS Computational Biology* 2012;8(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002616
31. Gasser U, Ienca M, Scheibner J, Sleigh J, Vayena E. Digital tools against COVID-19: taxonomy, ethical challenges, and navigation aid. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2020 8;2(8):e425–e434. doi: 10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30137-0. Epub 2020 Jun 29. [PubMed: 32835200]
32. Kishore N, Kiang MV, Engø-Monsen K, Vembar N, Schroeder A, Balsari S, Buckee CO. Measuring mobility to monitor travel and physical distancing interventions: a common framework for mobile phone data analysis. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2020 11;2(11):e622–e628. doi: 10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30193-X. Epub 2020 Sep 1. [PubMed: 32905027]
33. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Emergency Preparedness Requirements for Medicare and Medicaid Participating Providers and Suppliers. *Federal Register*, 2016: 81 FR 63859 <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/09/16/2016-21404/medicare-and-medicaid-programs-emergency-preparedness-requirements-for-medicare-and-medicaid>
34. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Regulations and Guidance; Promoting Interoperability Programs. Accessed 2021-05-06. <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/EHRIncentivePrograms>
35. Moscovitch B How President Biden Can Improve Health Data Sharing For COVID-19 and Beyond. Pew Charitable Trusts. Accessed 2020-05-06. <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/about/news-room/opinion/2021/03/01/how-president-biden-can-improve-health-data-sharing-for-covid-19-and-beyond>