



# UNITED FISHERMEN OF ALASKA

**Mailing Address:** PO Box 20229, Juneau AK 99802-0229

**Physical Address:** 410 Calhoun Ave Ste 101, Juneau AK 99801

**Phone:** (907)586-2820 **Fax:** (907) 463-2545

**Email:** [ufa@ufa-fish.org](mailto:ufa@ufa-fish.org) **Website:** [www.ufa-fish.org](http://www.ufa-fish.org)

March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2015

Senator Cathy Giessel, Chair  
Senate Resources Committee  
State Capitol Room 427  
Juneau AK, 99801  
[Sen.Cathy.Giessel@akleg.gov](mailto:Sen.Cathy.Giessel@akleg.gov)

## **RE: OPPOSE SB 42, PERSONAL USE PRIORITY**

Dear Chair Giessel and committee members,

United Fishermen of Alaska (UFA) is the statewide commercial fishing trade association, representing 35 commercial fishing organizations participating in fisheries throughout the state and its offshore federal waters. UFA members are also avid personal use, sport, and subsistence harvesters who care about the sustainability of Alaska's fishing resources above all else. The commercial fishing industry in Alaska is made up of small, family-owned businesses, many of which have been operating in the state of Alaska for generations.

**UFA opposes SB 42 the personal use priority bill, which pits Alaskans against Alaskans.** Although the most well-known personal use fisheries are the salmon dipnet fisheries on the Kenai, Kasilof and Chitina, this bill also impacts more than 100 established personal use fisheries throughout the entire state. Personal use fisheries occur from Ketchikan to Norton Sound and include species such as salmon, crab, shrimp, groundfish, scallops and clams.

A personal use priority would trump the existing sport and commercial fisheries that resident Alaskans utilize to help feed their families. Reducing the predominantly resident commercial harvests would also have a negative impact on Alaskan consumer's ability to access the resource. It is important to allow the Board of Fisheries, working with ADF&G, to enact conservation measures based on the facts surrounding an issue, including each user group's impact on a stock of concern.

All Alaskans benefit when ADF&G has the flexibility to manage fishing resources. Adding additional layers to complex management plans can reduce the ability of ADF&G to manage based on run strength, timing and escapement. A personal use priority will help to perpetuate the fish wars and the loser will likely be Alaska's fishing resources. Adopting a priority for a major user group can increase the expectation for harvest which decreases the likelihood of users taking responsibility for the health of Alaska's fishing resources especially in times of conservation.

## **Sustainability & Statehood**

Alaska's fishery management program is renowned and Alaskans are recognized worldwide for our commitment to sustainability. While most of the world has lost their historic runs of wild salmon, Alaska shows a remarkable history of restoring salmon runs throughout the state after a long period of decline before Alaska gained statehood.

The guiding issue behind Alaska achieving statehood was commercial salmon harvest. With statehood, Alaska took control over the management of salmon therefore protecting Alaskans' dependence on our most prized renewable resource. Alaska has worked hard to develop our reputation for having the best managed fisheries in the world. Starting at statehood, sustainability was even built into our constitution:

*“Fish, forests, wildlife, grasslands, and all other replenishable resources belonging to the State shall be utilized, developed, and maintained on the sustained yield principle, subject to preferences among beneficial uses (Alaska Constitution, Article 8 - Natural Resources, Section 4, sustained yield).”*

Alaska is the only state to have written such conservation language into its constitution. This attention to sustainability started with salmon and Alaska continues to set the gold standard worldwide for sustainably managed fisheries. In order for Alaska to continue to enjoy sustainable fisheries resources, all of our harvesters must feel responsible for the health of the resource.

## **Pitting Alaskans vs. Alaskans**

Alaska is currently home to over 736,000 people. Residents harvest Alaska's fishing resources either through personal use, sport, commercial or subsistence methods. However, most Alaskans do not have the time, resources or ability to harvest their own fish. The commercial harvesting sector provides the majority of Alaskans with critical access to the resource. This access occurs in fish markets, grocery stores, and restaurants throughout the state.

According to ADF&G data, participation in the Chitina personal use salmon fishery averages about 9–11,000 households and participation in the Upper Cook Inlet Personal Use Fisheries averages approximately 30,000 – 35,500 households. Using the highest estimation and assuming there is no overlap between the two areas by personal use (which is unlikely) the maximum household participation in the three major personal use salmon fisheries is 46,500. Assuming there are five individuals to a household, which would indicate that 232,500 individuals participate in Alaska's three major personal use fisheries. That leaves over 500,000 Alaskans that access seafood outside of the three major personal use harvest methods.

Alaskans are proud of our deep history as a commercial fishing state. Commercial harvest of salmon has been recorded in Alaska since 1878 and is still a thriving industry today. Commercial fishing permit holders live in 189 communities throughout the state with commercial salmon harvesters living in over 160 communities.

According to the Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission (CFEC): “The percentage of limited entry permits held by Alaska residents continues to remain relatively stable. After 40 years, Alaskans hold nearly 77% of all limited entry permits. At the end of 2013, Alaskans held nearly 11,000 permanent limited entry permits, with rural Alaskans holding more than half of that number.”<sup>1</sup>

In Cook Inlet, the resident salmon permit holder percentages for 2014 are as follows: Cook Inlet setnet, 85%; Cook Inlet Drift Gillnet, 73%; and Cook Inlet Seine, 93%. A five-year analysis in Cook Inlet shows that salmon fishery permit holders are increasingly Alaskan. A 10 year analysis of the Cook Inlet commercial fisheries indicate that harvest of sockeye and other salmon species has generally decreased.

**Given most commercial fishing permits and permit holders are Alaskans and most Alaskans access fishing resources through commercial harvest, this bill would take harvest opportunity and access away from Alaskans to give it to other Alaskans without the benefit of a review of the data and a reasonable management and allocation plan. This action will undoubtedly increase tension amongst Alaskans.**

### **Food Security**

The commercial harvesting sector is also avid comprised of personal use, sport, and subsistence harvesters who depend on a healthy resource in order to feed their families, feed Alaskans, and to make their living. Alaska’s seafood is arguably one of the best protein sources in the world. **All Alaskans should be able to access fishing resources either by harvesting themselves, through markets, by ordering in restaurants, or by a combination of these methods as countless Alaskans do. Food security in Alaska can be furthered by ensuring that sport, commercial, and personal use harvesters continue to have equal status.**

Similar to the personal use salmon dipnet fisheries, the commercial harvesting sector is capable of efficiently harvesting Alaska’s fishing resources. It is important to Alaska’s food security that we are able to sustainably harvest seafood for consumption by residents. The commercial harvesting sector is critical to providing Alaskans with access to the resource, and particularly shelf-stable products such as canned seafood that is produced in many Alaskan-based canneries.

It is also for the maximum benefit of Alaskans that seafood harvested in this state is served in local restaurants and is available in local grocery stores. It is discouraging and disappointing to see imported seafood, including farmed salmon, on store shelves and in restaurants. Alaskans should always be able to find Alaska harvested seafood when shopping or when ordering out.

We urge the legislature to refrain from taking action that would automatically place a higher priority on the harvests of personal use fishermen who have the time, resources and access to Alaska’s fishing resources over those who do not. There is reasonable opportunity currently granted for personal use harvest, and most feel that their needs are

---

<sup>1</sup> CFEC Annual Report, 2013/2014 page 7 [http://www.cfec.state.ak.us/annrpts/2013-2014\\_AR.pdf](http://www.cfec.state.ak.us/annrpts/2013-2014_AR.pdf)

being met. With salmon, some personal use harvest limits exceed what many Alaskans consider necessary for basic sustenance.

One theme that emerges during personal use discussions is that no Alaskan should have to buy fish. While well-meaning, this statement presents several challenges and ignores the basic scale and scope of Alaska. The majority of Alaskans do not have the access to harvest their own fishing resources, would have to travel great distances, and in many cases Alaskans don't have the equipment to harvest various species of seafood. When it comes to salmon specifically, although some Alaskans can harvest in designated in-river personal use fisheries, other Alaskans choose to utilize charter vessels or harvest outside of designated personal use fisheries such as the sport fishery. A personal use priority would favor those Alaskans that have the ability to harvest in a personal use fishery over those Alaskans who participate in sport fisheries.

### **Reasonable Expectations**

We strongly caution against creating a priority for personal use fisheries, because it will shift allocation and opportunity away from commercial fisheries that provide income to state and local governments, to fisheries that do not. It also would increase the perception of entitlement to fisheries resources that are limited by nature. Sustainability relies on the premise that resources have limits, and setting reasonable expectations helps perpetuate our commitment to sustainability.

There are over 100 personal use fisheries established throughout the state. Personal use fisheries are open to all Alaska residents, with only the requirement to hold a resident sport fishing license and in many cases a personal use permit. It is important to establish reasonable expectations, not create perceived entitlement to personal use fisheries. As the population grows in one area of the state, it is likely that people will travel from areas without personal use fisheries to those that do, as we have already seen in some of the dipnet fisheries. This could pit one region's users against another and would be contrary to the Board of Fisheries intent when establishing personal use fisheries:

*5 AAC 77.001 (4) (b)... "allowed when that taking does not jeopardize the sustained yield of a resource and either does not negatively impact an existing resource use or is in the broad public interest."*

**Although the original intent was for personal use fisheries to not negatively impact the other uses, we are fully supportive of the continued practice of personal use, sport and commercial fisheries occurring on equal footing.**

Since the time personal use fisheries were established in 1981, Alaska has seen extreme population growth primarily in one area of the state and can expect this trend to continue. Human population impacts on salmon-bearing rivers, tributaries, streams and lakes are currently unknown. If a personal use priority is established over all uses but subsistence, it would place the burden of conservation on the commercial harvesting sector and ultimately the Alaskan consumer who accesses fishing resources through commercial harvest.

A personal use priority would also handcuff the Board of Fisheries in their ability to allocate and would also further tie ADF&G's management of Alaska's fisheries. A personal use priority may also leave many allocative and management decisions open to lawsuit based on a perception of interference with a personal use fishery. We strongly urge the legislature to leave the prioritization of fishery allocations within the Board of Fisheries, and the sustainable management of fisheries resources within ADF&G.

Establishing a personal use priority will not ensure that salmon run upstream on the weekend when the most individuals choose to participate in the three popular salmon dip net fisheries. A personal use priority will not ensure that fish run directly past a personal use fishery or that an individual will be in the right place at the right time to harvest the resource. A personal use priority cannot control run strength or timing. In short, a personal use priority will not guarantee harvest, however it will create the expectation of harvest.

### **Fisheries Management Decisions**

Alaska's constitutional mandate to manage fisheries for the maximum benefit of its citizens requires careful consideration of the range of benefits that fisheries provide. This includes nutritional needs, history and usage by residents and nonresidents, importance to the economy of the state, region and local area, and recreational opportunity. These factors are considered for each regulatory proposal in the deliberative process of the Board of Fisheries, as well as management decisions of ADF&G.

Among the most important tools that have helped Alaska restore and retain healthy salmon populations are the Board of Fisheries process by which allocative actions are made on a case by case basis based on science and public input, and real time management by ADF&G based on scientifically established escapement goal ranges.

It is imperative that fisheries management decisions including allocations remain in the Board of Fisheries process. The Board process is deliberative, incorporates science, and is capable of disseminating the views of most users of Alaska's fishing resource. Utilizing the Board process will help ensure that each individual personal use fishery is analyzed and decisions regarding harvest and allocation can be made on a case by case basis instead of using a one-size-fits-all approach.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important issue. Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Julianne Curry  
Executive Director

## Commercial Fishing Permit Holder Data, Cook Inlet Salmon (and statewide)

### Commercial Fishing Permit Holdings

2014	Statewide	Statewide	Cook Inlet Drift Gillnet	Cook Inlet Seine	Cook Inlet Set Net
Permit code	Permit HOLDERS	Total PERMITS	S03H	S01H	S04H
Resident	no data for 2014	15,065	414	78	623
Nonresident	no data for 2015	4,419	155	6	112
Total	no data for 2016	19,484	569	84	735
% Resident	71%	77%	73%	93%	85%

### Commercial Salmon Fishing Permits, Cook Inlet

2013	Statewide	Statewide	Cook Inlet Drift Gillnet	Cook Inlet Seine	Cook Inlet Set Net
Permit code	Permit HOLDERS	Total PERMITS	S03H	S01H	S04H
Resident	9,933	15,406	408	78	622
Nonresident	3,564	4,528	161	5	114
Total	13,497	19,934	569	83	736
% Resident	74%	77%	72%	94%	85%

### Commercial Salmon Fishing Permits, Cook Inlet

2012	Statewide	Statewide	Cook Inlet Drift Gillnet	Cook Inlet Seine	Cook Inlet Set Net
Permit code	Permit HOLDERS	Total PERMITS	S03H	S01H	S04H
Resident	9,975	15,712	409	77	619
Nonresident	3,562	4,593	160	6	117
Total	13,537	20,305	569	83	736
% Resident	74%	77%	72%	93%	84%

### Commercial Salmon Fishing Permits, Cook Inlet

2011	Statewide	Statewide	Cook Inlet Drift Gillnet	Cook Inlet Seine	Cook Inlet Set Net
Permit code	Permit HOLDERS	Total PERMITS	S03H	S01H	S04H
Resident	10,007	15,623	409	77	609
Nonresident	3,613	4,670	160	6	127
Total	13,620	20,293	569	83	736
% Resident	73%	77%	72%	93%	83%

### Commercial Salmon Fishing Permits, Cook Inlet

2010	Statewide	Statewide	Cook Inlet Drift Gillnet	Cook Inlet Seine	Cook Inlet Set Net
Permit code	Permit HOLDERS	Total PERMITS	S03H	S01H	S04H
Resident	9,963	15,592	407	75	604
Nonresident	3,632	4,682	162	7	132
Total	13,595	20,274	569	82	736
% Resident	73%	77%	72%	91%	82%

Data compiled from CFEC

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Active Resident Permits	15,065	15,406	15,712	15,623	15,592
Active Nonresident Permits	4,419	4,528	4,593	4,670	4,682

<http://www.cfec.state.ak.us/pstatus/14052014.htm>

Permit HOLDERS

<http://www.cfec.state.ak.us/gpbycen/2013/MenuStat.htm>

**Upper Cook Inlet Commercial Salmon Harvest, ADF&G Data**

Year	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014*
<b>Chinook</b>	17,893	14,306	13,292	8,124	14,383	7,350	9,295	12,714	18,503	26,922	27,667	18,029	17,625	13,333	8,750	9,900	11,248	2,526	5,398	4,331
<b>Sockeye</b>	2,952,096	3,888,922	4,176,995	1,219,517	2,680,518	1,322,482	1,826,851	2,773,118	3,476,161	4,927,084	5,238,699	2,192,730	3,316,779	2,380,135	2,045,794	2,828,342	5,277,995	3,133,803	2,683,224	2,291,725
<b>Coho</b>	447,130	321,668	152,408	160,688	126,105	236,871	113,311	246,281	101,756	311,058	224,657	177,853	177,339	171,869	153,210	207,350	95,291	106,775	260,963	134,232
<b>Pink</b>	133,578	242,911	70,945	551,737	16,176	146,482	72,560	446,960	48,789	357,939	48,419	404,111	147,020	169,368	214,321	292,706	34,123	469,598	48,275	632,289
<b>Chum</b>	529,428	156,520	103,036	95,704	174,554	127,069	84,494	237,949	120,767	146,165	69,740	64,033	77,240	50,315	82,811	228,863	129,407	269,733	139,365	115,094
<b>Total</b>	4,080,125	4,624,327	4,516,403	2,034,940	3,011,516	1,840,254	2,106,492	3,717,022	3,765,976	5,769,168	5,609,182	2,856,756	3,736,003	2,785,020	2,504,886	3,567,161	5,548,064	3,982,435	3,137,225	3,177,671

