

An Introduction to Alaska Income Tax Options and Issues

Maria Hanson
August 25, 2016

Project completed under the direction of Gunnar Knapp, Professor Emeritus of Economics, at the Institute of Social and Economic Research during the summer of 2016. Input and assistance were also provided by Cliff Groh and Gregg Erickson. A Kershaw Fellowship awarded by the Williams College Economics Department funded the project. Ms. Hanson can be contacted at maria.hanson.ak@gmail.com.

Submitted by Sponsor

Executive Summary

- **An individual income tax is being discussed as a potential new source of revenue to help close the \$3.2 billion deficit Alaska is currently facing.** The deficit has resulted from a combination of declining oil production and lower oil prices, and other factors.
- **There are many potential ways to structure an individual income tax.** Key design features include the extent to which the tax is “flat” (the same rates for everyone) or “progressive” (with rates increasing as income increases); the tax rates at given income levels; and how income is defined and what is deductible.
- **Alaska had an income tax for 31 years, between 1949 and 1980.** This tax provided the majority of the revenue for the Alaskan government.
- **The legislature ended the income tax in 1980.** For the past 36 years, from 1980-present, Alaska has relied upon oil revenues to fund most government expenditures.
- **Forty-three states have income taxes.** The structure of these income taxes vary significantly between states, as do tax rates. Thirty-three states have progressive income taxes and ten states have flat taxes. Alaska is the only state that does not have either a personal income tax or sales tax.
- **Two income tax proposals were introduced in the most recent legislative session.** One, a progressive tax by Representative Paul Seaton, would tax 15% of federal tax obligation, and bring in approximately \$500 million annually. The other, a progressive tax by Governor Walker, would tax federal tax obligation at 6%, and bring in approximately \$200 million annually.
- **Non-residents of Alaska would pay an estimated 7% of a progressive income tax.** The Federal government would indirectly “pay” an estimated 10% (in the form of reductions in Alaskans’ federal income tax obligations because state income taxes would be deductible).