

# LEGAL SERVICES

DIVISION OF LEGAL AND RESEARCH SERVICES  
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY  
STATE OF ALASKA

(907) 465-3867 or 465-2450  
FAX (907) 465-2029  
Mail Stop 3101

State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182  
Deliveries to: 129 6th St., Rm. 329

## MEMORANDUM

March 17, 2017

**SUBJECT:** Advisory Votes (Amendments E.38 and E.39 to HB 115;  
Work Order Nos. 30-LS0125\E.38 & E.39)

**TO:** Representative Tammie Wilson  
Attn: Barbara Barnes

**FROM:** Emily Nauman   
Legislative Counsel

The above-mentioned amendments were sent this morning. Amendments E.38 and E.39 require the lieutenant governor place an advisory question before the qualified voters of the state. You asked whether the advisory question could conflict with a referendum on the same law. The advisory question will have no effect on referendum.<sup>1</sup> In fact, as drafted, the advisory vote would have no effect at all, other than informing the legislature and the governor of the will of the voters. If a referendum passes before the advisory vote is held it would void the section of a bill calling for an advisory vote. If a referendum was held and failed, it would have no effect on the advisory question or the underlying law.

If I may be of further assistance, please advise.

ELN:boo  
17-284.boo

---

<sup>1</sup> If the effective date of part of the bill is contingent on the advisory votes, as is the case with your previous amendments E.22 and E.23, the answer is more complicated. In that instance, as I discussed in my previous memo, I believe the most likely outcome would be that the Court would invalidate the contingent advisory vote. If the Court did not invalidate the contingent advisory vote, the outcome of the referendum and the contingent advisory vote would likely depend on the timing of the two votes. If you would like further analysis on this topic, please let me know.