

Summary of State Spending on Natural Gas Pipeline Project

Below is the summary table from Legislative Research report 25-068, dated January 2025. I also have several of the backup tables, but don't have the narrative report nor am I certain which legislator originally requested it. This document indicates \$635.0 million in spending on the specific named projects between 2004 and 2025.

**Alaska Natural Gas Pipeline and Associated Projects Appropriation History,
Fiscal Years 2004-2025**

Fiscal Year	ANGDA	ASAP	AKLNG Fund	AGDC Direct UGF	Total		
2004	10,844.7	None	None	None	10,844.7		
2005	32,235.1				32,235.1		
2006	10,015.4				10,015.4		
2007	36,530.6				36,530.6		
2008	16,234.8				16,234.8		
2009	25,028.3				25,028.3		
2010	18,681.5				18,681.5		
2011	None				22,840.6	None	22,840.6
2012					21,000.0		21,000.0
2013					21,000.0		21,000.0
2014		353,895.1	69,834.5	423,729.6			
2015		0.0	0.0	0.0			
2016		(181,700.0)	172,971.4	(8,728.6)			
2017		0.0	0.0	0.0			
2018		0.0		0.0			
2019		(10,100.0)	10,100.0	0.0			
2020		0.0	0.0	0.0			
2021		0.0	0.0	0.0			
2022		0.0	0.0	0.0			
2023		0.0	0.0	0.0			
2024		0.0	0.0	3,086.1	3,086.1		
2025	0.0	0.0	2,487.5	2,487.5			
Totals	149,570.4	226,935.7	252,905.9	5,573.6	634,985.6		

I've checked through some historic budgets to fact check this document, and didn't see any errors. Notably, the two AGDC funds (ASAP and AKLNG) were capitalized with \$400 million in FY2014, and spent down in the subsequent years. The FY16 operating budget transferred much of the remaining money in the in-state natural gas pipeline fund to the

public education fund, thus the large negative number in that cell. Later that year, the appropriation bill from the November 2015 special session had large appropriations to close out the TransCanada AGIA relationship and added more money to the AKLNG fund.

The major missing pieces from this data set are:

- **Pre-2004 spending.** The Stranded Gas Act first passed in 1998, and there were also state efforts prior to that. I have not done the research to identify these sums.
- **AGIA spending,** which was inexplicably left out of the research request; see below
- **FY2026 spending.** Last year’s operating budget included \$2.2 million to enable AGDC to continue operating.

AGIA

As you recall, the AGIA license (process authorized by HB177 in 2007; license to TransCanada approved by HB3001 in 2008) provided for state reimbursement of between 50% and 90% of TransCanada’s “pre-FEED” expenditures. The reimbursements were as follows:

Fiscal Year	Reimbursement (\$000s)
2010	4,359.0
2011	56,830.3
2012	119,394.9
2013	78,795.7
2014	55,434.9
2015	12,389.8
Total	327,204.6

Thus, in sum, the total gas pipeline spending I’ve been able to identify is:

Leg Research Report	634,985.6
AGIA Reimbursement	327,204.6
FY2026 Appropriation	2,238.8
Total	964,429.0

This total. \$964.4 million, is likely missing a significant amount of agency spending (Dept. of Law, DNR, etc.) on gasline support activity, as well as anything spent prior to FY2004. It also omits all legislative spending, including easily \$20 million on LB&A consultants and multiple lengthy special sessions, related to this project.