

<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill #</b>	<b>Sponsor</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>
'09-10	<a href="#">HB41</a>	Seaton/Wilson	Required determinations be made on conflicts, however, the board member would be allowed to deliberate but not vote. The range of familial conflicts was reduced to those living, financial dependent, or in business with the board member.
'07-08	<a href="#">HB15</a>	Seaton	Essentially the same as HB41. This bill passed the House, but gained no traction in the Senate.
'05-06	<a href="#">HB241</a>	Wilson	See HB542 below.
'03-04	<a href="#">SB69</a>	Wagoner	See HB542 below. Passed the Senate and went through House Fisheries before stopping in Resources.
'01-02	<a href="#">HB284</a>	Scalzi	See HB542 below.
'97-98	<a href="#">HB27</a>	Austerman	See HB542 below.
'95-96	<a href="#">HB542</a>	House Commerce & Regional Affairs (Austerman)	A bill born out of committee. Essentially removed Board of Fisheries members from the Ethics Act conflicts and allowed them to fully participate after disclosing conflict. This is what was used for HB241, SB69, and HB284, and H27. It passed the House.