HOUSE JOURNAL

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

THIRTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE

SECOND SESSION

Juneau, Alaska

Thursday

April 7, 2022

Eightieth Day

Pursuant to adjournment the House was called to order by Speaker Stutes at 9:34 a.m.

Roll call showed 36 members present. Representative Patkotak had been excused from a call of the House today. Representative Spohnholz was absent and later excused. Representatives Tarr and Zulkosky were absent and their presence was noted later.

The invocation was offered by the Chaplain, Douglas Mertz of Juneau Congregation of Quakers. Representative Thompson moved and asked unanimous consent that the invocation be spread on the journal. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Let us Pray:

Oh God, whose wisdom surpasses our understanding, make us aware of thy presence in ourselves, in our gatherings, in our deliberations; make us aware of our own better natures and higher selves, that we may seek out what is good in others, putting aside all smallness and bitterness and rivalries; make us aware of thy voice within ourselves, that we may use it to speak to others and to listen to thy wisdom in the words of others.

Make us the instruments of thy peace, thy love, and thy justice. Amen

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Representative Claman.

CERTIFICATION OF THE JOURNAL

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the journal for the 79th legislative day be approved as certified by the Chief Clerk. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

COMMUNICATIONS

The following was received:

Dept. of Commerce, Community, & Economic Development Division of Community & Regional Affairs National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska Impact Mitigation Grant Program Report January 2022

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

HB 409

The Resources Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 409

"An Act approving and ratifying the sale of royalty oil by the State of Alaska to Petro Star Inc.; and providing for an effective date."

The report was signed by Representative Patkotak, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (3): McKay, Cronk, Rauscher

No recommendation (6): Fields, Hopkins, Hannan, Gillham, Schrage, Patkotak

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

1. Fiscal, Dept. of Natural Resources

HB 409 was referred to the Finance Committee.

**The presence of Representative Zulkosky was noted.

HB 410

The Resources Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 410

"An Act approving and ratifying the sale of royalty oil by the State of Alaska to Marathon Petroleum Supply and Trading Company LLC; and providing for an effective date."

The report was signed by Representative Patkotak, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (4): McKay, Cronk, Rauscher, Gillham

No recommendation (5): Fields, Hopkins, Hannan, Schrage, Patkotak

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

1. Fiscal, Dept. of Natural Resources

HB 410 was referred to the Finance Committee

INTRODUCTION OF CITATIONS

The following citations were introduced and referred to the Rules Committee for placement on the calendar:

Honoring - South Anchorage High School 2022 State 4A Boys Basketball Champions

By Senator Holland; Representatives Shaw, Kaufman

In Memoriam - Greg Myford By Representative Claman; Senator Costello

INTRODUCTION, FIRST READING, AND REFERENCE OF HOUSE BILLS

HB 415

HOUSE BILL NO. 415 by the House Rules Committee by request of the Governor, entitled:

"An Act relating to exemptions for the purchase and sale of certain food products for home consumption under the Alaska Food Freedom Act."

was read the first time and referred to the Resources and Finance Committees.

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

- 1. Zero, Dept. of Environmental Conservation
- 2. Indeterminate, Dept. of Natural Resources

The Governor's transmittal letter dated April 6 follows:

"Dear Speaker Stutes:

Under the authority of Article III, Section 18, of the Alaska Constitution, I am transmitting a bill relating to the Alaska Food Freedom Act.

Alaska's food supply is fragile, with over ninety percent of the staples Alaskans use to feed their families being imported from out of state. The lack of a robust, homegrown food industry that is regionally diverse underscores the insecurity local communities and towns face. In order to build a strong economy, Alaska needs to develop its resources, including its valuable, Alaska-grown food.

This bill will allow a producer to sell homemade food products to an informed end consumer and to encourage the expansion of homemade food sales throughout the State. The legislation would codify existing cottage food regulations and raw fruit and vegetable exemptions. The bill also allows consumers to purchase meat pursuant to animal share agreements directly from ranchers.

If enacted, the Alaska Food Freedom Act will stimulate growth of cottage industries, promote food security for all Alaskans, and allow development of a true "farm to table" marketplace. The passage of this important measure will put food on the tables of Alaskans when they need it most.

I urge your prompt and favorable action on this measure.

Sincerely, /s/ Mike Dunleavy Governor"

CONSIDERATION OF THE DAILY CALENDAR

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 281

The following, which was held in second reading from the April 6 calendar (page 2401) was before the House:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 281(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 59 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 12:

Delete all material and insert:

"Centralized 97,586,800 11,102,800 86,484,000 Administrative Services Except for Facilitation of the Collection of Union Dues"

Page 3, line 9:

Delete all material and insert:

"Shared Services of 19,968,600 6,833,200 13,135,400
Alaska Except for
Facilitation of the
Collection of Union Dues"

Page 3, line 19:

Delete all material and insert:

"Administration State 506,200 506,200

Facilities Rent Except for

Facilitation of the

Collection of Union Dues"

Page 3, line 22:

Delete all material and insert:

"Public Communications 2,379,500 2,279,500 100,000

Services Except for

Facilitation of the

Collection of Union Dues"

Page 3, line 27:

Delete all material and insert:

"Office of Information 63,332,500 63,332,500

Technology Except for

Facilitation of the

Collection of Union Dues"

Page 3, line 30:

Delete all material and insert:

"Risk Management 40,580,900 40,580,900

Except for Facilitationof the Collection of Union

Dues"

Page 4, line 4:

Delete all material and insert:

"Legal and Advocacy 59,837,800 57,774,800 2,063,000

Services Except for

Facilitation of the

Collection of Union Dues"

Page 4, line 7:

Delete all material and insert:

"Alaska Public Offices 1,071,500 1,071,500

Commission Except for

Facilitation of the Collection

of Union Dues"

Page 4, line 10:

Delete all material and insert:

"Motor Vehicles 18,548,300 17,983,500 564,800

Except for Facilitation of the Collection of Union Dues"

Page 4, following line 11:

Insert new material to read:

"Facilitation of the 1,000 1,000

Collection of Union Dues

Facilitation of the 1,000

Collection of Union Dues"

Page 4, line 12:

Delete all material and insert:

"Agency Unallocated 608,600 278,200 330,400

Except for Facilitation of the Collection of Union Dues"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 59 be adopted.

There was objection.

**The presence of Representative Tarr was noted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 59 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 59

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak Absent: Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 59 was not adopted.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that Representative Spohnholz be excused from a call of the House today. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 60 was not offered.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, Amendment No. 60 would be moved to the bottom of the amendments.

Amendment No. 61 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 105, lines 25 - 29:

Delete all material.

Page 112, lines 12 - 13:

Delete "not including the appropriation made in sec. 49(x) of this Act,"

Page 112, lines 14 - 15:

Delete ", not including the appropriation made in sec. 49(x) of this Act."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 61 be adopted.

Representative Ortiz objected.

Representative Rauscher moved and asked unanimous consent to abstain from voting because of a conflict of interest. Objection was heard, and he was required to vote.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 61 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 61

YEAS: 8 NAYS: 30 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Gillham, Kurka, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Shaw, Tilton

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 61 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 62 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 18, following line 18:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that no appropriation in this Act be used to promote or market the administration of a COVID-19 vaccine."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 62 be adopted.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 62 be tabled.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 62 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 62/Table

YEAS: 34 NAYS: 4 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 62 was tabled.

Amendment No. 63 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 18, following line 18:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that no appropriation in this Act be used to provide a benefit to an individual for consenting to the administration of a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves or another person."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 63 be adopted.

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 63 be tabled.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 63 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 63/Table

YEAS: 34 NAYS: 4 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 63 was tabled.

Amendment No. 64 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 4, line 18:

Delete "6,534,700" Insert "6,284,700" Delete "1,577,300" Insert "1,327,300"

Page 4, line 19:

Delete "2,042,400" Insert "1,792,400"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 64 be adopted.

Representative Hopkins objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 64 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 64

YEAS: 5 NAYS: 33 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Johnson, Kurka, McKay

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 64 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 65 was not offered.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, Amendment No. 65 would be rolled to the bottom of the amendments.

Amendment No. 66 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 85, line 24:

Delete "\$57,000,000" Insert "\$50,000,000"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 66 be adopted.

Representative Story objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 66 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 66

YEAS: 16 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 66 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 67 was not offered.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, Amendment No. 67 would be rolled to the bottom of the amendments

Amendment No. 68 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 105, lines 18 -19:

Delete "The amount necessary to purchase transferrable tax credit certificates presented for purchase, estimated to be \$472,000,000,"

Insert "The sum of \$349,000,000"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 68 be adopted.

Representative Rasmussen objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 68 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 68

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Claman, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Carpenter, Cronk, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Navs: LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

Claman changed from "NAY" to "YEA"

And so, Amendment No. 68 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 69 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 85, line 24: Delete "\$57,000,000" Insert "\$55,000,000"

Page 92. line 3: Delete "\$2,000,000" Insert "\$4,000,000"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 69 be adopted.

Representative Hopkins objected.

Representative Carpenter moved the previous question.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the question be called?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading

Amendment No. 69/Call for the Question

YEAS: 34 NAYS: 2 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 2

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Kurka

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

Absent: Cronk, Kaufman

And so, the motion passed.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 69 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 69

YEAS: 14 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 69 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 70 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 113, line 14:

Delete "CONTINGENCY." Insert "CONTINGENCIES. (a)"

Page 113, following line 17:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) The amount appropriated to the Department of Education and Early Development, Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education, WWAMI Medical Education allocation, in sec. 1 of this Act, and the appropriation made in sec. 36(e) of this Act, are contingent on the passage by the Thirty-Second Alaska State Legislature during the Second Regular Session and enactment into law of a bill prohibiting an expenditure of state money for the purpose of student participation in an educational program that requires a student to participate in the killing of a child through abortion."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 70 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Claman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 70 be tabled.

There was objection.

Representative Kurka placed a call of the House.

The call was satisfied

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 70 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 70/Table

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 70 was tabled.

Amendment No. 71 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 75, line 19, following "settlements": Insert "in the following amounts"

Page 75, line 20:

Delete "."

Insert ":

AMOUNT	
\$	143,570
	89,331
	182,612
	157,689
	41,300
	495,000"
	\$

AMOUNT

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 71 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

Representative Josephson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 71 be tabled.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 71 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 71/Table

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Claman, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tuck

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 71 was not tabled.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 71 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 71

YEAS: 14 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Rauscher, Tarr, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

Rauscher changed from "NAY" to "YEA"

And so, Amendment No. 71 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 72 was offered by Representative Hopkins:

Page 28, line 11:

Delete "93,416,300" Insert "93,678,300" Delete "70,797,900" Insert "71,059,900"

Page 28, line 21:

Delete "11,805,000" Insert "12,067,000"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Hopkins moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 72 be adopted.

Representative Kurka objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 72 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 72

YEAS: 32 NAYS: 5 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Kurka, Rauscher, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

Absent: Shaw

And so, Amendment No. 72 was adopted.

Amendment No. 73 was offered by Representatives Tarr and Drummond:

Page 40, line 3:

Delete "115,060,500" Insert "117,060,500" Delete "112,679,200" Insert "114,679,200"

Page 40, line 5:

Delete "95,514,200" Insert "97,514,200"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Tarr moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 73 be adopted.

Representative Eastman objected.

Representative Kaufman moved and asked unanimous consent to abstain from voting because of a conflict of interest. Objection was heard, and he was required to vote.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 73 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 73

YEAS: 22 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 2 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Spohnholz

Absent: Shaw

And so, Amendment No. 73 was adopted.

Amendment No. 74 was not offered.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, Amendment No. 74 would be moved to the bottom of the amendments.

Amendment No. 75 was offered by Representatives Claman, Snyder, Foster, Schrage, Tuck, Spohnholz, Hopkins, Edgmon, Ortiz, Josephson, and Drummond:

Page 75, line 17: Delete "\$1,109,502" Insert "\$614,502"

Page 75, line 20, following "2022.":

Insert "The appropriation made in this section does not include an appropriation for the settlement in Bellville v. Dunleavy, Case No. 3:19-cv-00036-JWS and 21-35926, and the Department of Law may not use the appropriation made in this section for that purpose."

Representative Claman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 75 be adopted.

Representative Johnson objected.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 75 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, following line 8 of the amendment:

Insert new material to read:

"Page 76, line 18:

Delete "4,300,000" Insert "4.285.000"

Page 76, following line 21:

Insert "It is the intent of the legislature that this appropriation not be used to pay dues to renew State of Alaska membership in the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC).""

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the House would recess to 2:00 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 12:39 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker called the House back to order at 2:14 p.m.

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 281

The following was before the House with Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 75 moved and pending:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 281(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that Representative Rasmussen be excused from the call of the House today. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Tuck rose to a point of order noting that a deadline set for submission of amendments to amendments had passed.

Representative Eastman rose to a point of order citing sections 157 and 62 of Mason's Manual providing that a member may make a motion without requiring seconds.

The Speaker ruled Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 75 out of order.

Representative Eastman appealed the ruling of the Chair.

The question being: "Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 75/Ruled Out of Order Sustain Ruling of the Chair

YEAS: 22 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the ruling of the Chair was sustained.

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 75 be considered notwithstanding the amendment to the amendment deadline (page 2349). There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 75 was offered by Representative Carpenter:

Page 1, line 3 of the amendment:

Delete "614,502" Insert "1,109,402"

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 75 be adopted.

Representative Eastman objected.

Representative Eastman rose to a point of order, citing section 61 of Masons Manual, regarding the interruption of members.

The Speaker ruled that the point was out of order.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 75 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 75

YEAS: 7 NAYS: 30 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McKay, Tarr, Thompson, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 75 was not adopted.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 3 to Amendment No. 75 be considered.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 3 to Amendment No. 75 be considered?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 3 to Amendment No. 75 be considered

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Snyder, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the motion failed.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 75 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 75

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 17 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 75 was adopted.

Amendment No. 76 was offered by Representatives Claman, Josephson, Snyder, Foster, Schrage, Tuck, Spohnholz, Edgmon, Ortiz, and Drummond:

Page 4, line 4:

Delete "59,837,800" Insert "62,187,700" Delete "57,774,800" Insert "60,124,700"

Page 4, line 5:

Delete "28,538,800" Insert "29,507,200"

Page 4, line 6:

Delete "31,299,000" Insert "32,680,500"

Page 4, following line 4:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Department of Administration use the additional funding included in this

appropriation to provide retention bonuses to the Public Defender Agency and the Office of Public Advocacy staff who are employed on June 30, 2022, and who continue to be employed on May 31, 2023. It is the intent of the legislature that bonuses be allocated as follows: \$10,000 for attorney positions; \$5,000 for associate attorneys, paralegals, investigators, or similar positions; and \$3,000 for law office assistants, public guardians, and general office personnel. It is not the intent of the legislature to provide bonuses to the Public Defender, the Office of Public Advocacy Division Director, or nonpermanent positions."

Representative Claman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 76 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Hopkins moved and asked unanimous consent to abstain from voting because of a conflict of interest. Objection was heard, and he was required to vote.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 76 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 76

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 17 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Carpenter

And so, Amendment No. 76 was adopted.

Amendment Nos 77 and 78 were not offered

The Speaker stated that, without objection, Amendment Nos. 77 and 78 would be rolled to the bottom of the amendments.

Amendment No. 79 was offered by Representative Cronk:

Page 16, following line 22:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that \$5 from each bison hunt draw permit application and the matching Pittman-Robertson funding that relates to the \$5 from each bison hunt draw permit application are used to directly fund the Delta Junction State Bison Range and bison management in the state."

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 79 be adopted.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 79 be tabled.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 79 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 79/Table

YEAS: 33 NAYS: 3 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Kaufman, Kurka

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Carpenter

And so, Amendment No. 79 was tabled.

Amendment No. 80 was offered by Representative Cronk:

Page 77, following line 27:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* Sec. 28. HOUSE DISTRICT 6: CAPITAL. The sum of \$60,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development for payment as a grant under AS 37.05.316 to the Tok Shooters Association, Inc., for purchase from the Department of Natural Resources of the land described as the Tok Shooting Range Subdivision, ASLS 2021-10, Fairbanks Recording District as Plat 2021-96."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

```
Page 86, line 5:
```

Delete "sec. 30(a)(18)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(18)"

Page 86, line 10:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(19)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(19)"

Page 86, line 15:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(20)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(20)"

Page 86, line 22:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(22)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(22)"

Page 87, line 2:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(1)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(1)"

Page 87, line 8:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(2)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(2)"

Page 87, line 13:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(3)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(3)"

Page 87, line 18:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(4)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(4)"

Page 87, line 23:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(5)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(5)"

Page 87, line 28:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(6)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(6)"

Page 88, line 2:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(7)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(7)"

Page 88, line 8:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(8)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(8)"

Page 88, line 13:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(9)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(9)"

Page 88, line 18:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(10)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(10)"

Page 88, line 24:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(11)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(11)"

Page 88, line 29:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(12)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(12)"

Page 89, line 4:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(13)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(13)"

Page 89, line 10:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(14)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(14)"

Page 89, line 15:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(15)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(15)"

Page 89, line 20:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(16)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(16)"

Page 89, line 25:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(17)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(17)"

Page 89, line 30:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(21)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(21)"

Page 90, line 5:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(23)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(23)"

Page 90, line 11:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(24)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(24)"

Page 90, line 17:

Delete "sec. 30(b)" Insert "sec. 31(b)"

Page 90, line 24:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(25)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(25)"

Page 112, line 6:

Delete "sec. 33(d)" Insert "sec. 34(d)"

Page 112, lines 12 - 13:

Delete "sec. 49(x)"

Insert "sec. 50(x)"

Page 112, line 14:

Delete "sec. 49(x)"

Insert "sec. 50(x)"

Page 112, lines 28 - 29:

Delete "30, 33(a), (b), and (d) - (f), 34(c) - (e), 43, 47(b) and (c),

49, 50(a) - (l), 51(a) - (c), 55, and 56(b)"

Insert "31, 34(a), (b), and (d) - (f), 35(c) - (e), 44, 48(b) and (c),

50, 51(a) - (l), 52(a) - (c), 56, and 57(b)"

Page 113, line 10:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)"

Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 11:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)"

Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 12:

Delete "sec. 38(x)" in both places

Insert "sec. 39(x)" in both places

Page 113, line 14:

Delete "sec. 36(d)"

Insert "sec. 37(d)"

Page 113, line 18:

Delete "59"

Insert "60"

Page 113, line 20:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)"

Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 22:

Delete "secs. 61 - 63"

Insert "secs. 62 - 64"

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 80 be adopted.

Representative Merrick objected.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 80 was offered by Representative McCabe:

Page 1, line 1, through page 6, line 12 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"Page 4, line 27:

Delete "11,041,900" Insert "11,101,900" Delete "6,131,500" Insert "6,191,500"

Page 4, line 28:

Delete "8,906,800" Insert "8,966,800"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly."

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 80 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 80. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 80 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 80

YEAS: 10 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 2

Yeas: Cronk, Gillham, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tuck, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tilton, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Carpenter, Fields

And so, Amendment No. 80 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 81 was offered by Representative Cronk:

Page 77, following line 27:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* Sec. 28. HOUSE DISTRICT 6: CAPITAL. The sum of \$1,600,000,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development for payment as a grant under AS 37.05.316 to the Alaska Railroad Corporation for completion of the northern railway extension to Fort Greely."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 86, line 5:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(18)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(18)"

Page 86, line 10:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(19)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(19)"

Page 86, line 15:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(20)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(20)"

Page 86, line 22:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(22)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(22)"

Page 87, line 2:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(1)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(1)"

Page 87, line 8:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(2)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(2)"

Page 87, line 13:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(3)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(3)"

Page 87, line 18:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(4)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(4)"

Page 87, line 23:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(5)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(5)"

Page 87, line 28:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(6)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(6)"

Page 88, line 2:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(7)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(7)"

Page 88, line 8:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(8)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(8)"

Page 88, line 13:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(9)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(9)"

Page 88, line 18:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(10)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(10)"

Page 88, line 24:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(11)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(11)" Page 88, line 29:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(12)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(12)"

Page 89, line 4:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(13)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(13)"

Page 89, line 10:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(14)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(14)"

Page 89, line 15:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(15)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(15)"

Page 89, line 20:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(16)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(16)"

Page 89, line 25:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(17)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(17)"

Page 89, line 30:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(21)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(21)"

Page 90, line 5:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(23)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(23)"

Page 90, line 11:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(24)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(24)"

Page 90, line 17:

Delete "sec. 30(b)" Insert "sec. 31(b)"

Page 90, line 24:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(25)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(25)"

Page 112, line 6:

Delete "sec. 33(d)" Insert "sec. 34(d)"

Page 112, lines 12 - 13:

Delete "sec. 49(x)" Insert "sec. 50(x)"

Page 112, line 14:

Delete "sec. 49(x)" Insert "sec. 50(x)"

Page 112, lines 28 - 29:

Delete "30, 33(a), (b), and (d) - (f), 34(c) - (e), 43, 47(b) and (c), 49, 50(a) - (*l*), 51(a) - (c), 55, and 56(b)"

Insert "31, 34(a), (b), and (d) - (f), 35(c) - (e), 44, 48(b) and (c), 50, 51(a) - (*l*), 52(a) - (c), 56, and 57(b)"

Page 113, line 10:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)" Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 11:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)" Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 12:

Delete "sec. 38(x)" in both places Insert "sec. 39(x)" in both places

Page 113, line 14:

Delete "CONTINGENCY." Insert "CONTINGENCIES. (a)" Delete "sec. 36(d)" Insert "sec. 37(d)"

Page 113, following line 17:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) If the national infrastructure project assistance program under 49 U.S.C. 6701 awards a grant to the Alaska Railroad Corporation for completion of the northern railway extension to Fort Greely before June 30, 2027, the grant is appropriated to the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development for payment as a grant under AS 37.05.316 to the Alaska Railroad Corporation for completion of the northern railway extension to Fort Greely, and the appropriation made in sec. 28 of this Act is reduced by the amount of the grant."

```
Page 113, line 18:
Delete "59"
Insert "60"
```

Page 113, line 20:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)" Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 22:

Delete "secs. 61 - 63" Insert "secs. 62 - 64"

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 81 be adopted.

Representative Merrick objected.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 81 was not offered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 81 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 81

YEAS: 9 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 2

Yeas: Cronk, Drummond, Gillham, Hopkins, Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Rauscher, Thompson

Nays: Claman, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, Hannan, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Carpenter, Fields

And so, Amendment No. 81 was not adopted.

Representative Wool moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 68. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 68 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 68

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Cronk, Gillham, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 68 was adopted.

Amendment No. 82 was offered by Representative Cronk:

Page 77, following line 27:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* Sec. 28. HOUSE DISTRICT 6: CAPITAL. The sum of \$307,000,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development for payment as a grant under AS 37.05.316 to the Alaska Railroad Corporation for completion of phase two of the northern rail extension."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 86, line 5:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(18)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(18)"

Page 86, line 10:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(19)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(19)"

Page 86, line 15:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(20)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(20)"

Page 86, line 22:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(22)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(22)"

Page 87, line 2:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(1)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(1)"

Page 87, line 8:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(2)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(2)"

Page 87, line 13:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(3)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(3)"

Page 87, line 18:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(4)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(4)"

Page 87, line 23:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(5)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(5)"

Page 87, line 28:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(6)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(6)"

Page 88, line 2:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(7)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(7)"

Page 88, line 8:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(8)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(8)"

Page 88, line 13:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(9)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(9)"

Page 88, line 18:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(10)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(10)"

Page 88, line 24:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(11)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(11)"

Page 88, line 29:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(12)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(12)"

Page 89, line 4:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(13)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(13)"

Page 89, line 10:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(14)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(14)"

Page 89, line 15:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(15)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(15)"

Page 89, line 20:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(16)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(16)"

Page 89, line 25:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(17)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(17)"

Page 89, line 30:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(21)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(21)"

Page 90, line 5:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(23)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(23)"

Page 90, line 11:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(24)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(24)"

Page 90, line 17:

Delete "sec. 30(b)" Insert "sec. 31(b)"

Page 90, line 24:

Delete "sec. 30(a)(25)" Insert "sec. 31(a)(25)"

Page 112, line 6:

Delete "sec. 33(d)" Insert "sec. 34(d)"

Page 112, lines 12 - 13:

Delete "sec. 49(x)" Insert "sec. 50(x)"

Page 112, line 14:

Delete "sec. 49(x)" Insert "sec. 50(x)"

Page 112, lines 28 - 29:

Delete "30, 33(a), (b), and (d) - (f), 34(c) - (e), 43, 47(b) and (c), 49, 50(a) - (*l*), 51(a) - (c), 55, and 56(b)"

Insert "31, 34(a), (b), and (d) - (f), 35(c) - (e), 44, 48(b) and (c), 50, 51(a) - (l), 52(a) - (c), 56, and 57(b)"

Page 113, line 10:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)" Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 11:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)" Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 12:

Delete "sec. 38(x)" in both places Insert "sec. 39(x)" in both places

Page 113, line 14:

Delete "CONTINGENCY." Insert "CONTINGENCIES. (a)" Delete "sec. 36(d)" Insert "sec. 37(d)"

Page 113, following line 17:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) If the national infrastructure project assistance program under 49 U.S.C. 6701 awards a grant to the Alaska Railroad Corporation for completion of phase two of the northern rail extension before June 30, 2027, the grant is appropriated to the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development for payment as a grant under AS 37.05.316 to the Alaska Railroad Corporation for completion of phase two of the northern rail extension, and the appropriation made in sec. 28 of this Act is reduced by the amount of the grant."

Page 113, line 18:

Delete "59" Insert "60"

Page 113, line 20:

Delete "30, 35(h), and 50(d) and (e)" Insert "31, 36(h), and 51(d) and (e)"

Page 113, line 22:

Delete "secs. 61 - 63" Insert "secs. 62 - 64"

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 82 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 82 was not offered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 82 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 82

YEAS: 7 NAYS: 29 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Cronk, Drummond, Gillham, Hopkins, McCabe, McKay, Rauscher

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, Hannan, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Fields

And so, Amendment No. 82 was not adopted.

Amendment No 83 was not offered

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 49 be taken from the table.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 49 be taken from the table?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 49/Take from Table

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the motion failed.

Amendment No. 84 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

"(d) The sum of \$850,341,775 is appropriated from the general fund to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 84 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 84 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, lines 1 - 5 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"Page 82, line 7:

Delete "\$3,360,567,100" Insert "\$3,350,367,100"

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

- "(d) The sum of \$850,341,775 is appropriated to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023, from the following sources:
 - (1) \$840,141,775 from the general fund;
- (2) \$10,200,000 from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145)."

Page 82, line 16, following "(c)": Insert "and (d)(2)""

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 84 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 84 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 84

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Johnson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Stutes, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kaufman, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Snyder, Story, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 84 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 84 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 84 as amended

YEAS: 11 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McKay, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 84 as amended was not adopted.

Amendment No. 85 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

"(d) The sum of \$842,841,775 is appropriated from the general fund to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 85 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 85 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, lines 1 - 5 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"Page 82, line 7:

Delete "\$3,360,567,100" Insert "\$3,357,867,100"

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

- "(d) The sum of \$842,841,775 is appropriated to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023, from the following sources:
 - (1) \$840,141,775 from the general fund;
- (2) \$2,700,000 from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145)."

Page 82, line 16, following "(c)": Insert "and (d)(2)""

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 85 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 85 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 85

YEAS: 21 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Eastman, Fields, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Drummond, Edgmon, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, LeBon, Ortiz, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 85 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 85 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 85 as amended

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McKay, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 85 as amended was not adopted.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 70 be taken from the table.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 70 be taken from the table?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 70/Take from Table

YEAS: 13 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kurka, LeBon, McCarty, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, McCabe, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And, so the motion failed.

Amendment No. 86 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

"(d) The sum of \$899,241,775 is appropriated from the general fund to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 86 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 86 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, lines 1 - 5 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"Page 82, line 7:

Delete "\$3,360,567,100"

Insert "\$3,301,467,100"

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

- "(d) The sum of \$899,241,775 is appropriated to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023, from the following sources:
 - (1) \$840,141,775 from the general fund;
- (2) \$59,100,000 from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145)."

Page 82, line 16, following "(c)":

Insert "and (d)(2)""

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 86 be adopted. There being no objection, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 86 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 86 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 86 as amended

YEAS: 13 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 86 as amended was not adopted.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 31 be taken from the table.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 31 be taken from the table?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 31/Take from Table

YEAS: 5 NAYS: 32 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Kaufman, Kurka, Prax, Tilton

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the motion failed

Amendment No. 87 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 28, line 32:

Delete "17,845,800" Insert "12,243,500" Delete "11,237,900" Insert "5,635,600"

Page 28, line 33:

Delete "15,197,900" Insert "9,595,600"

Page 29, line 10:

Delete "739,400" Insert "734,300" Delete "493,300" Insert "488,200"

Page 29, line 11: Delete "739,400"

Insert "734,300"

Page 37, line 9:

Delete "167,531,400" Insert "161,133,700" Delete "110,661,200" Insert "104,263,500"

Page 37, line 28:

Delete "44,369,400" Insert "39,370,200"

Page 37, line 30:

Delete "69,950,700" Insert "69,440,200"

Page 37, line 32:

Delete "25,539,500" Insert "24,651,500"

Page 38, line 28:

Delete "769,100" Insert "767,100" Delete "76,400" Insert "74,400"

Page 38, line 29:

Delete "769,100" Insert "767,100"

Page 48, line 6:

Delete all material.

Page 49, line 27:

Delete all material.

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

"(d) The sum of \$852,148,875 is appropriated from the general fund to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 87 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 87 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, lines 3 - 7 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"Page 82, line 7:

Delete "\$3,360,567,100" Insert "\$3,348,560,000"

Page 82, lines 9 - 12:

Delete all material and insert:

- "(d) The sum of \$852,148,875 is appropriated to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023, from the following sources:
 - (1) \$840,141,775 from the general fund;
- (2) \$12,007,100 from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145)."

Page 82, line 16, following "(c)": Insert "and (d)(2)""

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 87 be adopted. There being no objection, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 87 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 87 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 87 as amended

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 87 as amended was not adopted.

Amendment No. 88 was offered by Representative Kurka:

Page 21, line 15:

Delete "36,977,200" Insert "36,576,833" Delete "11,407,200" Insert "11,211,248" Delete "25,570,000" Insert "25,365,585"

Page 21, line 18:

Delete "4,333,700" Insert "3,933,333"

Page 45, line 28:

Delete "1,996,316,500" Insert "1,996,192,987"

Page 45, line 29:

Delete "722,701,700" Insert "722,505,748"

Page 46, line 1:

Delete "41,540,800" Insert "41,494,918"

Page 46, line 4: Delete "2,249,900" Insert "2,214,880"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 88 be adopted.

Representative Tarr objected.

Representative Tuck rose to a point of order regarding the constitutionality of the amendment.

The Speaker ruled Amendment No. 88 out of order.

Representative Eastman rose to a point of order, citing section 578 of Mason's Manual, regarding the ruling of constitutionality and appealed the ruling of the Chair.

The question being: "Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Amendment No. 88/Ruled out of Order Sustain Ruling of the Chair

YEAS: 30 NAYS: 7 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Kurka, McKay, Rauscher, Vance Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the ruling of the Chair was sustained.

Representative Tilton moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 84 as amended. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 84 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 84 as amended

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 84 as amended was not adopted.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the House would recess to 6:15 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 4:47 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker called the House back to order at 6:25 p.m.

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 281

The following was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 281(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Representative Rauscher moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 30 be taken from the table.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 30 be taken from the table?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 30/Take from Table

YEAS: 13 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the motion failed.

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 45 be taken from table.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 45 be taken from table? The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 45/Take from Table

YEAS: 8 NAYS: 29 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, Prax, Rauscher

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the motion failed.

Representative Claman moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 75.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 75?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am

Second Reading

Rescind Previous Action in adopting Amendment No. 75

YEAS: 1 NAYS: 36 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: LeBon

Nays: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, the motion failed.

Amendment No. 7 was offered by Representative Gillham:

Page 85, lines 24 - 27:

Delete all material.

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 113, lines 14 - 17:

Delete all material.

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 113, line 22:

Delete "secs. 61 - 63" Insert "secs. 60 - 62"

Representative Gillham moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 7 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 7 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 7

YEAS: 16 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 7 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 46 was offered by Representatives Vance and Kurka:

Page 39, following line 3:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that state funds appropriated to the University of Alaska not be used to enforce a regulation or policy of the statewide university system that denies or infringes on the individual right to keep and bear arms under art. I, sec. 19, Constitution of the State of Alaska."

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 46 be adopted.

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 46 be tabled.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 46 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 46/Table

YEAS: 29 NAYS: 7 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 1

Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Yeas: Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, Rauscher, Tilton

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Snyder

And so, Amendment No. 46 was tabled.

Amendment No. 39 was offered by Representatives Tilton, LeBon, and Thompson:

Page 18, lines 14 - 18:

Delete all material

Representative Tilton moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 39 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 39 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 39

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 39 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 40 was offered by Representatives Tilton, LeBon, and Thompson:

Page 13, lines 23 - 27: Delete all material.

Representative Tilton moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 40 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 40 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am Second Reading Amendment No. 40

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

And so, Amendment No. 40 was not adopted.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 281(FIN) am be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

CSHB 281(FIN) am will advance to third reading on the April 9 calendar.

HB 282

The following, which was held in second reading from the April 6 calendar (page 2401) was before the House:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 282(FIN)

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and capital expenses of the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 1 was not offered.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 282(FIN) be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

CSHB 282(FIN) will advance to third reading on the April 9 calendar.

LEGISLATIVE CITATIONS

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the House approve the citations on the calendar. There being no objection, the following citations were approved and sent to enrolling:

Honoring - Dianne Young By Representative Prax, Hopkins

Honoring - Amy Gallaway By Senator Bishop; Representative Hopkins

Honoring - Marilyn Coghill Duggar By Senator Bishop; Representative Cronk

In Memoriam - Henry "Chalaavaluq" Ivanoff, Sr. By Representative Foster; Senator Olson

In Memoriam - Beverly Long Stone By Representative Prax

In Memoriam - Gregory Samuel O'Claray By Senator Bishop

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that the unmoved amendments be spread on the journal.

There was objection.

The Speaker ruled the motion out of order.

Representative Eastman appealed the ruling of the Chair.

The question being: "Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 281(FIN) am and CSHB 282(FIN) Spread Amendments in Journal/Motion out of Order Sustain Ruling of the Chair

YEAS: 30 NAYS: 2 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 5

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Kurka

Excused: Patkotak, Rasmussen, Spohnholz

Absent: Carpenter, Cronk, McCabe, McCarty, McKay

And so, the ruling of the Chair was sustained.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

HB 230

Representative McCabe added as a cosponsor to:

HOUSE BILL NO. 230

"An Act relating to school athletics, recreation, athletic teams, and sports."

SB 156

Representatives Rasmussen and McKay added as cross sponsors to:

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 156(HSS)

"An Act relating to COVID-19 immunization rights; relating to objection to the administration of a COVID-19 vaccine; relating to COVID-19 vaccination status and eligibility for health care insurance; and providing for an effective date."

ANNOUNCEMENTS

House committee schedules are published under separate cover.

ADJOURNMENT

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the House adjourn until 10:00 a.m., April 9. There being no objection, the House adjourned at 7:59 p.m.

Crystaline Jones Chief Clerk