

Al Barrette

12 Feb. 2017

380 Peger Rd.

Fairbanks, AK. 99709

HB 90

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee.

I would ask you not to support HB 90.

Based on the principle, the collective of licensees will pay a portion of the total investigation expenses. Is this not the foundation of socialism?

When I was seeking information on this Bill, I was given the example of comparing it to the current health care law. Everyone has to have a payed health care plan. Whereas everybody pays into a system. Thus those who don't use medical services, offset those who do.

The issue with this comparison of the theory of health care to HB 90 is, nobody really ever chooses when they get sick or have a medical issue. Whereas persons "choose" their occupations. I am sure a lot of persons when choosing an occupation evaluate risk of that occupation, and what licensing may have to be acquired.

With that being said. Increasing or adding a surcharge to licensees who don't have investigation costs to offset the cost of those occupations that have investigation cost is wrong. Persons who have occupations without investigation cost have no ability or knowledge of other boards, to find out why or how to lessen those investigative cost (or time to educate ourselves, to interact with such boards. We may not even have standing to do so). We would be paying (taxed) without representation.

I would ask.

1. Since the Legislature gave some of its authority to some boards to have regulatory responsibility. That you review why investigative cost are high in some occupations.
2. What are boards doing about reducing investigative costs?
 - A. Why are their investigations?
 - B. Is the occupation over regulated? Meaning, since some boards have regulatory authority. Which the intent of these boards was to come up with minimum standards for that occupation, have the boards exceeded, "what is the minimum standard"?
 - C. Should the State be involved as a representative in civil issues between an occupation and the customer for a lack of better terms?
 - D. Should the State just get out of the civil investigations? Let the Civil Court System do what it is intended for. Every persons have the right to take/make civil action.

Lastly two things when it comes to investigation. Are we taking good money from those occupations without investigations and throwing it to (Bad money) investigations? If there is no board regulating an occupation, why have an occupational license for issuance?

Thank you for allowing me to comment.

Al Barrette 907-452-6047