## MEMORANDUM

To: Bruce Twomley, Chairman, CFEC<br>Ben Brown, Commissioner, CFEC<br>Frank Homan, Commissioner, CFEC

Date: January 13, 2015<br>Subject: Permit Fee Ceiling Increase

From: Marcus Gho, Economist, CFEC Maras E/2
This memorandum was created to describe what would happen if the $\$ 3,000$ maximum fee for CFEC permits was removed. Using the 2015 permit fees and estimates of permit renewals there would be an estimated increase of approximately $\$ 2.2$ million. This would raise the current 2015 projection of permit revenue from $\$ 7.2$ million to $\$ 9.4$ million.

Fees are established to reasonably reflect the different rates of economic return for the fisheries. All of the fisheries in the $\$ 3,000$ fee class use interim-use permits. Interim-use permit fees are based on a percentage of average earnings. ${ }^{1}$ The result puts a permit fee into one of 40 fee categories in increments of $\$ 75$. Current statutes and regulations set a fee ceiling of $\$ 3,000 .{ }^{2}$

For permit year 2015, we estimated that 510 permits will be renewed in the highest fee category (fee class $40 ; \$ 3,000$ ). ${ }^{3}$ If the $\$ 3,000$ fee ceiling was removed, additional revenue would be collected. Table 1 indicates the estimated number of permits by fee class and additional revenue for a fee structure without a maximum fee. Only included in this table are fee classes that would have permits.

The current estimated 2015 permit revenue is $\$ 7,218,471$. In the absence of a maximum fee, estimated permit revenue would increase by $\$ 2,179,775$ to $\$ 9,398,246$. The majority ( $59.4 \%$ ) of this revenue increase would come from the highest fee class.
${ }^{1}$ See 20 AAC 05.245 (a) (2)
${ }^{2}$ See AS 16.43.160 (c) and 20 AAC 05.245 (a) (4).
${ }^{3}$ Number of estimated permits is based on a three-year weighted average number of permit renewals. These numbers are rounded for display in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Difference in Permit Fee Revenue Without a Maximum Fee.

| New Fee Class | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { New } \\ \text { Fee } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Permits | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Revenue } \end{gathered}$ | Difference in Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174 | \$13,050 | 129 | \$1,681,275 | \$1,294,775 |
| 140 | \$10,500 | 8 | \$82,250 | \$58,750 |
| 119 | \$8,925 | 1 | \$8,925 | \$5,925 |
| 91 | \$6,825 | 85 | \$581,263 | \$325,762 |
| 87 | \$6,525 | 95 | \$619,875 | \$334,875 |
| 73 | \$5,475 | 3 | \$16,425 | \$7,425 |
| 64 | \$4,800 | 12 | \$55,200 | \$20,700 |
| 62 | \$4,650 | 4 | \$19,375 | \$6,875 |
| 59 | \$4,425 | 4 | \$15,488 | \$4,988 |
| 55 | \$4,125 | 5 | \$22,000 | \$6,000 |
| 52 | \$3,900 | 1 | \$3,900 | \$900 |
| 51 | \$3,825 | 66 | \$251,175 | \$54,175 |
| 50 | \$3,750 | 63 | \$235,000 | \$47,000 |
| 46 | \$3,450 | 4 | \$13,225 | \$1,725 |
| 45 | \$3,375 | 6 | \$20,250 | \$2,250 |
| 44 | \$3,300 | 26 | \$84,150 | \$7,650 |
|  | Total | 510 | \$3,709,775 | \$2,179,775 |
| Estimated 2015 Permit Revenue Estimated 2015 Permit Revenue Without a Maximum Fee |  |  |  | \$7,218,471 |
|  |  |  |  | \$9,398,246 |

Table 2 describes the changes in fees and revenues for each fishery that would be affected by the removal of the maximum fee. Again, these are the fisheries that are currently in the $\$ 3,000$ fee class.

Table 2. Fee Changes Without a Maximum Fee

| Fishery Code | Permit Fishery | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Permits } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | New Fee Class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Fee } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Difference } \\ \text { in Fee } \end{gathered}$ | New Revenue | Difference in Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K910 | KING POT GE 60 DUTCH HARBOR | 8 | 140 | \$10,500 | \$7,500 | \$82,250 | \$58,750 |
| K910E | KING POT GE 60 ALEUT IS NSEDC | 1 | 52 | \$3,900 | \$900 | \$3,900 | \$900 |
| K910G | KING POT GE 60 ALEUT ACDC | 2 | 59 | \$4,425 | \$1,425 | \$6,638 | \$2,138 |
| K91T | KING POT GE 60 BB | 66 | 51 | \$3,825 | \$825 | \$251,175 | \$54,175 |
| K91TD | KING POT GE 60 BB CVRF | 2 | 59 | \$4,425 | \$1,425 | \$8,850 | \$2,850 |
| K91TE | KING POT GE 60 BB NSEDC | 0 | 53 | \$3,975 | \$975 | \$0 | \$0 |
| M6AB | MISC FIN LL GE 90 STW | 63 | 50 | \$3,750 | \$750 | \$235,000 | \$47,000 |
| M7GB | MISC FIN OTTER 60 - LT 90 STW | 26 | 44 | \$3,300 | \$300 | \$84,150 | \$7,650 |
| M7GG | MISC FIN OTTER 60 - LT 90 GOA | 8 | 64 | \$4,800 | \$1,800 | \$39,200 | \$14,700 |
| M7HB | MISC FIN OTTER 90-125 STW | 95 | 87 | \$6,525 | \$3,525 | \$619,875 | \$334,875 |
| M7HG | MISC FIN OTTER 90-125 GOA | 6 | 45 | \$3,375 | \$375 | \$20,250 | \$2,250 |
| M7IB | MISC FIN OTTER GT 125 STW | 129 | 174 | \$13,050 | \$10,050 | \$1,681,275 | \$1,294,775 |
| M7IG | MISC FIN OTTER GT 125 GOA |  | 119 | \$8,925 | \$5,925 | \$8,925 | \$5,925 |
| T91Q | TANNER POT GE 60 ber Sea | 85 | 91 | \$6,825 | \$3,825 | \$581,263 | \$325,762 |
| T91QB | TANNR POT GE 60 BER SEA BBEDC | 4 | 46 | \$3,450 | \$450 | \$13,225 | \$1,725 |
| T910C | TANNR POT GE 60 BER SEA CBSFA | 4 | 62 | \$4,650 | \$1,650 | \$19,375 | \$6,875 |
| T910D | TANNR POT GE 60 BER SEA CVRF |  | 64 | \$4,800 | \$1,800 | \$16,000 | \$6,000 |
| T91QE | TANNR POT GE 60 BER SEA NSEDC | 2 | 55 | \$4,125 | \$1,125 | \$9,625 | \$2,625 |
| T91QF | TANNR POT GE 60 BER SEA YDFDA | 3 | 55 | \$4,125 | \$1,125 | \$12,375 | \$3,375 |
| W2AB | SCALLOPS DREDGE GT 80 STW | 3 | 73 | \$5,475 | \$2,475 | \$16,425 | \$7,425 |

Without a maximum fee, every fishery in the $\$ 3,000$ fee class would have had an increase in permit fees. The largest increase in permit fees would have been for the Statewide Miscellaneous Finfish Otter trawl permits, where permit fees would have been raised from $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 13,050$.

In conclusion: if the statutory maximum fee amount were to be removed, then there could be an increase of up to $\$ 2,179,775$ in annual permit revenue.

