

# ALASKA LEGISLATURE

## *Representative Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins*

Angoon · Coffman Cove · Craig · Edna Bay · Elfin Cove · Game Creek · Hollis · Hoonah · Kake · Kasaan · Klawock · Kupreanof · Naukati · Pelican  
Petersburg · Point Baker · Port Alexander · Port Protection · Sitka · Tenakee Springs · Thorne Bay · Whale Pass

rep.jonathan.kreiss-tomkins@akleg.gov

Committees:  
Fisheries  
Judiciary  
Rules  
State Affairs



Juneau, Alaska 99801 (Jan. – April)  
State Capitol, Room 426  
(907) 465-3732

Sitka, Alaska 99835 (May – Dec.)  
201 Katlian Street, Ste. 103  
(907) 747-4665

## **HB 347 — Recovery of False Claims for State Funds**

### **Summary of Changes, Version A to Version H**

All mentions of in camera have been eliminated.

The term “qui tam plaintiff” has been replaced with “private plaintiff” throughout the bill.

The act no longer applies to claims made under AS 43, “Revenue and Taxation.” **Sec. 37.10.110(b)**

In **section 37.10.125(e)(5)**, the attorney general is now required to notify both the private plaintiff and the court if the attorney general declines to take over a case filed by a private plaintiff. The prior version required only notifying the court.

**Section 37.10.130(e)**, has been amended to state that a private plaintiff need only serve copies of pleadings and supply copies of deposition transcripts to the state or a municipality if the state or municipality requests the private plaintiff do so. This corrects unintended language in the prior version.

**Section 37.10.135(d)** has been amended to state that the court may reduce the share of the proceeds under the subsection only if the person bringing the action planned and initiated the false claims violation. This change corrects unintended language in the prior version and brings the act in line with the federal false claims acts and other state acts.

**Section 37.10.140** has been amended to standardize the procedure for barring action. The prior version used different language in each subsection; the new version states that an action “shall be dismissed” if it falls into one of three categories. The new version also specifies that it only applies to actions brought by private plaintiffs, a change that brings the act in line with language in SB 74 and the federal act.