## HB 120 Testimony Packet House Resources Committee

Section 13 must be taken out of the present bill. 640 acres going to commercial individuals is ridiculous. For starters, it takes way too much land out of public ownership in a given location.

Public lands are where we all hunt, fish, and explore, recreate and conserve our resources for the long term. 640 acres will just primarily help oligarchs create empires, effectively taking over entire neighborhoods.

Section 13 will also endanger wildlife and fish habitat. There is significantly less oversight of private land by state agencies, than public lands, to monitor habitat degradation and destruction.

Public land is one of the most critical assets of Alaska. Do Alaskans want to pay for, or ask permission to head to a favorite hunting area or fishing hole? Most state land is free and open to meet activity, go questions asked. Do not take this right away.

Denis Ransy Talkeetna

I am strongly opposed to HB120. As the session winds to a close and you consider final bills to push forward this year, please put the breaks on HB 120 which would allow DNR to liquidate state lands by selling them off to outside interests and pushing Alaskan's who fish, hunt and recreate out while stripping salmon habitat protections like mineral closing orders when lands leave state ownership.

This bill does not resolve any existing problems and will favor outside corporate interests over Alaskans. Specifically HB 120 does the following:

- allows DNR to sell Alaska's state public lands for 70% of assessed value- ie. not much of a revenue generator for the state.

- State public lands offer hunting, fishing and recreational opportunities and provide habitat for our fish and wildlife resources. Fragmenting state public lands by selling them off in a piecemeal fashion threatens our use and enjoyment of those lands.

- Allows DNR to sell public lands for speculative industrial/ commercial development with no perceived return on investment

-Allows DNR to sell land closed to mineral development by reclassifying that land for other uses. Most mineral closing orders are in areas with highly productive fish habitat. This provision threatens our fisheries.

- While the agricultural provisions are good, they should be pulled out and moved to a stand-alone bill. There is more bad than good in the bill.

Sincerely, Ms. Theresa Slaven 1800 E Pintail Dr Wasilla, AK 99654-2612 <u>terryms@gci.net</u> As the session winds to a close and you consider final bills to push forward this year, please put the breaks on HB 120 which would allow DNR to liquidate state lands by selling them off to outside interests and pushing Alaskan's who fish, hunt and recreate out while stripping salmon habitat protections like mineral closing orders when lands leave state ownership.

This bill does not resolve any existing problems and will favor outside corporate interests over Alaskans. Specifically HB 120 does the following:

- allows DNR to sell Alaska's state public lands for 70% of assessed value- ie. not much of a revenue generator for the state.

- State public lands offer hunting, fishing and recreational opportunities and provide habitat for our fish and wildlife resources. Fragmenting state public lands by selling them off in a piecemeal fashion threatens our use and enjoyment of those lands.

- Allows DNR to sell public lands for speculative industrial/ commercial development with no perceived return on investment

-Allows DNR to sell land closed to mineral development by reclassifying that land for other uses. Most mineral closing orders are in areas with highly productive fish habitat. This provision threatens our fisheries.

- While the agricultural provisions are good, they should be pulled out and moved to a stand-alone bill. There is more bad than good in the bill.

Sincerely, Mr. Patrick Kearney 895 W12th St Apt 301 Juneau, AK 99801 patrickj9kearney@gmail.com

As the session winds to a close and you consider final bills to push forward this year, please put the breaks on HB 120 which would allow DNR to liquidate state lands by selling them off to outside interests and pushing Alaskan's who fish, hunt and recreate out while stripping salmon habitat protections like mineral closing orders when lands leave state ownership.

This bill does not resolve any existing problems and will favor outside corporate interests over Alaskans. Specifically HB 120 does the following:

- Allows DNR to sell Alaska's state public lands for 70% of assessed value- ie. not much of a revenue generator for the state.

- State public lands offer hunting, fishing and recreational opportunities and provide habitat for our fish and wildlife resources. Fragmenting state public lands by selling them off in a piecemeal fashion threatens our use and enjoyment of those lands.

- Allows DNR to sell public lands for speculative industrial/ commercial development with no perceived return on investment

-Allows DNR to sell land closed to mineral development by reclassifying that land for other uses. Most mineral closing orders are in areas with highly productive fish habitat. This provision threatens our fisheries, which the Indigenous and settler people of our state depend on.

- While the agricultural provisions are good, they should be pulled out and moved to a stand-alone bill. There is more bad than good in the bill.

Sincerely, Ms. Terese Schomogyi 1730 A Wildlife Land Bethel, AK 99559 tesmoge@gmail.com

As the session winds to a close and you consider final bills to push forward this year, please put the breaks on HB 120.

We are the Last Frontier. We should protect our lands from being raped by outside interests whose ONLY agenda is filling their pockets while destroying our sustainable/ subsistence resorces; ref: Pebble Mine, a Canadian owned company destroying pristine waterways to fill their coffers.

HB120 would allow DNR to liquidate state lands by selling them off to outside interests and pushing Alaskan's who fish, hunt and recreate out while stripping salmon habitat protections like mineral closing orders when lands leave state ownership.

This bill does not resolve any existing problems and will favor outside corporate interests over Alaskans. Specifically HB 120 does the following:

- allows DNR to sell Alaska's state public lands for 70% of assessed value- ie. not much of a revenue generator for the state.

- State public lands offer hunting, fishing and recreational opportunities and provide habitat for our fish and wildlife resources. Fragmenting state public lands by selling them off in a piecemeal fashion threatens our use and enjoyment of those lands.

- Allows DNR to sell public lands for speculative industrial/ commercial development with no perceived return on investment

-Allows DNR to sell land closed to mineral development by reclassifying that land for other uses. Most mineral closing orders are in areas with highly productive fish habitat. This provision threatens our fisheries.

- While the agricultural provisions are good, they should be pulled out and moved to a stand-alone bill. There is more bad than good in the bill.

Look out for the health interests of Alaskans. No to HB 120.

Sincerely,

As the session winds to a close and you consider final bills to push forward this year, please put the breaks on HB 120 which would allow DNR to liquidate state lands by selling them off to outside interests and pushing Alaskan's who fish, hunt and recreate out while stripping salmon habitat protections like mineral closing orders when lands leave state ownership.

This bill does not resolve any existing problems and will favor outside corporate interests over Alaskans. Specifically HB 120 does the following:

- allows DNR to sell Alaska's state public lands for 70% of assessed value- ie. not much of a revenue generator for the state.

- State public lands offer hunting, fishing and recreational opportunities and provide habitat for our fish and wildlife resources. Fragmenting state public lands by selling them off in a piecemeal fashion threatens our use and enjoyment of those lands.

- Allows DNR to sell public lands for speculative industrial/ commercial development with no perceived return on investment

-Allows DNR to sell land closed to mineral development by reclassifying that land for other uses. Most mineral closing orders are in areas with highly productive fish habitat. This provision threatens our fisheries.

- While the agricultural provisions are good, they should be pulled out and moved to a stand-alone bill. There is more bad than good in the bill.

Sincerely, Mr. Jared Miller 206 Spinning Rock Rd Nome, AK 99762 yupikrunner@gmail.com