



# **LAWS OF ALASKA**

**2004**

**Source**

SCS CSHB 349(JUD)

**Chapter No.**

\_\_\_\_\_

**AN ACT**

Amending Rule 412, Alaska Rules of Evidence.

\_\_\_\_\_

**BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:**

THE ACT FOLLOWS ON PAGE 1



**AN ACT**

1 Amending Rule 412, Alaska Rules of Evidence.

2 \_\_\_\_\_  
3 \* **Section 1.** The uncoded law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section  
4 to read:

5 DIRECT COURT RULE AMENDMENT. Rule 412, Alaska Rules of  
6 Evidence, is amended to read:

7 **Rule 412. Evidence Illegally Obtained.** Evidence illegally obtained shall not  
8 be used over proper objection by the defendant in a criminal prosecution for any  
9 purpose except:

10 (1) a statement illegally obtained in violation of the right to warnings  
11 under Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966), may be used in

12 (A) a prosecution for perjury if the statement is relevant to the  
13 issue of guilt or innocence and if the prosecution shows that the statement was  
14 otherwise voluntary and not coerced; or

15 (B) any prosecution, to impeach the defendant,

1 codefendant, or a former defendant in the case who made the statement if  
2 the prosecution shows that the statement was

3 (i) otherwise voluntary and not coerced; and

4 (ii) recorded, if required by law, or has been  
5 determined to be covered by one of the recognized exceptions to the  
6 recording requirement; and

7 (2) other evidence illegally obtained may be admitted in

8 (A) a prosecution for perjury if it is relevant to the issue of  
9 guilt or innocence and if the prosecution shows that the evidence was not  
10 obtained in substantial violation of rights of the defendant; or

11 (B) any criminal action, to impeach the defendant,  
12 codefendant, or a former defendant in the case if the prosecution shows  
13 that the evidence

14 (i) was the product of a statement illegally obtained  
15 in violation of the right to warnings under Miranda v. Arizona, 384  
16 U.S. 436 (1966); and

17 (ii) was not obtained in substantial violation of the  
18 rights of the defendant, codefendant, or a former defendant in the  
19 case, as appropriate.