



State of Alaska Department of Revenue HB331: Oil & Gas Tax Credit Bond Proposal Presentation to Commonwealth North



**Mike Barnhill, Deputy Commissioner
Ken Alper, Tax Division Director**

March 30, 2018

Bill Objective #1: Critical component to Governor's economic stimulus package

- From 2015 – 2017, private sector jobs are down ~3.8% (9,200 jobs.)
 - Oil and Gas industry jobs are down ~30.8%
 - For 2018, ISER forecasts year over year losses of an additional 0.7%
“This does not indicate a recovery in activity”
- This bill will both provide an initial boost of funding and resolve the uncertainty surrounding these payments.
 - Provide \$700 million or more economic stimulus in 2018 to the oil and gas sector
 - Resolve the uncertainty hanging over this sector and allow small producers to plan
 - Seeks opt unfreeze Alaska credit markets
 - Seeks to incent new spending in Alaska
 - \$700 M or more reinvested in Alaska
 - This could mean substantial numbers of new jobs


Bill is Structured to Balance Competing Interests

The Balance:

- The Credit holders to pay for the cost of the borrowing
- Provides Small Producers the certainty and cash they need to invest
- Maintains the state's credibility by acknowledging our part in creating this situation

State Fiscal Budget

- Forecasted FY 19 deficit of ~\$300M after PF Draw
- Deficit increases \$155M without this program



Maintain State Credibility

- The state encouraged investment based on promises of support

Support Small Producers

- Strategic interest in maintaining small producers.
- Bank lending has essentially stopped



For the entire lifecycle of your project, the State of Alaska is there for you. We do not just talk big, we follow through big – with cash! Here is what you can expect when you come to Alaska:

- Cash-refundable tax credits for shooting seismic and/or drilling wells
- Cash-refundable tax credits for capital spend
- Many of the credits may be combined for up to 65% in credits
- 2014-2015 exploration expenditures on North Slope may qualify for up to 85% in combined credit
- Additional tax credits that offset tax liability for small & frontier producers
- Tax credit certificates are assignable

Oil & Gas Tax Credit Background

- 2003: First oil & gas tax credits created to incentivize new exploration. Credits could only offset the company's taxes
- 2006: Transferable tax credits introduced with transition to profits-based tax
New credits for capital expenditures and operating losses expanded incentives to include small field developers
State repurchases authorized, with caps and limits
- 2007: Oil & Gas Tax Credit Fund established, with statutory formula tied to production tax revenue. Annual per-company caps eliminated
- 2010: Cook Inlet Recovery Act incentivized new production to offset gas shortages in Southcentral. New incentive tied to drilling costs
Because of minimal Cook Inlet taxes, credits were not expected to be supported by new revenues
- 2013: SB 21 eliminated capital credit on North Slope, replaced with per-barrel credit tied to price and production
- 2016-17 HB247 and HB111 wind down cashable credit programs

Oil & Gas Tax Credit Background

➤ Oil & Gas Tax Credits Have Helped Heat Alaskans' Homes

- Incentivized Hilcorp to take over aging Cook Inlet assets and extend field life
- Brought new companies into Alaska, including Bluecrest and Furie, to look for gas
- Southcentral gas supply shortage essentially solved
- Threat of brown-outs gone
- Protected energy security of tens of thousands of Alaskans

➤ Oil & Gas Tax Credits Have Created Potential for More Production

- New fields potentially mean new oil: Pikka, Nuna
- Governor's economic stimulus goal
 - More revenue from production equals
 - New jobs, new royalties, new revenues for schools and government services
 - Economic ripple effect

Oil & Gas Tax Credit Background

State-purchased credits through the FY2018

- \$3.6 billion total cash purchases
 - 16 Companies receiving credits now have production
 - Total production from these producers through end of 2016 is 175 million barrels of oil equivalent
 - North Slope: 86mm BOE (mostly oil)
 - Non-North Slope: 89mm BOE (mostly Cook Inlet gas)
- Balance of credit certificates for which purchase has been requested, as of 12/31/17, is \$807 million
 - North Slope: \$514 million
 - Non-North Slope: \$293 million
 - These include \$78 million in 'conditional' exploration certificates
 - Additional ~\$130-180 million expected to be issued before programs sunset

Oil & Gas Tax Credit Background

Forecasted Production Helped by Known Credits (January 2017 through end of FY2027)

➤ North Slope

- 106 million barrels from currently producing fields
- 23 million barrels from fields not yet producing (substantial upside potential)
- Total past and future production: 215 million barrels

➤ Cook Inlet (note: there is no forecasted “middle earth” production)

- All current oil and gas production has benefited from credits
- Future gas production to meet local demand is 90 billion cubic feet per year, or 15 million BOE
- Forecasted oil production about 5 million barrels / year
- Total past and future production 299 million BOE

Oil & Gas Tax Credit Background: The Challenge

- Historically (FY08-15), the State paid tax credits annually as presented
 - FY16-FY18 state unable to pay all pending tax credits
 - FY2016 veto set total payment at \$500 million
 - FY2017-18 appropriation at statutory calculation
- The O&G Tax Credit Fund statute (AS 43.55.028) sets out a formula for computing the appropriation to the fund for cash payment of tax credits
 - The formula is based on a percentage of the profits tax calculation (AS 43.55.011) before application of credits, based on the projected oil price for the coming year
 - At a projected price of \$60 or higher, the statutory formula is 10% of the tax calculation
 - At a projected price of less than \$60, the statutory formula is 15% of the tax calculation
 - Most recent FY19 forecast, per the Spring Revenue Sources Book Update, is \$63/bbl

Oil & Gas Tax Credit Background: The Challenge

Annual statutory appropriation schedule per Spring forecast:

	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24
Estimated Statutory Payment (\$mm)	\$184	\$168	\$168	\$167	\$170	\$174

(note: based on credits held to-date, all will be paid by FY2023)

- Impact of reducing payments to Statutory Schedule
 - Exploration and development in some cases halted
 - Some banks have frozen supply of further credit for Alaska oil and gas exploration
- Reduction below this figure could further depress development

Proposed Solution: Issue Bonds and use proceeds to pay off Tax Credits

Credit holders cover the cost of borrowing by accepting a discount on credits

Example: Assume Credit holder has \$100M in Credits payable over 4 years

Program offers two alternative discount rates

- 5.1% represents the State's cost of borrowing
Estimated 3.6% Total Interest Cost +1.5%
- 10% is mid-point between State's cost of borrowing and the credit holder's
Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)

10% is the Base Rate. To qualify for the lower rate, the Credit Holder must:

- Agree to an Overriding Royalty Interest
- Commit to reinvest the money in Alaska
- Agree to early waiver of confidential seismic data, or
- Have Refinery or Gas Storage Credits

The Discount is applied to each year of payments starting in the second year

	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Share of Statutory Appropriation	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25

Discount Rate 10%

	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Annual Payment	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
Discount Year 2		\$22.73		
Discount Year 3			\$20.66	
Discount Year 4				\$18.78
So the buyout offer would be:				\$87.17

Discount Rate 5.1%

	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Annual Payment	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
Discount Year 2		\$23.79		
Discount Year 3			\$22.63	
Discount Year 4				\$21.53
So the buyout offer would be:				\$92.95

Proposed Solution: Issue Bonds and use proceeds to pay off Tax Credits

Credit holders cover the cost of borrowing by accepting a discount on credits

- Step 1: Secure commitment from Credit Holders to participate in Program.
 - Provide definitive statement of proceeds available under the program.
 - Interested credit holders make irrevocable commitment.

- Step 2: Issue Bonds
 - First issuance (for existing certificates):
 - Face Value: \$807mm (for the purposes of this analysis, we are assuming no credits will be sold to major producers to offset taxes)
 - Bond Issuance: \$683mm-\$738mm, would be issued as soon as August 2018
 - Future issuances, for anticipated certificates
 - Face Value: \$130-180mm (est.), issued in August 2019-August 2021

Proposed Solution: Issue Bonds and use proceeds to pay off Tax Credits

Credit holders cover the cost of borrowing by accepting a discount on credits

➤ Step 3: Purchase Tax Certificates at Fair Discount Rate

Two options: 10% discount rate or discount rate equal to state cost of capital (approx. 5.12%)

- Option 1: 10% rate – no strings attached
 - Balances State's and credit holders' interests
 - Greater than State's cost of capital, less than tax credit holders' cost of capital
 - Covers State's costs of financing
- Option 2: 5.12% rate (approx.—State's cost of capital) – strings attached
 - Tax credit holder can get lower discount rate (less discount from face value) in exchange for any one of four options:
 1. Overriding royalty of equivalent value
 2. Investment commitment of equivalent value within 24 months
 3. Waiver of seismic data confidentiality waiver, or
 4. Refinery / gas storage credit

Proposed Solution: Issue Bonds and use proceeds to pay off Tax Credits

Credit holders cover the cost of borrowing by accepting a discount on credits

➤ Step 4: Discount Covers State's Bonding Costs

Bond terms are not described in bill, but current our thinking is:

- 10 year term for each bond issue
- All-in Cost of Funds (estimated) is 3.62%
- Back-loaded debt service to match projected profile of state revenues
- For initial bond issue, years 1-2 interest only; years 3-5 year increasing debt service; years 6-10 flat payment to fully pay off debt
- For subsequent bond issues, years 1-9 interest only with balloon payment in year 10. These will be comparably smaller issues
- Objective with each purchase option is cost equivalency:
Present value of total debt service will be equal to or less than the present value of appropriations under the statutory payment formula

Benefits of Program:

Move cost into periods where state revenues match cash flow

	Statutory Payment Schedule*	Debt Service Payments (assumes all at low discount rate)				
		Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 3	Cohort 4	Aggregate Payment
FY2019	\$ 184	\$ 26.98				\$ 26.98
FY2020	\$ 168	\$ 26.98	\$ 2.52			\$ 29.50
FY2021	\$ 168	\$ 61.64	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34		\$ 65.50
FY2022	\$ 167	\$ 91.82	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 96.15
FY2023	\$ 170	\$ 113.26	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 117.59
FY2024	\$ 89	\$ 123.25	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 127.58
FY2025		\$ 123.25	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 127.58
FY2026		\$ 123.25	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 127.58
FY2027		\$ 123.25	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 127.58
FY2028		\$ 123.25	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 127.58
FY2029			\$ 69.73	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.47	\$ 71.54
FY2030				\$ 37.02	\$ 0.47	\$ 37.49
FY2031					\$ 12.91	\$ 12.91
Total	\$ 946	\$ 936.93	\$ 92.41	\$ 49.08	\$ 17.14	\$ 1,095.56
NPV5	\$ 809.75					\$ 782.61

Discounted value of debt service payments would be less than the present (discounted) value of the current statutory payment schedule

* Per Spring Revenue Forecast, total cashable credits outstanding (\$807 million) plus those estimated to be claimed (\$139 million) equals \$946 million.

Assumes no credits are sold to major producers to offset taxes

Impact on debt capacity and credit rating

- Proposed program would have limited impact on capacity, as the credits are an existing obligation
- Similar to state PERS/TRS payments on behalf of employers
- Neutral to positive impact on credit rating
- Reduces FY 2019 payment from 8.1% of UGF revenue to 1.1% of UGF revenue, and results in a more predictable and level annual payment
- Financially beneficial to State
- Provides financial alternative to State's primary revenue generation industry

Impact on debt capacity and credit rating

State of Alaska Debt Service as a Percentage of Unrestricted General Fund Revenues

Based on Current Actuals and the Spring 2018 Revenue Forecast of the Department of Revenue

(Analysis limited to initial bond issue for existing credits as of 12/31/17, \$807 million)

Fiscal Year	Unrestricted Revenues (Millions)	State G.O. Debt Service (%)	State Supported Debt Service (%)	School Debt Reimbursements (%)	Statutory Payment to PERS/TRS (%)	Subtotal: Current Obligations without Tax Credits (%)		Statutory Payment of Tax Credits (%)	Total: Current Obligations with Statutory Payments (%)		Tax Credit Debt Service Payments (%)	Total: Current Obligations with Credit Bond Payments (%)
2018	\$ 2,337.3	3.8	1.0	4.8	7.9	17.5		3.3	20.9		N/A	20.9
2019	\$ 2,259.1	4.0	1.0	4.7	13.2	22.9		8.1	31.0		1.1	24.0
2020	\$ 2,276.4	3.4	1.0	4.3	15.2	23.9		7.4	31.3		1.1	25.0
2021	\$ 2,336.5	3.3	1.0	4.1	16.4	24.8		7.2	31.9		2.5	27.3
2022	\$ 2,342.5	2.8	1.0	3.5	16.8	24.1		7.1	31.2		3.7	27.8
2023	\$ 2,401.2	2.8	0.9	3.5	16.8	23.9		5.0	28.9		4.5	28.4
2024	\$ 2,468.2	2.7	0.9	2.8	16.8	23.1		0.0	23.1		4.8	27.9
2025	\$ 2,624.6	2.3	0.9	2.3	16.2	21.7		0.0	21.7		4.5	26.2
2026	\$ 2,783.2	2.2	0.8	1.7	15.8	20.5		0.0	20.5		4.2	24.8
2027	\$ 2,830.8	2.1	0.8	1.5	16.2	20.7		0.0	20.7		4.2	24.8

Conclusion: Oil & Gas Tax Credit Solution

- **Governor's economic stimulus:** Expect most credit holders will reinvest in Alaskan projects
- **Support Small Producers - Unfreeze pending development projects**
 - Current bank financing to fields generating tax credits is frozen in some cases
 - Need to pay off credits so projects in development can be completed
 - State has a strategic interest in maintaining viability of small producers as it increases competition in the basin
- **Need to Re-establish Alaska as a Premier O&G E&P Basin**
 - Alaska has reputational issues re oil and gas exploration and development that need to be addressed now
 - Alaska is highly prospective
 - Alaska is a stable environment in which long-term projects can be mutually successful
- **More Revenue from Production is the Goal**
 - Future royalties equals: jobs, funding for schools and gov't services, economic growth
- **Move cost into periods that match cash flow**

A healthy and growing Alaska economy benefits all Alaskans!

THANK YOU

Please find our contact information below:

Mike Barnhill
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Revenue
Mike.Barnhill@Alaska.gov
(907) 465-3669

Ken Alper
Tax Division Director
Department of Revenue
Ken.Alper@Alaska.gov
(907) 465-8221



dor.alaska.gov

