

Monday, May 3, 2021

Dear Members of the House Fisheries Committee:

Kachemak Bay Conservation Society is writing on behalf of our members in strong opposition to the HB 82. This bill will re-write the rule book on oil and gas extraction in all areas closed in the state. According to section 1 of the bill:

"Unless specifically provided, a statute that restricts the surface use of an oil and gas lease or a gas only lease in specified acreage does not also restrict subsurface use for oil and gas resource development that can be accomplished by drilling from acreage that does not have surface use restrictions."

This includes State Game Sanctuaries, State Game Refuges, State Parks, and State Critical Habitat Areas. Lateral drilling technology allows companies to drill and frack up to ten miles into closed areas from outside platforms. Alaska's Legislature closed our rich public lands and waters to oil and gas extraction to protect them from significant risks to fish, wildlife, and habitat. While we allow drilling elsewhere in the state, we have created this system to balance the the diverse and sometimes competing interests of our state. It is important that we maintain this balance if we want to continue to be a state with wild salmon, big game and a subsistence lifestyle. Our protected lands and waters are are essential for maintaining Alaska's cultural identity, our subsistence and commercial harvests, as well as the health of our tourism industry. This bill upends those essential protections. The legislature protected Kachemak Bay and other public lands for a reason, and it was not to allow lateral drilling and fracking. Please put a stop to it.

Note that while the Kachemak Bay Critical Habitat Area was set aside by the legislature to be managed by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) to protect fish and wildlife, the Department of Natural Resources and the Govoner's office has not consulted with ADF&G on this proposal—indeed the managers of our critical habitat area were completely unaware of the proposal when we contacted them. This is not the way to protect our fish and wildlife and their critical habitat.

The Kachemak Bay Conservation Society's mission is to protect the environment of the Kachemak Bay region and greater Alaska by encouraging sustainable use and stewardship of natural resources through advocacy, education, information, and collaboration.



Kachemak Bay Conservation Society 3734 Ben Walters Ln, Homer, AK 99603 907 235.8214 kbayconservation@gmail.com

#### FRACKING FOR FUEL

Hydraulic fracturing is used to access oil and gas resources that are locked in non-porous rocks.



Anthony Ingraffea, & Terry Engelder. http://www.nature.com/ nature/journal/v477/n7364/fig tab/477271a F1.html

According to the EPA and USGS, there are a wide range of risks associated with hydraulic fracturing that will impact our protected lands and waters:1

 Stress on surface water and ground water supplies from the withdrawal of large volumes of water used in drilling and hydraulic fracturing;

 Contamination of underground sources of drinking water and surface waters resulting from spills, faulty well construction, or by other means;

 Adverse impacts from discharges into surface waters or from disposal into underground injection wells; and

• Air pollution resulting from the release of volatile organic compounds, hazardous air pollutants, and greenhouse gases.

• Induced seismicity from the injection of waste fluids into deep disposal wells

<sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.epa.gov/uog; https://www.usgs.gov/fags/what-environmental-issues-are-</u> associated-hydraulic-fracturing?gt-news science products=0#gt-news science products

The Kachemak Bay Conservation Society's mission is to protect the environment of the Kachemak Bay region and greater Alaska by encouraging sustainable use and stewardship of natural resources through advocacy, education, information, and collaboration.



Kachemak Bay Conservation Society 3734 Ben Walters Ln, Homer, AK 99603 907 235.8214 kbayconservation@gmail.com

## Water withdrawals:

The average water withdrawal in a fracking operation is 3 million gallons per well and can be up to 16 million gallons.<sup>2</sup> Where will the water come from? In this case, most likely from The Anchor River, which has it's own Critical Habitat Area upstream, that would be impacted from these significant withdrawals. This means lower water levels—in turn, warmer waters, and direct harm to salmon and trout. Across the state, we can expect enormous freshwater withdrawals on the perimeter of areas closed to oil and gas drilling will have significant negative impacts on the protected areas; in some cases this will mean withdrawing water from those protected areas when an aquifer crosses the boundary of the protected area. This will harm the protected areas by drawing down essential aquifers, removing water from salmon streams and lakes, damaging wetlands, peatlands. As you know, Alaska's waters are already warming, threatening our the foundation of fisheries. Such additional stress in our protected lands and waters is completely unacceptable.

### **Backflow and spills:**

The 2005 Energy Policy Act exempted fracking from the Safe Drinking Water Act – this regulatory exclusion is often referred to as the <u>Halliburton loophole</u> and it means that the "produced water" that comes back out of the well is highly toxic and presents undue risks to protected lands and waters nearby. This is no small mater, when according to <u>studies</u> by Duke University, up to to 16% of hydraulically fractured oil and gas wells spill liquids every year, according to new research from US scientists.

### Leaks and groundwater:

The casing which ring the well bore and which goes through underground aquifers and groundwater flows is meant to act as a barrier between underground water and the shaft through which the toxic frack fluid and gas flow. But casings are known to fail or break during the fracturing process, allowing the frack fluid or naturally-occurring contaminants to contaminate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://environmentamerica.org/reports/ame/fracking-numbers-0

The Kachemak Bay Conservation Society's mission is to protect the environment of the Kachemak Bay region and greater Alaska by encouraging sustainable use and stewardship of natural resources through advocacy, education, information, and collaboration.



groundwater. When that happens, frack fluid and methane can leak from the well bore directly into the water supply, causing dangerous gas buildups, and making water unfit to drink, which has occurred all around the country.<sup>3</sup> We cannot allow this in our precious protected lands and waters.

# Seismic drilling in the Critical Habitat Area

This bill will bring seismic exploration into our protected lands and waters. Seismic surveys can be done year after year, as the results of any one proprietary study are not available to any other company. These curves threaten marine mammals, and even kill large numbers of zooplankton, disrupting the food web. We cannot allow seismic surveys to be conducted in Kachemak Bay Critical Habitat Area, or Alaska's State Parks and reserves. These areas are protected for a reason, and they should stay that way.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments. Please also see the attached signatures opposing HB 82.

Sincerely,

Roberta Highland, President Kachemak Bay Conservation Society

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Abrahm Lustgarten and ProPublica, "Drill for Natural Gas, Pollute Water," Scientific American, 11/17/2008

The Kachemak Bay Conservation Society's mission is to protect the environment of the Kachemak Bay region and greater Alaska by encouraging sustainable use and stewardship of natural resources through advocacy, education, information, and collaboration.

-			On and Gas in Kachemak bay Petition. No to HB 62 and 5B 62
Date	Name		Additional Comments
05/03/2021 12:44:36 am	Lilly Ryterski	9960	B No
05/02/2021 4:07:28 pm	Gordy Vernon	9960	B Dunleavy's administration is drunk on oil like sailor who has finished the bottle of rum and doesn't realize the bottle is gone
-	Susan Pacillo	9951	
	-		
05/01/2021 5:43:34 pm	THERESE LEWANDOWSKI		B Please don't let Kachemak Bay be fouled by "profits first" mentality.
04/30/2021 7:46:19 pm	Nicole Arevalo	9960	It's a critical habitat area. The oil and gas development needs to steer clear of it. That's the only sensible way to manage development in this prol fic estuary, which is so critical to the economy and livelihoods n southcentral
04/30/2021 4:03:46 pm	Richard Gustafson	9960	3
04/30/2021 9:33:44 am	Rick Ejnar James	9968	7
	Anne Wieland		
04/30/2021 6:06:01 am	Anne Wieland	9960	B This plan must not be allowed to take place. Keep the renewable fisheries safe from run by fossil fuels.
04/30/2021 4:25:14 am	Devry Garity	9960	I want you to vote no on HB 82. We need protection for our food, water and env ronment.
04/30/2021 2:01:53 am	Marjorie Ringer	9960	3
04/30/2021 1:21:09 am	Lee Page	9960	I am firmly against HB 82. Lateral drilling endangers critical habitat areas. We need to put our efforts into renewable energy and move away from drilling for more fossil fuels.
04/30/2021 12:24:46 am		9960	
04/30/2021 12:23:54 am	Robert Cole	9960	3
04/30/2021 12:22:57 am	Michael A LeMay	9960	3
04/30/2021 12:11:54 am	kathryn L carssow	9960	3
04/29/2021 11:32:05 pm	Mildred Martin	9960	3
04/29/2021 11:28:22 pm	mary griswold	9960	
04/29/2021 11:18:58 pm	Kevin Walker	9960	3
04/28/2021 4:43:14 am	Madeline Lee	9960	This cannot happen in Kachemak Bay. We don't know enough about what the repercussions of fracking will have on the critical habitat in Kachemak Bay. This would be devastat ng to our community.
04/28/2021 4:41:55 am	Anna Liliedahl	9960	3
04/27/2021 8:55:03 pm			B Alaska's protected lands and waters are an essential part of our identities and economies. Do not allow frack ng in them.
04/27/2021 5:46:01 am	Tyler Katzmar	9950	7
04/27/2021 4:45:26 am	River Gates	9951	3
04/27/2021 1:20:02 am	Francis Barton	9961	5 Protect Kachemak Bay, don't destroy it.
	Rika Mouw	9960	
04/23/2021 3:11:11 am	Laurel Epps	9950	Please vote NO!!!
04/23/2021 3:06:57 am	Neil R Wagner	9960	Hello, I am totally against HB 82. Please have some concern for Alaska instead of risking our marine environment for money. PWS has many species in decline after the Exxon Oil Spill. Don't risk out future over oil when we h
			Thank You Neil wagner
0.1/00/0000			
04/23/2021 3:06:21 am	Sue Christiansen	9960	5
04/23/2021 3:02:47 am	Nina Faust	9960	Frack ng under Kachemak Bay Critical Habitat Area is unconscionable because it is a sneaky way to circumvent the regulations. Fracking can affect aquifers that are in the area. Please do not let this bill pass.
04/23/2021 2:58:45 am	Kate Finn	9960	There is NO WAY n good conscious we can allow this to happen in our Bay or Inlet!!
	Ginger Drais		NO way to HB82!!!!
	-		
04/23/2021 2:57:55 am	Mary Frische and Tom Collopy	9960	
04/23/2021 2:56:50 am	Anna Wo fson	9960	3
04/19/2021 5:53:19 am	Tyler R Henegan	9950	7
	Dawn Nixon	9961	
04/15/2021 7:28:01 pm	Joe Jacob	9956	
04/15/2021 5:11:57 pm	Laura Hagar	8045	PLEASE DON'T DO ANYTHING TO POLLUTE THE WONDERFUL SEAFOOD THAT COMES FROM ANYWHERE IN ALASKA!!!
			Save the jobs of those that fish, crab, and support those industries by cancelling all leases for O&G & mineral development ANYWHERE in ALASKA'S WATERS!!!
04/15/2021 4:43:06 pm	Scott P Miller	9960	The lives of those of us who live here should matter more than profit for outside interests!
04/15/2021 3:43:45 pm	Jessica Garron	9970	Please use the effort and funding that would be applied to HB82 to identifying ways to increase renewable resource development in Alaska.
-			
04/15/2021 3:34:24 pm			Fly ng helicopters for 20 years, working for petroleum and mining. Money was always more important to the companies than the environment. I chose the planets health over wealth. 25 years off grid with solar and wind and h
04/15/2021 3:26:11 pm	Wray K nard	9951	
04/12/2021 6:19:46 am	Alisha Chartier	9966	3
04/10/2021 8:59:09 pm	Robert E Archibald	9960	3
04/10/2021 8:57:35 pm	Roberta K Highland		B Lets stop this
04/08/2021 5:55:27 pm	Leonard Peck	<u>v</u> 9965	Purely threatening the resources and livelihoods of the area and its inhabitants, this whole notion of more natural gas and oil development needs to be relegated to the past, with wind, wave, solar and such being the only future.
04/08/2021 2:49:05 pm	Carla Osorio	<u>c</u> 8020	7
04/08/2021 6:55:47 am	Ceal Smith	9960	3
	Laurie Daniel	9960	
04/08/2021 4:24:04 am			
04/08/2021 4:01:56 am	Janel Harris	9966	This would affect my livelihood as a sightseeing tour operator on Kachemak Bay. I want to keep this detrimental operation away from Kachemak Bay. My job depends on it.
04/08/2021 3:57:13 am	Nina Faust	9960	B Kachemak Bay is off limits to oil and gas exploration. I do not support this back door way to drill the Bay.
04/08/2021 3:49:00 am	Krist n Debbin	9957	
04/08/2021 3:46:07 am	Chelsea Vukovich	9951	
	Phillip F Moser	9980	
04/08/2021 2:18:55 am	Sue Christiansen	9960	Please do everyth ng you can to keep oil and gas out of Kachemak Bay.
04/08/2021 2:10:00 am	Elvira Paschke	9950	3
	Charlene Insley		7 Protect our Earth, please.
	-		
04/08/2021 1:39:20 am	Mark Haldane	9950	
04/08/2021 1:31:32 am	ANGELA FERRARI	9951	
04/08/2021 1:21:22 am	Amon Coleman	9966	Close Kachemak Bay to oil and gas development.
04/08/2021 1:13:31 am	Bjorn Olson	9960	3
	Barbara Wyatt	9960	
-	-		
04/07/2021 6:07:42 pm	Aaron Levy		B NO OIL AND GAS IN KACHEMAK BAY! What is more important? The profits of an outdated and unsustainable industry or the health of the critical and fragile ecosystems we all rely on? Clearly the natural env ronment is more
04/06/2021 2:58:52 am	Barbara Veeck	9960	NO on HB 82 - Please, fossil fuels threaten our way of life-they are not the future
04/06/2021 12:07:23 am	Frank Ragulsky	9960	3
04/05/2021 11:26:38 pm		0.996	B Kachemak Bay is a prist ne wonderland! No amount of money could ever replace the true value of Kachemak Bay.
04/05/2021 10:53:52 pm		801	
04/05/2021 10:36:49 pm	claire waxman	9960	3
04/05/2021 3:33:47 am	Melisa Miller	9960	3
04/05/2021 12:05:48 am	KATHY SARNS IRWIN	<u>k</u> 9960	3
04/04/2021 8:55:05 pm		9960	
-			
04/03/2021 5:57:41 pm		9960	
04/03/2021 4:31:57 pm	Mildred Martin	9960	It seems like an endless battle to save Kachemak Bay, but worth it, Been fighting for it since 1969, time to permanently protect it
			Been fighting for it since 1968, time to permanently protect it.
04/03/2021 4:25:06 pm	Mildred M Mart n	9960	3
04/03/2021 4:25:06 pm 04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm		9960 9960	
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm	Mark Turner	9960	3
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm	Mark Turner Daniel Perry	9960	3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am	Mark Turner Daniel Perry David Godfrey	9960 9960 9960	3       3       3
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm	Mark Turner Daniel Perry David Godfrey	9960 9960 9960	<ul> <li>We cannot afford the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.</li> </ul>
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am	Mark Turner Daniel Perry David Godfrey Judith A James	9960 9960 9960 9960 9960	B       Image: Constant of the sisk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         B       Image: We cannot afford the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am	Mark Turner Daniel Perry David Godfrey Judith A James	9960 9960 9960	B       Image: Constant of the sisk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         B       Image: We cannot afford the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am	Mark Turner Daniel Perry David Godfrey Judith A James Kevin Walker	9960 9960 9960 9960 9960	B       Image: Constant of the since of the
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am	Mark Turner Daniel Perry David Godfrey Judith A James Kevin Walker Patricia L Cue	9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960	B       Image: Constant of the second of the s
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am	Mark Turner         Daniel Perry         David Godfrey         Judith A James         Kevin Walker         Patricia L Cue         Michael A LeMay	9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960	B       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         C       Image: Constraint of the risk
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am 04/03/2021 12:06:58 am	Mark Turner         Daniel Perry         David Godfrey         Judith A James         Kevin Walker         Patricia L Cue         Michael A LeMay         Mark Luttrell	9960         9960	B       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of the risk of major c
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am	Mark Turner         Daniel Perry         David Godfrey         Judith A James         Kevin Walker         Patricia L Cue         Michael A LeMay         Mark Luttrell	9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960 9960	B       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of the risk of major c
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am 04/03/2021 12:06:58 am	Mark Turner         Daniel Perry         David Godfrey         Judith A James         Kevin Walker         Patricia L Cue         Michael A LeMay         Mark Luttrell         Lawrence J. Radcliffe	9960         9960	B       Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet.         Image: Constraint of the risk of th
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am 04/03/2021 12:06:58 am 04/03/2021 12:03:10 am	Mark TurnerDaniel PerryDavid GodfreyJudith A JamesKevin WalkerPatricia L CueMichael A LeMayMark LuttrellLawrence J. RadcliffeCristen San Roman	9960         9960 <t< td=""><td>3         3         4         4         5         5         5         6         6         7         7         8         8         9         9         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         10         10         10         11         12         12         13         14        &lt;</td></t<>	3         3         4         4         5         5         5         6         6         7         7         8         8         9         9         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         10         10         10         11         12         12         13         14        <
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am 04/03/2021 12:06:58 am 04/03/2021 12:03:10 am 04/02/2021 11:27:50 pm	Mark TurnerDaniel PerryDavid GodfreyJudith A JamesKevin WalkerPatricia L CueMichael A LeMayMark LuttrellLawrence J. RadcliffeCristen San RomanRobert E Archibald	9960         9960 <t< td=""><td>Image: Second Second</td></t<>	Image: Second
04/03/2021 3:32:18 pm 04/03/2021 3:22:19 pm 04/03/2021 4:38:42 am 04/03/2021 3:37:12 am 04/03/2021 2:56:58 am 04/03/2021 2:01:54 am 04/03/2021 1:36:02 am 04/03/2021 12:06:58 am 04/03/2021 12:03:10 am	Mark TurnerDaniel PerryDavid GodfreyJudith A JamesKevin WalkerPatricia L CueMichael A LeMayMark LuttrellLawrence J. RadcliffeCristen San RomanRobert E Archibald	9960         9960 <t< td=""><td>k a constant afford the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet. I urge you to vote aga nst HB 82!           k</td></t<>	k a constant afford the risk of major catastrophe in this rich, bio-sensitive area of lower Cook Inlet. I urge you to vote aga nst HB 82!           k

# Oil and Gas in Kachemak Bay Petition: No to HB 82 and SB 62