HB 301 - Presentation to Alaska House Committee

Tom Plant, Center for the New Energy Economy

Colorado State University



Colorado State University

Center for the New Energy Economy



The costs of both wind and solar have declined dramatically over the past 12 years

Levelized Cost of Energy Comparison—Historical Renewable Energy LCOE Declines

In light of material declines in the pricing of system components and improvements in efficiency, among other factors, wind and utility-scale solar PV have exhibited dramatic LCOE declines; however, as these industries have matured, the rates of decline have diminished

Unsubsidized Wind LCOE

(1)

LCOE Wind 2009 - 2021 Percentage Decrease: (72%) (\$/MWh) Wind 2009 - 2021 CAGR: (10%)(2) \$250 Wind 2016 - 2021 CAGR: (4%)(2) 200 \$169 \$14 150 \$92 \$95 \$95 100 \$101 \$99 \$77 \$60 \$54 \$54 50 \$50 \$48 \$45 \$37 \$32 \$32 \$30 \$29 \$28 \$26 0 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 LCOE 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 Version — — – Wind LCOE Mean Wind LCOE Range Source: Lazard estimates Represents the average percentage decrease of the high end and low end of the LCOE range. Represents the average compounded annual rate of decline of the high end and low end of the LCOE range.



Renewable Portfolio Standards

States and territories States and territories States and territories States and territories with a voluntary with Renewable with expired **R** renewable energy Portfolio Standards standard or target WA OR MN NV OF UT CA CO MO AZ NM ТΧ H

alifornia 100% 2045 olorado 100% 2050 onnecticut 44% 2030 elaware 40% 2035 taine 100% 2050 taryland 50% 2030 tassachusetts 35% 2030 tevada 100% 2050 tevada 100% 2050 tev Mexico 2050 2030 teve York 70% 2030 tergon 100% 2045 irginia 100% 2045 irginia 100% 2045		tandard or	
alifornia 100% 2045 olorado 100% 2050 onnecticut 44% 2030 elaware 40% 2035 taine 100% 2050 taryland 50% 2030 tassachusetts 35% 2030 tew Jersey 50% 2025 tew Mexico 100% 2050 tew York 70% 2030 tergon 100% 2045 inginia 100% 2045 inginia 100% 2045	State	Amendments to RPS/CES Legislation Sin	ce 2018
olorado 100% 2050 onnecticut 44% 2030 elaware 40% 2035 taine 100% 2050 taryland 50% 2030 tassachusetts 35% 2030 tinnesota 26.5% 2025 tevada 100% 2050 tev Jersey 50% 2030 tew York 70% 2030 tergon 100% 2045 inginia 100% 2045/2050 tex form 100% 2045/2050	State	New RPS/CES Target	By Years
onnecticut 44% 2030 elaware 40% 2035 taine 100% 2050 taryland 50% 2030 tassachusetts 35% 2030 tinnesota 26.5% 2025 tevada 100% 2050 tev Jersey 50% 2030 tew Mexico 100% 2045 tergon 100% 2045	California	100%	2045
elaware 40% 2035 laine 100% 2050 laryland 50% 2030 lassachusetts 35% 2030 linnesota 26.5% 2025 levada 100% 2050 lev Jersey 50% 2030 lew York 70% 2030 regon 100% 2045 irginia 100% 2045 lew York 70% 2030 irginia 100% 2045 irginia 100% 2045	Colorado	100%	2050
Iaine 100% 2050 Iaryland 50% 2030 Iassachusetts 35% 2030 Iinnesota 26.5% 2025 Ievada 100% 2050 Iew Jersey 50% 2030 Iew York 70% 2030 Iregon 100% 2045 Iregon 100% 2045 Iregon 100% 2045/2050 Iregon 100% 2045/2050 Iregon 100% 2045/2050 Iregon 100% 2045/2050	Connecticut	44%	2030
Maryland 50% 2030 Massachusetts 35% 2030 Massachusetts 26.5% 2025 Levada 100% 2050 Lew Jersey 50% 2030 Lew Mexico 100% 2045 Lew York 70% 2030 Iregon 100% 2045 Ashington 100% 2045/2050	Delaware	40%	2035
Assachusetts35%2030tinnesota26.5%2025levada100%2050lew Jersey50%2030lew Mexico100%2045lew York70%2030regon100%2045/2050irginia100%2045/2050Jashington100%2045	Maine	100%	2050
Innesota 26.5% 2025 levada 100% 2050 ew Jersey 50% 2030 lew Mexico 100% 2045 lew York 70% 2030 regon 100% 2045 irginia 100% 2045/2050 Ashington 2045 2045	Maryland	50%	2030
levada 100% 2050 lew Jersey 50% 2030 lew Mexico 100% 2045 lew York 70% 2030 iregon 100% 2045 irginia 100% 2045 Joshington 2045/2050 2045/2050	Massachusetts	35%	2030
Image: Part of the series of the se	Minnesota	26.5%	2025
Image: Strain	Nevada	100%	2050
New York 70% 2030 pregon 100% 2040 irginia 100% 2045/2050 /ashington 100% 2045	New Jersey	50%	2030
Integration Integration <thintegration< th=""> <thintegration< th=""></thintegration<></thintegration<>	New Mexico	100%	2045
irginia 100% 2045/2050 /ashington 100% 2045	New York	70%	2030
Vashington 100% 2045	Oregon	100%	2040
-	Virginia	100%	2045/2050
/ashington D.C. 100% 2032	Washington	100%	2045
	Washington D.C.	100%	2032

AS GU MP PR VI

Colorado's RPS

- Citizen's ballot initiative in 2004
 - Opposed by Xcel Energy
- Set in 2005 to achieve 10% by 2015
 - ▶ In 2007, Xcel Energy was going to achieve the 10% goal 8 years early
- In 2007 was updated to achieve 20% by 2020
 - Xcel learned how to manage wind resources more effectively with predictive weather modeling
 - Costs of wind and solar continued to decrease
 - > Xcel supported the increase to 20%
- In 2010 was updated to achieve 30% by 2020
 - Xcel supported the increase to 30%
- ▶ In Dec 2020, Xcel produced 33% of their electricity from renewable sources

Delivering Increasingly Cleaner Electricity

In 2020 Xcel committed to greater levels of Renewables without a Legislative Requirement



HISTORIC EMISSIONS OVERVIEW



Since 2005, emissions in Colorado have dropped while population has increased



Colorado's RPS Costs - looking at an Xcel bill 2006-2010

Rural Electric Cooperatives Supplied by Tristate G&T



Increase clean energy

- Retiring their Coal Assets and investing in Renewable Energy
- Lowering wholesale costs by 8%
- Cost of new renewables are less than the marginal (operating) costs of existing coal assets

By 2024, 50% of the electricity our members use will come from clean energy

By 2024, we will bring over 1,000MW of utility-scale wind and solar projects online, doubling our system to over 2,000MW. By 2030, our goal is that 70% of the energy supplied to members system-wide will be clean energy.

— 2020 PROGRESS ——

- We began receiving power from the first of our 1,000MW of new wind and solar projects, which will double our renewable resources by 2024.
- We set a goal that 70% of energy supplied to members system-wide will be clean energy by 2030.
- In our 2020 ERP preferred scenario, we identified an additional 1,850MW of renewables and 200MW of energy storage to increase our clean energy to roughly 4,000MW by 2030.*

Thank you

CENTER FOR THE NEWENERGY ECONOMY

Colorado State University

Tom Plant <u>Tom.plant@colostate.edu</u> www.cnee.colostate.edu