From: <u>John Gaguine</u>

To: House Resources; Senate Resources
Subject: Al Barrette nomination to Game Board
Date: Tuesday, April 9, 2019 8:33:22 PM

I oppose this nomination. The legislature found him unsuitable in 2010. For the same reasons it should find him unsuitable now

John Gaguine Juneau From: Denise Abel

To: <u>House Resources</u>; <u>Senate Resources</u>

Subject: Alaska Board of Game

Date: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 2:05:58 PM

Hello,

I am writing to voice my opposition to Mr. Al Barrette as a member of the BOG. His stance on game management is dangerous to the long term populations of Alaska wild life. His perspectives will likely influence the BOG to make even more extreme wildlife management decisions which I oppose.

Thank you.

Denise Abel

Eagle River, AK 99577

From: jhuesemann@olypen.com

To: <u>House Resources</u>

Subject: Comments on nominee to BOG -- Al Barrette

Date: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 12:55:56 PM

Importance: High

Please enter the following comments as Public Testimony for the Consideration of Governor Dunleavy's Appointees to the Board of Game -- the case of Al Barrette

Mr. Barrette is **totally unfit** to serve on the Board of Game.

Mr. Barrette has used all of his formerly held "public service" positions to enhance his own personal financial interests. Note that, in addition to owning and operating the Fairbanks Fur Tannery, Mr. Barrette manufactures and sells wolf traps. He has consistently favored policies to increase his sales. He had and has serious and ongoing conflicts of interest.

There was a major conflict of interest with Mr. Barrette's voting on the Denali buffer zone issue (he voted to remove it), and rather should have recused himself from voting given his personal business had the potential to profit from removal of the zone since it would lead to increased wolf trapping opportunities.

He voted to authorize the baiting of brown bears. but it was voted down by other BOG members. This indicates another conflict of interest and self-serving rather than ethical or public oriented behavior.

Mr. Barrette also voted to allocate to nonresident use and to suppress resident use seasons on several occasions – additional instances of self-serving conflict of interest.

He primarily supports non-resident hunters' perspectives and does not consider other perspectives, such as those of non-consumptive users. He has demonstrated that he regularly favors non-resident hunters' rights over resident and subsistence hunters' rights and has radical and extreme perspectives as well as radical religious extremist excuses for animal abuse, extreme even for the BOG; He does not support fair chase hunting standards and disregards science when making decisions. He has been filmed and recorded making extremist statements.

Alaska can do way better than this. Mr. Barrette does **NOT** belong on the

Board of Game.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael H. Huesemann and

Dr. J. A. Huesemann

From: <u>Margaret McGinnis</u>
To: <u>House Resources</u>

Subject: Governor"s Appointees for the Board of Game **Date:** Wednesday, April 10, 2019 5:15:22 AM

I am writing re the consideration of Mr. Al Barrette to serve on the Board of Game. In 2010, when he last served on the BOG, there were several concerns noted below; therefore, I feel he should not be appointed again. Thank you.

- In addition to owning and operating the Fairbanks Fur Tannery, Mr. Barrette manufactures and sells wolf traps, and has been a permitted gunner as part of the aerial hunting of wolves predator control program. In a 2010 ADN article associated with his 2010 appointment to the BOG, he was quoted as saying "I will definitely be a representative for the trappers". During the March 2010 BOG meeting, he voted against restricting trapping in residential areas in Healy, despite strong local support from Healy residents and community concerns about pets being inadvertently trapped and killed near homes.
- During the March 2010 BOG meeting, not only did Mr. Barrette oppose the Denali Buffer Zone, but he also supported the moratorium preventing the consideration of a reimplementation of a buffer zone for at least six years.
- From 2000 to 2010, the Alaska Board of Game approved the closure of certain areas outside of but adjacent to the eastern region of Denali National Park (the buffer zone) to wolf hunting and trapping year-round in order to protect wolf viewing opportunities in the park. In 2010, the Board of Game eliminated the buffer zone and voted to prevent reconsideration of a buffer zone for at least six years. In 2017, the National Park Service submitted a proposal to the Board of Game to reinstate a buffer zone, but the Board of Game denied the request. There is no habitat buffer zone in place today. Learn more about the Denali Buffer Zone and its benefits.
- After voting to repeal the Denali Buffer Zone, Mr. Barrette suggested the BOG authorize using a snow machine to harvest wolves in the "old" buffer zone. No other members supported the ideas and the BOG Chairman, Cliff Judkins, was quoted as saying "I just cringe at the thought of a snow machine running down the park boundary chasing wolves it's almost beyond me." When questioned about this during his confirmation hearing, he replied it was just table talk, not an amendment or anything else, and that after listening to public input and advisory committee input, his opinion about chasing wolves in snow machines is

neutral.

- During the <u>Senate Resources Committee Hearing on April 7, 2010</u> (start at page 13 for the transcript regarding Mr. Barrette's hearing; public testimonials start at page 22), several other concerns were brought forth about Mr. Barrette's voting record and wildlife management perspectives.
- Concerns were expressed that there was a conflict of interest in Mr. Barrette
 voting on the Denali buffer zone issue, and that he should have recused himself
 from voting given his personal business had the potential to profit from removal
 of the zone since it would lead to increased wolf trapping opportunities.
- When asked his perspective about the state's biological management of predators, Mr. Barrette answered that all the current predator control programs are effective and he likes them.
- When asked if he voted to authorize the baiting of brown bears, Mr. Barrette
 replied he "made one of those newbie errors, and yes, I did put that on the
 table". He also amended a proposal to allow baiting bears in Interior Alaska
 when residents, tourists and families are actively fishing, hiking, and camping in
 the month of July; "it was substantially voted down" by other BOG members.
- When questioned about why he advocated for commercial guides (and potentially non-residents) to be allowed 10 bait stations, when Alaskan residents are only allocated 2 stations, and if prior to voting there was discussion about that violating the Alaska constitution's equal access clause, Mr. Barrette said he thought the majority of the board was in favor of allowing guides to have more bear baits because it would be safer and more efficient in the field, and that while he did vote in favor of more bear baits he did not know if it violated Alaska's constitution as he did not seek professional review of the constitution.
- Mr. Barrette confirmed that he voted to allocate to nonresident use or to suppress resident use seasons on several occasions.

During an interview with Backpacker Magazine, Mr. Barrette was videoed skinning a wolf and saying "It specifically puts out in the first book of the Bible, in Genesis, that we should subdue nature and control it. We should be the managers of the animals and through the sin of Adam and Eve is what brought it on and in fact the first clothes that were made for Adam and Eve were skins of animals by God". When asked to clarify what he meant by this statement during his confirmation hearing, Mr. Barrette denied there is any kind of biblical foundation to his view of wildlife management. Instead he said what he meant to say was that he believes game should be managed and that should be done through professional data, input from the public and elected advisory committees. He further commented that the opposite of managing is just to "let Mother Nature take care of itself" and that you can't manage for sustainability by letting Mother Nature do it.

- Several of the members of the public <u>who testified opposing Mr. Barrette at the 2010 hearing</u> expressed concerns about his claims that he listens to members of the public and Advisory Councils, but then votes or lobbies for contrary positions; only supports hunters' perspectives and does not consider other perspectives, such as those of non-consumptive users; regularly favors non-resident hunters' rights over resident and subsistence hunters' rights; has radical or extreme perspectives, even for the BOG; doesn't support fair chase hunting standards; or seems to disregard science when making decisions.
- One public commenter identified the issue of appointing Mr. Barrette as one of perpetuating unequal representation on the BOG:

"It's the general underlying philosophy that is already well represented there [on the BOG] that he [Mr. Barrette] brings. He [the individual testifying] asked them [the legislature] to consider what it would be like if the board had representatives from the Sierra Club, Green Peace, Friends of Animals, Defenders of Wildlife, and Earth First, and then the governor has an open seat and appoints someone from PITA. That is precisely what has happened here, although on the other political arm in the spectrum. People on the environmental side would feel ashamed if a governor were to do that and he is stunned that the consumptive use side does not feel "utterly ashamed" at the notion that you cannot have a balanced Board of Game." -Rick Steiner's public testimony as presented on page 32 of the April 7, 2010 Senate Resource Committee report

From: Bruce Baker

To: Senate Resources; House Resources
Subject: Governor's Appointees for the Board of Game

Date: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 1:23:55 AM

Dear Alaska Senate & House Resources Committee members –

As a 49-year Alaska resident who utilizes Alaska wildlife, I strongly encourage you to vote against confirmation of Mr. Al Barrette to the Board of Game. Here are some of the arguments against his reappointment to the BOG:

He owns a tannery, manufactures and sells wolf traps, profits from the killing of wolves, and has been a permitted gunner in the aerial hunting of wolves, yet he refused to recuse himself from voting on the Denali wolf habitat buffer zone in March 2010.

When asked his perspective about the state's biological management of predators, Mr. Barrette answered that all the current predator control programs are effective and that he likes them. That is not the sign of a man who is willing to objectively, and with an open mind, consider each and every predator control decision on its own merits.

Mr. Barrette supports only hunters' perspectives to the exclusion of other perspectives, such as those of non-consumptive users, he favors non-resident hunters' rights over those of resident and subsistence users, he has radical or extreme positions, he invokes biblical passages to defend his positions, he does not support fair chase hunting standards, and he seems to disregard science when making decisions.

Mr. Barrette voted against trapping in residential areas of Healy, despite strong local support from Healy residents and community concerns about pets being inadvertently trapped and killed near homes.

Sincerely,

/s/ Bruce H. Baker

Juneau, AK 99801

From: Art Bloom
To: House Resources

Subject: Governor's Appointees for the Board of Game

Date: Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:18:43 AM

I am opposed to the nomination of Al Barrette to the board of Game. I have been a hunter for all the 47 years I have been in Alaska and am a strong advocate of fair chase principles and science based management.

 From:
 Karen Walker

 To:
 House Resources

 Cc:
 Karen Walker

Subject: Governor's Appointees for the Board of Game **Date:** Wednesday, April 10, 2019 1:39:54 PM

To: House Resources Committee:

I am very concerned about the Governor's recommended appointees for the Board of Game. The BOG should represent all Alaskans' interests in wildlife. His appointees are pro hunting and trapping. The BOG should also represent non-consumptive "users" of wildlife like biologists, wildlife photographers, or tour guides. I have been a tour guide in Alaska for over 30 years, and I do not believe the BOG represents my interests in wildlife. A more balanced BOG is necessary to properly manage our wildlife for all Alaskans.

I am especially concerned with the appointment of Jerry Burnett. He has shown his favoritism toward trappers, owns a tannery and has gunned down wolves in the past. He is also against the Denali Buffer Zone which I feel is very important for protecting Denali's wolf population. These wolves are the one place in Alaska where Alaskans and visitors have the highest potential to see wolves in the wild. Killing these wolves takes away a dream for thousands of people each year.

Please select members of the BOG that represent all Alaskans, not just hunters and trappers.

Sincerely,

Karen Walker

Anchorage, AK 99501

From: Bob Watson

To: <u>House Resources</u>; <u>Senate Resources</u>

Subject: Governor"s Appointees for the Board of Game **Date:** Wednesday, April 10, 2019 12:45:04 PM

To Whom it May Concern;

Based on my understanding of Mr. Barrette's values and his past behaviors regarding the well-being of animals and the environment of Alaska I am writing to urge you not to allow him to become a member of the BOG!

Sincerely,

Bob Watson

From: <u>E Bontempi</u>

To: <u>House Resources</u>; <u>Senate Resources</u>

Cc: <u>ElaineBont@aol.com</u>

Subject: Governor"s Appointees for the Board of Game

Date: Tuesday, April 9, 2019 4:19:33 PM

To Whom it May Concern;

I am writing because I am very concerned with the BOG appointee, Mr. Al Barrette. I am writing to urge you not to allow Mr. Barrette to hold this position, as his past behaviors and values are not consistent with the well being of the animals and environment of Alaska. Not only does he have a history of manufacturing and welling wolf traps, aerial hunting of wolves, but has also made it very clear that he supports removing wildlife buffers around Denali National Park and allowing bear baiting and taking wolf pups out of their dens. This is not only unethical behavior but put Alaska in a very bad light in the eyes of other states in the United States. Alaska has long been appreciated for its beauty and natural state. The state receives millions of dollars each year through the tourism industry, and people flock to the state because of its natural beauty. It is truly the last frontier and is a gem among all other states. To allow a person such as Barrette, who openly demonstrates a complete disregard for animal life to hold a place as BOG is placing endangered and protected animals at risk, but is also taking away money from the state as people will no longer flock to a state that lacks natural beauty and animal watching.

The United States has worked very hard at bringing back the wolf population and southeast Alaska has an endangered species of wolf, the Archipelago Wolf. This species is very well known and loved by the public, and has been made famous even to children, through the tales of Romeo the wolf in Juneau.

I am urging you to consider the well being of the animals in the state of Alaska, as well as the money that will be lost through tourism and animal observation and studies if Mr. Barrette is allowed to become a BOG member. I am urging you to not allow Mr. Barrette to be confirmed as a BOG member.

Sincerely Dr Elaine Bontempi

Elaine Bontempi, Ph.D.

From: Susan and Pete Vogt

To: <u>House Resources</u>; <u>Senate Resources</u>

Subject: Mr. Barrette Board of Game Governor Appointee

Date: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 3:31:32 AM

I strongly object to Mr. Barrette to the BOG!!! This man makes extremists look fair and balanced! His views and ACTIONS for intensive predator control at all costs are contrary to the majority of Alaskans! Visitors come to Alaska to see wolves alive not dead!

He claims he listens to members of the public and Advisory Councils, but then votes or lobbies for contrary positions; only supports hunters' perspectives and does not consider other perspectives, such as those of non-consumptive users; regularly favors non-resident hunters' rights over resident and subsistence hunters' rights; has radical or extreme perspectives, even for the BOG; doesn't support fair chase hunting standards; or seems to disregard science when making decisions. He owns the Fairbanks Fur Tannery for heaven's sake. How can anything this man has done in his life considered to be an objective member of the BOG.

He was rejected in 2010 and needs to be rejected now. NO, NO, NO.

Susan and Pete Vogt

Fairbanks, Alaska 99712

From: Art Bloom
To: House Resources

Subject: Governor's Appointees for the Board of Game

Date: Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:18:43 AM

I am opposed to the nomination of Al Barrette to the board of Game. I have been a hunter for all the 47 years I have been in Alaska and am a strong advocate of fair chase principles and science based management.