

APFC BOARD'S ANNUAL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EVALUATION FORM

Trustees: The Board evaluates the Executive Director based on a calendar year. Please use this form to rate Angela Rodell's performance from October 28, 2015 – November 30, 2016. Please note that although staff performs the work in some areas covered in this evaluation, the Executive Director is ultimately responsible. Any increase to salary will be processed as of January 1, 2017 in conjunction with staff increases. Feel free to add additional pages for your comments, if needed.

Rating scale

NA =

5	=	Outstanding: excellent quality; consistently exceeds expectations
4	=	Good: better than average most of the time
3	=	Adequate: meets minimum requirements; performs the job adequately
2	=	Below average: inconsistent performance; sometimes does not meet minimum requirements
1	=	Unsatisfactory: performs tasks poorly or not at all, seldom meets minimum standards

No comment: no opportunity to observe performance in this area or not enough information

A. ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT

5	4	3	2	1	NA	1. Establishes an effective communication system with the board, staff and legislature
5	4	3.	2	1	NA	2. Implements board policies, directives, and operational goals as intended by the board
5	4	3	2	1	NA	3. Sets long- and short-range corporate goals
5	4	3	2	1	NA	4. Distinguishes between primary problems and trivialities
5	4	3	2	1	NA	5. Prioritizes the important issues of the corporation when budgeting time
Com	ments:_					



В.	STAFF					
5	4	3	2	1	NA	1. Develops and executes sound personnel procedures and practices
5	4	3	2	1	NΑ	2. Communicates effectively and respectfully with staff
5	4	3	2	1	NA	3. Delegates authority to appropriate staff according to position and ability
5	Δ	3	2	1	NΑ	4. Holds staff accountable for consistent quality performance
5	4	3	2	1	NA	5. Inspires staff to do their best and to consistently strive to improve professionally
Co						
C.	COMMUN	ITY AN	D PUBLIC	CRELATI	ONS	
5	4	3	2	1	NΑ	1. Is perceived by those outside the corporation as a community leader
5	4	3	2	1	NΛ	2. Interacts effectively with executive and legislature
Cor	nments:					
D.	BOARD RE	LATION	IS			
5	4	3	2	1	NA	1. Reeps the board informed about corporate issues, needs, interests and operations
5	4	3	2	1	NA	2. Maintains a harmonious working relationship with the board
5	4	3	2	1	NA	3. Freely expresses any opposition to matters under board discussion until an official decision has been reached, after which time the ED subordinates personal views and supports the board's position
5	4	3	2	1	NA	4. Plans for effective board meetings
5	4	3	2	1	AM	5. Keeps the poard informed of the organizations, committees, and boards s/he participates in

Co	Comments:					
E.	SHORT ANSWER SECTION:					
1.	List the Executive Director's three (3) greatest strengths:					
2.	List the three (3) areas needing the most improvement:					
3.	List the Executive Director's three (3) most significant achievements or successes in the review period:					
4:	List the three (3) most important areas for the Executive Director to focus her attention on in the year ahead:					

5.	List any additional items – not covered in this evaluation – that you want mentioned during the discussion of the Executive Director's performance:							
F.	OVERALL R	ATING OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S PERFORMANCE						
	5 =	Outstanding excellent quality; consistently exceeds expectations						
	4 =	Good: better than average most of the time						
	3 =	Adequate meets minimum requirements; performs the Job adequately						
	2 =	Below average: inconsistent performance; sometimes does not meet minimum requirements						
	1 =	Unsatisfactory: performs tasks poorly or not at all; seldom meets minimum standards						
	NA =	No comment: no opportunity to observe performance in this area or not enough information						
Cor	nments:							
To	stee name:							
ı ı u	sice Haine							
D: c	ACC DETURN	THIS COMPLETED EVALUATION TO BY THURSDAY 11/10/2016: CONFIDENTIAL: ATTN. CHAD BROWN						

EMAIL: cbrown@apfc.org