

Janet Ogan

From: Janina Broek <janinabroek@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 12:00 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB 89

Dear Representative Keller,

We are writing again to urge you in the strongest possible manner to support Mike Dunleavy's bill – SB 89 – which we know passed the Senate on a vote of 11 to 8, and has been sent to the House of Representatives for consideration on Monday.

As a reminder, SB 89 ensures that parents have the right to prevent their child from participating in tests, classes, and programs they find objectionable. It requires parental permission before a student can participate in sex education instruction, and it ensures that abortion-promoting organizations such as Planned Parenthood are not allowed to teach sex education classes in public schools.

Planned Parenthood is making it sound like they are the only source that can provide sex education to the public schools. This is grossly inaccurate and purposefully deceptive. There are MANY alternatives schools can access besides abortion providers to teach sex ed where it is wanted.

SB 89 is a crucially important bill to protect the right of parents to direct the education of their children. Please do all you can to see that it passes!

Thank you for your service.

Sincerely,

Dustin and Janina Broek

Anchorage, AK 99507

Janet Ogan

From: Chris & Ann Keffalos <machk@ak.net>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 10:14 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: SB 89

Greetings Representatives,

As a parent of four children in our Alaska public school system, I urge you to pass SB 89! I have removed my children from the sex education classes during their elementary school years. Each of my children came home the first day of sex ed. in the classroom telling me that **all** of the kids in their class came in telling them **“you are so lucky you did not have to be in that class!”** I believe that sex education in the elementary schools needs to be removed altogether. I realize parents are not teaching their children, but I do not believe that organizations like Planned Parenthood should come in telling children what they believe. I would hope that if we stop giving parents a way out of neglecting their responsibilities, parents would finally step in and start parenting their children in all areas of life.

I love my job as a parent and I know many others that do as well. Please let me keep my rights to train up my children as I see fit.

Thank you,
Ann Keffalos

Janet Ogan

From: Deering <beavandmichele@gci.net>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 9:27 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: PLEASE SUPPORT SB 89!

Dear Representative Keller,

We are writing again to urge you in the strongest possible manner to support Mike Dunleavy's bill – SB 89 – which we know passed the Senate on a vote of 11 to 8, and has been sent to the House of Representatives for consideration on Monday.

As a reminder, SB 89 ensures that parents have the right to prevent their child from participating in tests, classes, and programs they find objectionable. It requires parental permission before a student can participate in sex education instruction, and it ensures that abortion-promoting organizations such as Planned Parenthood are not allowed to teach sex education classes in public schools.

Planned Parenthood is making it sound like they are the only source that can provide sex education to the public schools. This is grossly inaccurate and purposefully deceptive. There are MANY alternatives schools can access besides abortion providers to teach sex ed where it is wanted.

SB 89 is a crucially important bill to protect the right of parents to direct the education of their children. Please do all you can to see that it passes!

Thank you for your service.

Sincerely,

Dennis and Michele Deering

Anchorage, AK 99507



Scanned for viruses. www.avast.com

Janet Ogan

From: Stewart Pook <stewartpook@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 11:59 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB 89

Mr. Keller, I am writing to you in regards to Mike Dunleavy's bill - SB 89. This is a crucially important bill to protect the right of parents to direct the education of their children. Planned Parenthood is making it sound like they are the only source that can provide sex education to the public schools. They present as though without them there will be no alternatives. This is grossly inaccurate and purposefully deceptive. There are MANY alternatives schools can access besides abortion providers to teach sex ed. SB 89 ensures that parents have the right to prevent their child from participating in tests, classes, and programs they find objectionable. It requires parental permission before a student can participate in sex education instruction, and it ensures that abortion-promoting organizations such as Planned Parenthood are not allowed to. Please support this bill.

--

Stewart Pook
Associate Pastor
Grace Harbor Church
Sitka, AK 99835
Office: (907) 747-5706
Cell: (907) 738-2736
Email: stewartpook@gmail.com

Janet Ogan

From: Theresa Bird <Birdbeing@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 11:39 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB 89

Dear Representative Keller,

We are writing again to urge you in the strongest possible manner to support Mike Dunleavy's bill – SB 89 – which we know passed the Senate on a vote of 11 to 8, and has been sent to the House of Representatives for consideration on Monday.

As a reminder, SB 89 ensures that parents have the right to prevent their child from participating in tests, classes, and programs they find objectionable. It requires parental permission before a student can participate in sex education instruction, and it ensures that abortion-promoting organizations such as Planned Parenthood are not allowed to teach sex education classes in public schools.

Planned Parenthood is making it sound like they are the only source that can provide sex education to the public schools. This is grossly inaccurate and purposefully deceptive. There are MANY alternatives schools can access besides abortion providers to teach sex ed where it is wanted.

SB 89 is a crucially important bill to protect the right of parents to direct the education of their children. Please do all you can to see that it passes!

Thank you for your service.

Sincerely,
Theresa Bird
Anchorage, AK 99503

Janet Ogan

From: Elyce Santerre <santerre@mtaonline.net>
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 1:58 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: Support SB 89

I know Planned Parenthood has been making all kinds of ridiculous claims, e.g., that SB 89 is unconstitutional, but really, nobody has a constitutional right to a captive audience.

There is nothing good that PP does, including education about reproduction, that cannot be done as well or better by organizations that do not make a business of selling fetal demise (putting what they do as charitably as I can).

When my children were in elementary school, the school invited parents to view the "sex education" materials prior to their presentation to the children. Although less than a quarter of the parents actually attended, that's enough for transparency and accountability. It was not an undue burden for the school, was very much appreciated by the attendees, and the very existence of the opportunity was probably reassuring even for the busy parents who could not find time to attend. Parental involvement or permission doesn't have to take exactly that form, of course, but parents need to have their say in some reasonable form. Children belong to families; they are not the property of the schools or of the state. Families should be making the choices when it comes to something so sensitive, and so often exploited, as sex education.

Please support SB 89.

-- Elyce "Lisa" Santerre

Sent from my iPad

Janet Ogan

From: VICKI KERR <kerr@gci.net>
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 3:29 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB 89

We support SB 89. In Juneau we were able to opt out of some classes and were able to have alternative classes when our kids were growing up in the 70's thru the 90's. Still that did not protect them from the giant penis with the giant condom for the health fair in the commons of Juneau Douglas High School in the 90's.

We don't think we need to tell you who supplies materials like that. We don't think that display was appropriate. Parents have a right to know and approve of what their children are being taught. We may not have young children in the school system but we have grandchildren.

Thank you for considering our opinion.

Sincerely, Vicki & David Kerr

Janet Ogan

From: Matt & Cheryl <gebhardt@mtaonline.net>
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 3:03 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: Please support SB89

SB 89 ensures that parents have the right to prevent their child from participating in tests, classes, and programs they find objectionable. It requires parental permission before a student can participate in sex education instruction, and it ensures that abortion-promoting organizations such as Planned Parenthood are not allowed to teach sex education classes in public schools. Please support SB89.

Janet Ogan

From: Sue Patterson <SPatterson@megawattelectric.com>
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 12:45 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB-89

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Please participate in saving our families. Planned Parenthood should not be allowed the monopoly on this subject, nor should they have that much power and influence over our youth. Don't be bought by all those whom are there to lobby in your face.

Leave the decision making to the parents.

Thank you

Sue Patterson

Janet Ogan

From: john todd <toddj98@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 11:26 PM
Subject: SB 89 - concerned citizen

1. I strongly support SB 89 which gives parents the right to prevent our children from:

- participating in tests, classes, and programs we find objectionable.
- participating in sex education classes without parental permission.

2. Sexually transmitted diseases, sexual promiscuity, and sexual assaults are only increasing since Planned Parenthood has been operating in our schools.

3. Parents have the right to protect their own children. We are paying taxes, housing and feeding them, so we should have the right to know when and what they are being taught about sex education.

4. I ask that you stand with me and support SB 89 and I will gladly vote for bonds to raise my taxes if this bill creates additional expenditures for the schools.

Sincerely,

John Todd
Voter from Eagle River

Janet Ogan

From: Stack Company <stackcompanyinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2016 10:56 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: A YES vote on SB 89

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Representatives & Alaska House Education Committee Members:

Thank you for your service to the people of the State of Alaska. As Christian -Catholic Grandparents of school age Children in Alaska we strongly urge you to vote "YES" on SB 89 in support to preserve the parental rights in the schools of Alaska and to keep sex education up to the parents and school staff especially the School Nurse in a setting with parents present at the very least..

Providers like Planned Parenthood have no business in the schools to promote a form of sex education that leans toward the acceptance of early sexual activity by very graphic roll playing by students and information that can be misrepresented as encouragement of such inappropriate behavior for children as young pre teens. A school is for the teaching of math, reading, history and science and NOT for an out side abortion industry's easy way into promote promiscuity in our youth. Please help protect our State's most precious asset for our Future- Our Children. Sincerely, Josephine & Sean Stack- Fairbanks

Janet Ogan

From: Mary Anne Mosack <mmosack@weascend.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 7:36 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: Re: Sex education/PP curricula

Rep. Keller,

As a national organization with the singular focus of promoting Sexual Risk Avoidance (SRA) Abstinence Education, I am writing to encourage your support of the S.B. 89 as you take up this important issue in your committee. We at Ascend continually hear from parents throughout the country of their concern over the type of sex education materials their children receive from groups like Planned Parenthood. Unfortunately, the abortion issue aside, Planned Parenthood and their like-minded associates have a clear track record of supporting the most explicit type of sex education. These groups routinely share age inappropriate material and normalizes teen sex as an expected behavior. This explicitness, of course, is promoted under the guise of "evidenced-based."

It is typical of these groups, for instance, to lobby for an opt-out provision regarding sex education classes, meaning if the school does not hear from parents that they don't want their child to attend these classes they are automatically included. The opt-in provision is more pro-active in involving parents and guardians to be made aware of the sex education instruction that is being given. There are many important reasons to be vigilant regarding the sex education curricula offered by Planned Parenthood. If you feel the need for more information on this issue, please consider using Ascend as a resource. We are happy to help in any way we can.

Mary Anne Mosack
Nat. Director of State Initiatives
1701 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006
www.WeAscend.org



Youth. Sex. Relationships.
Leading the National Conversation

Janet Ogan

From: Pamela Lynn <aksunshine123@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 12:13 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: Yes on SB 89

Good evening

I had sent you 3 videos in a row of me asking for a yes vote on 89.

I'd like to explain why I'm asking for 89 to move forward.

First, I'm a mom of 4 girls. I have a close relationship with my kids and they can come to me for anything. As their mom, I know what they should and shouldn't be exposed to.

I have seen Planned Parenthood's curriculum and I find it disgusting and pretty much pornographic. I have taught my age appropriate daughters that sex is a spiritual, mental and physical connection between 2 people, man and woman, who are committed to each other for life. This type of learning avoids the spread of STD's, of unintended pregnancies and a heck of allot of heartache. Planned Parenthood's teaching is all about teaching heterosexual, homosexual and bi-sexual sex and that is propaganda that doesn't belong in a school environment. They also teach about safe sex and the reality is anytime there's multiple sex partners, safe sex goes down the drain. Birth control pills and IUD's do not stop the spread of diseases and this is why 1 in 4 girls have an STD. Also, sex has deep emotional consequences and in a state with such a high suicide rate, like the young girl that took her precious life in our village near the Christmas break, the last thing schools need to be doing is inviting an abortion corporation to promote sex and lie about it ever being safe. Second, Planned Parenthood has a strong reputation of immorality and no human ethics. There are 12 videos out with real footage of various top Planned Parenthood officials discussing how they pick and choose different ways to kill children so they can market their body parts. <http://www.lifenews.com/2016/01/12/new-video-shows-how-planned-parenthood-changes-its-abortions-to-get-better-body-parts-for-sale/>

It is terrifying to me imagining this organization coming anywhere near school children without any parental protection. Third, parents, school nurses and staff are totally capable of working together to discuss age appropriate sex Ed. These are the people that have developed relationships with the children and can easily find any information they need to teach what they agree is right for those kids.

8:00am Monday morning is a really difficult time for parents to testify since they are taking care of their kids and maybe going to work. It seems a bit unfair to me. I'll try to call in, but if I can't since my kids have to get ready for school by 9:00am, please know that this does not mean that I don't care about this issue, because I do very much.

I appreciate all your time and hard work. Thank you and have a blessed day. :) Pamela Samash Nenana, AK
322-2201

Janet Ogan

From: Mary Anne Mosack <mamosack@weascend.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 7:36 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: Re: Sex education/PP curricula

Rep. Keller,

As a national organization with the singular focus of promoting Sexual Risk Avoidance (SRA) Abstinence Education, I am writing to encourage your support of the S.B. 89 as you take up this important issue in your committee. We at Ascend continually hear from parents throughout the country of their concern over of the type of sex education materials their children receive from groups like Planned Parenthood. Unfortunately, the abortion issue aside, Planned Parenthood and their like-minded associates have a clear track record of supporting the most explicit type of sex education. These groups routinely share age inappropriate material and normalizes teen sex as an expected behavior. This explicitness, of course, is promoted under the guise of "evidenced-based."

It is typical of these groups, for instance, to lobby for an opt-out provision regarding sex education classes, meaning if the school does not hear from parents that they don't want their child to attend these classes they are automatically included. The opt-in provision is more pro-active in involving parents and guardians to be made aware of the sex education instruction that is being given . There are many important reasons to be vigilant regarding the sex education curricula offered by Planned Parenthood. If you feel the need for more information on this issue, please consider using Ascend as a resource. We are happy to help in any way we can.

Mary Anne Mosack
Nat. Director of State Initiatives
1701 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006
www.WeAscend.org



Youth. Sex. Relationships.
Leading the National Conversation

Janet Ogan

From: D. A. McGilvary <da.mcgilvary@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 5:00 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: PLEASE vote YES on SB 89

Dear Representative Wes,

Thank you for your service to the state of Alaska. I am asking for your yes vote on SB 89. I feel it's important to preserve parental rights in the schools as well as keep sex education up to the parents and the school staff. Providers like Planned Parenthood have a reputation of teaching sex education in ways that not only promote sex, but are very graphic and even involve "role playing" by the students. This is not what I feel is appropriate for children, especially in a school setting with no parents around to shield them from details they might find disturbing. A school environment should be for learning math, reading, science and history, not an outside abortion industry's sex Ed. If the parents want this taught, a school nurse is fully equipped to answer questions along with teachers.

Thank you,

Frank and Dorothy McGilvary - Fairbanks 99701

Janet Ogan

From: Patty Imus <pdimusalaska@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 5:03 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: SB 89

Dear Representatives,

Thank you for your service to the state of Alaska. I am asking for your yes vote on SB 89. I feel it's important to preserve parental rights in the schools as well as keep sex education up to the parents and the school staff. Providers like Planned Parenthood have a reputation of teaching sex education in ways that not only promote sex, but are very graphic and even involve "roll playing" by the students. This is not what I feel is appropriate for children, especially in a school setting with no parents around to shield them from details they might find disturbing. A school environment should be for learning math, reading, science and history, not an outside abortion industry's sex Ed. If the parents want this taught, a school nurse is fully equipped to answer questions along with teachers.

**Thank you,
Patty Imus**

**1110 Molly Rd.
Fairbanks, Ak.99709**

Janet Ogan

From: Tim Doval <tdoval@gci.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 8:48 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Harriet Drummond
Subject: SB89

Dear Representatives,

Thank you for your service to the State of Alaska. I am asking for your yes vote on SB 89. I feel it's important to preserve parental rights in the schools as well as keep sex education in the hands of the parents and the school staff. Providers like Planned Parenthood have a reputation of teaching sex education in ways that not only promote sex, but are very graphic and even involve "roll playing" by the students. This is not what I feel is appropriate for children, especially in a school setting with no parents around to shield them from details they might find disturbing. A school environment should be for learning math, reading, science and history, not an outside abortion industry's sex education. If the parents want this taught, a school nurse is fully equipped to answer questions along with teachers.

Thank you.

Timothy L Doval

P O Box 80832

Fairbanks, Alaska 99708

Janet Ogan

From: Ed Gray <edgray17@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 10:30 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz; Rep. Lora Reinbold
Subject: Support for SB89 prohibition on abortion providers in public schools.

My name is Ed Gray I am a parent of Sitka School District children. I support SB89, SB191, HB192 and HB352 Parental rights and prohibition on abortion providers in public schools. In September 2013 I was one of 150 families to petition and have Planned Parenthood (PPH) removed from the Sitka Middle School. I do not share PPH values and oppose the secular humanist agenda that considers abortion as birth control. As you know abortion ends a life. (PPH) is the largest abortionist in America aborting 335,000 unborn children a year. Public school is common ground for all children. The following is how they present abortion to children and attempt to come between children and their parents.

Abortion

Abortion is a legal and safe procedure that ends a pregnancy. People have many different feelings after an abortion: relief, grief, sadness, happiness, or all of these at once. But there is no "right" way to feel. Abortion does not cause long-term depression or mental health problems.

Many teens want to talk with their parents before having an abortion. Some states make you tell a parent if you're having an abortion (if you're under 18), and others don't (<https://www.plannedparenthood.org/health-topics/abortion/parental-consent-notification-laws-25268.htm>). In states where you must tell a parent, you can ask a judge to excuse you from having to do this (called "judicial bypass").

- See more at: <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/teens/going-to-the-doctor/im-pregnant-now-what#sthash.qM6P9BTB.dpuf>

PPH have no business in public schools and need to be banned.

Thank You Ed Gray

Janet Ogan

From: Aleta Geer <aleta_geer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 11:12 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB 89

Mr. Keller,

Please give our children back to us. Please vote YES on SB 89.

Thank you from a very concerned Great Grandmother who remembers when schools were so much better and kept education clean.

Respectfully submitted,

Aleta Geer
Nenana, Alaska
907-750-7650

Sent from my iPad

Janet Ogan

From: Clara Gray <claragray09@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 10:43 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz; Rep. Lora Reinbold
Subject: SB89 , SB191 ,HB192 and HB352

Hello, I am writing to ask you to please support SB89 , SB191 ,HB192 and HB352. I urge you to research online at the actual curriculum that planned parenthood refers to as "age appropriate" and "abstinence based". I am not against education our youth. I am against **Planned Parenthood** educating our youth because of the strong stance they take with abortion and promoting sexual activity in our children. Would you want this taught to your own child? Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Clara Gray

--

Clara Gray
907.752.7880

Janet Ogan

From: Ed Gray <edgray17@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 10:30 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz; Rep. Lora Reinbold
Subject: Support for SB89 prohibition on abortion providers in public schools.

My name is Ed Gray I am a parent of Sitka School District children. I support SB89, SB191, HB192 and HB352 Parental rights and prohibition on abortion providers in public schools. In September 2013 I was one of 150 families to petition and have Planned Parenthood (PPH) removed from the Sitka Middle School. I do not share PPH values and oppose the secular humanist agenda that considers abortion as birth control. As you know abortion ends a life. (PPH) is the largest abortionist in America aborting 335,000 unborn children a year. Public school is common ground for all children. The following is how they present abortion to children and attempt to come between children and their parents.

Abortion

Abortion is a legal and safe procedure that ends a pregnancy. People have many different feelings after an abortion: relief, grief, sadness, happiness, or all of these at once. But there is no "right" way to feel. Abortion does not cause long-term depression or mental health problems.

Many teens want to talk with their parents before having an abortion. Some states make you tell a parent if you're having an abortion (if you're under 18), and others don't (<https://www.plannedparenthood.org/health-topics/abortion/parental-consent-notification-laws-25268.htm>). In states where you must tell a parent, you can ask a judge to excuse you from having to do this (called "judicial bypass").

- See more at: <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/teens/going-to-the-doctor/im-pregnant-now-what#sthash.qM6P9BTB.dpuf>

PPH have no business in public schools and need to be banned.

Thank You Ed Gray

Testimony on SB 89 for the House Education Committee

Good morning Mr. Chair and members of the committee. For the record my name is Elijah Verhagen and I'm representing myself.

I'm very grateful to the sponsor of this bill who took this critical and heated issue on. I'd like to start off by pointing out to the committee members that almost every single person that I've heard testify before me today has either a special interest/connection they mentioned, was a Planned Parenthood employee or retired nurse that's worked with them and thus are Planned Parenthood sympathizers. These were not your average private sector individuals and parents taking off work and calling in because they are concerned for their kids. Most of your average constituents never hear about these bills being up in committee until it's too late and even if they did, most would not be able to take off work from 8-10am to testify. I only heard about it because I used to work in Juneau and still have friends there that let me know when important bill hearings are. So for those of us that do take off work and call in, our testimony should have a lot more weight than these PP advocates, ACLU lawyers and whoever else these third party abortion agencies can afford to get here to testify. You know and I know (having worked in Juneau for five years) that most of them receive mass email notifications of when to testify and many are paid to call in or are flown into Juneau to defeat good bills like this that benefit our kids and society.

I'm frankly appalled that Planned Parenthood has been allowed to come into our public schools as a third party and teach sex education. Where was this in the newspapers? Sex education needs to be taught to our children in an unbiased, mature and trustful way primarily by parents. The government should be encouraging parents to do so, not stripping their parental rights away and leaving it up to Planned Parenthood or any other third party, unfamiliar source. Of course not all parents care sadly to teach their kids sex education or would rather the school does, that's fine and their choice and there are many kids without parents who are in public schools that will learn sex ed from their teachers. But this is where the kids can learn from a teacher they know, not a third party official who they've never met, who then hands out material that links them to abortion agencies. Like this bill allows, having an opt in signature required from parents at the beginning of the school year or later before sex ed is taught to their children is leaving the authority with the parents.

Finally to get my point across and the severity of the bill I will share an analogy of what it's like allowing Planned Parenthood to teach our kids sex ed. Planned Parenthood is known for providing abortions and even if abortions only make up 3% of their services like they claim, they still are providing abortions which is murder, there is no sugar coating it. So allowing them to teach sex ed and then give out their info in our schools is like allowing a murderer into our house to babysit your kids because 97% of the time, the murder isn't killing anyone and is doing good things. Well 3% of the time they are committing murder. You would never let them babysit and we also must never let Planned Parenthood teach in our schools.

I urge the committee members to seriously consider what I'm saying, reach out to your real constituents and pass this bill out of committee for our society and children's sake. Thank you for your time

TO: House Education Committee, Alaska Legislature

FROM: Sidney Heidersdorf, Alaskans for Life, Inc.

SUBJECT: Abortion Providers in our schools, HB 352, SB 89, SB 191

We support legislation which will keep abortion providers out of our schools

Restricting activities of abortion providers in our schools is good public policy and will serve to

protect our children from harmful influences regarding promiscuous sex and abortion.

Obviously, the organization most affected by this legislation is Planned Parenthood (PP). The issue is not whether or not sex education is a good thing. The controversy over PP in our schools arises from a knowledge on the part of many parents of the PP philosophy regarding sex and abortion. It promotes sexual promiscuity and is the largest provider of abortion in the country. PP targets our teens, opposes parental rights and undermines the parent/child relationship. Recent revelations about PP's involvement in harvesting and selling aborted baby body parts is only part of the story. PP has a history of protecting statutory rapists when minor children seek an abortion. This is not an organization that we should welcome in our schools.

The Planned Parenthood philosophy is that our children are going to have sex anyway so we need to provide them with condoms and have abortion as a backup. Our children are not animals without ability to control their actions. They have an intellect and free will. We should make it clear what is expected of them—not throw up our hands in defeat and accept whatever sexual activity they desire. We tell our children not to drink alcohol, take drugs and smoke—yet

there seems to be a problem with telling them not to have pre-marital sex. We know well the physical, psychological, spiritual and moral havoc brought about by unrestricted sex in our society—from sexually transmitted disease to abortion.

Inviting PP into our schools gives legitimacy and respect to an organization that doesn't deserve it even if abortion is not discussed. It allows PP to develop a relationship with our impressionable youth who might look to them for future services, including abortion. Their philosophy of free sex will seep into their teaching. Planned Parenthood commits over 350,000 abortions a year and it still honors founder Margaret Sanger, a racist and eugenicist with a philosophy of sexual permissiveness. Our children need to be protected from such an organization.

Please give favorable consideration to this proposed legislation.

Janet Ogan

From: Elijah Verhagen <elijahverhagen@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 9:48 AM
To: Janet Ogan
Subject: Fwd: Testimony on SB 89
Attachments: Testimony on SB 89 for the House Education Committee.docx

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elijah Verhagen** <elijahverhagen@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 15, 2016 at 9:42 AM
Subject: Testimony on SB 89
To: Representative.Wes.Keller@akleg.gov, Representative.Liz.Vazquez@akleg.gov,
Representative.Jim.Colver@akleg.gov, Representative.Paul.Seaton@akleg.gov,
Representative.Dave.Talerico@akleg.gov, Representative.Harriet.Drummond@akleg.gov,
Representative.Ivy.Spohnholz@akleg.gov

House Education Committee Members,

Attached is my written testimony for SB 89 that i gave in House Edc yesterday. Please consider my testimony. I have a large family and all my adult married siblings, parents and I approve my testimony and feel the same way.

Thank you

Elijah Verhagen

Testimony on SB 89 for the House Education Committee

Good morning Mr. Chair and members of the committee. For the record my name is Elijah Verhagen and I'm representing myself.

I'm very grateful to the sponsor of this bill who took this critical and heated issue on. I'd like to start off by pointing out to the committee members that almost every single person that I've heard testify before me today has either a special interest/connection they mentioned, was a Planned Parenthood employee or retired nurse that's worked with them and thus are Planned Parenthood sympathizers. These were not your average private sector individuals and parents taking off work and calling in because they are concerned for their kids. Most of your average constituents never hear about these bills being up in committee until it's too late and even if they did, most would not be able to take off work from 8-10am to testify. I only heard about it because I used to work in Juneau and still have friends there that let me know when important bill hearings are. So for those of us that do take off work and call in, our testimony should have a lot more weight than these PP advocates, ACLU lawyers and whoever else these third party abortion agencies can afford to get here to testify. You know and I know (having worked in Juneau for five years) that most of them receive mass email notifications of when to testify and many are paid to call in or are flown into Juneau to defeat good bills like this that benefit our kids and society.

I'm frankly appalled that Planned Parenthood has been allowed to come into our public schools as a third party and teach sex education. Where was this in the newspapers? Sex education needs to be taught to our children in an unbiased, mature and trustful way primarily by parents. The government should be encouraging parents to do so, not stripping their parental rights away and leaving it up to Planned Parenthood or any other third party, unfamiliar source. Of course not all parents care sadly to teach their kids sex education or would rather the school does, that's fine and their choice and there are many kids without parents who are in public schools that will learn sex ed from their teachers. But this is where the kids can learn from a teacher they know, not a third party official who they've never met, who then hands out material that links them to abortion agencies. Like this bill allows, having an opt in signature required from parents at the beginning of the school year or later before sex ed is taught to their children is leaving the authority with the parents.

Finally to get my point across and the severity of the bill I will share an analogy of what it's like allowing Planned Parenthood to teach our kids sex ed. Planned Parenthood is known for providing abortions and even if abortions only make up 3% of their services like they claim, they still are providing abortions which is murder, there is no sugar coating it. So allowing them to teach sex ed and then give out their info in our schools is like allowing a murderer into our house to babysit your kids because 97% of the time, the murder isn't killing anyone and is doing good things. Well 3% of the time they are committing murder. You would never let them babysit and we also must never let Planned Parenthood teach in our schools.

I urge the committee members to seriously consider what I'm saying, reach out to your real constituents and pass this bill out of committee for our society and children's sake. Thank you for your time

Janet Ogan

From: D. A. McGilvary <da.mcgilvary@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 5:00 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: PLEASE vote YES on SB 89

Dear Representative Wes,

Thank you for your service to the state of Alaska. I am asking for your yes vote on SB 89. I feel it's important to preserve parental rights in the schools as well as keep sex education up to the parents and the school staff. Providers like Planned Parenthood have a reputation of teaching sex education in ways that not only promote sex, but are very graphic and even involve "role playing" by the students. This is not what I feel is appropriate for children, especially in a school setting with no parents around to shield them from details they might find disturbing. A school environment should be for learning math, reading, science and history, not an outside abortion industry's sex Ed. If the parents want this taught, a school nurse is fully equipped to answer questions along with teachers.

Thank you,

Frank and Dorothy McGilvary - Fairbanks 99701

Janet Ogan

From: Aleta Geer <aleta_geer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 11:12 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: SB 89

Mr. Keller,

Please give our children back to us. Please vote YES on SB 89.

Thank you from a very concerned Great Grandmother who remembers when schools were so much better and kept education clean.

Respectfully submitted,

Aleta Geer
Nenana, Alaska
907-750-7650

Sent from my iPad

Janet Ogan

From: standdup . <standdup@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 3:22 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: SB 89

Dear Sir / Madame,

Please lend your support for this bill as I believe it will give parents the control they need to monitor and influence the material being presented to their children in our schools. I ask that you do whatever you can to help this bill get passed.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Standerfer

PO Box 3088
Soldotna, AK 99669
907-252-4027

My NAME is EDWARD Gray — I Am
A parent with Children in the SITKA
School District.

I Support SB 89 + HB 156
AND Urge the Committee
to PASS this Bill Forward.

I Oppose The ABORTION INDUSTRY
in the Schools.

The Public School is Common
Ground AND ~~to~~ A Humanist -
SECULAR view THAT promotes
Abortion AS birth Control
Should not be represented.

Planned Parenthood does Almost
340,000 Abortions A year.

They have no business in
the public Schools.

THANK YOU

Ed Gray
edgray17@gmail.com

907 752-4059

Janet Ogan

From: Chris & Ann Keffalos <machk@ak.net>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2016 10:14 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: SB 89

Greetings Representatives,

As a parent of four children in our Alaska public school system, I urge you to pass SB 89! I have removed my children from the sex education classes during their elementary school years. Each of my children came home the first day of sex ed. in the classroom telling me that **all** of the kids in their class came in telling them “**you are so lucky you did not have to be in that class!**” I believe that sex education in the elementary schools needs to be removed altogether. I realize parents are not teaching their children, but I do not believe that organizations like planned parenthood should come in telling children what they believe. I would hope that if we stop giving parents a way out of neglecting their responsibilities, parents would finally step in and start parenting their children in all areas of life.

I love my job as a parent and I know many others that do as well. Please let me keep my rights to train up my children as I see fit.

Thank you,
Ann Keffalos

Janet Ogan

From: Mary Anne Mosack <mamosack@weascend.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 7:36 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller
Subject: Re: Sex education/PP curricula

Rep. Keller,

As a national organization with the singular focus of promoting Sexual Risk Avoidance (SRA) Abstinence Education, I am writing to encourage your support of the S.B. 89 as you take up this important issue in your committee. We at Ascend continually hear from parents throughout the country of their concern over of the type of sex education materials their children receive from groups like Planned Parenthood. Unfortunately, the abortion issue aside, Planned Parenthood and their like-minded associates have a clear track record of supporting the most explicit type of sex education. These groups routinely share age inappropriate material and normalizes teen sex as an expected behavior. This explicitness, of course, is promoted under the guise of "evidenced-based."

It is typical of these groups, for instance, to lobby for an opt-out provision regarding sex education classes, meaning if the school does not hear from parents that they don't want their child to attend these classes they are automatically included. The opt-in provision is more pro-active in involving parents and guardians to be made aware of the sex education instruction that is being given. There are many important reasons to be vigilant regarding the sex education curricula offered by Planned Parenthood. If you feel the need for more information on this issue, please consider using Ascend as a resource. We are happy to help in any way we can.

Mary Anne Mosack
Nat. Director of State Initiatives
1701 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006
www.WeAscend.org



Youth. Sex. Relationships.
Leading the National Conversation

Janet Ogan

From: Tabitha Lathrop <tklathrop@alaska.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 9:47 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: Testimony regarding SB 89- Planned Parenthood Sex Ed

To the members of the committee reviewing SB 89:

My name is Tabitha Ellis. I am a concerned community member. I have worked in a variety of day care centers and elementary schools. I also have teenage siblings, whom this bill would affect.

I am personally against Planned Parenthood presentations in public schools. Aside from moral opinions, I also have some developmental concerns that I would like to address:

I personally feel that youth and children should ideally learn about sexual issues and puberty from their parents or a trusted adult, such as a teacher or nurse at their school. Parents and teachers know the youth better than some random representative from Planned Parenthood or a similar organization, and they would be able to adapt their discussions based on the young person's personality and development. I remember attending a brief version of "sex ed" in high school. It only lasted a couple of days out of a whole semester of health class. All that was really talked about was birth control and how to use a condom. I don't remember much discussion about abstinence or how to refuse sexual advances; mainly just how to have "safe sex." I felt like I couldn't ask questions or make comments without being teased because the class had both boys and girls. I would have felt much more comfortable with the subject matter if I were just in a class with my female peers. It was very awkward and ineffective. I feel that sexual behavior and reproductive development isn't something that can be covered over a couple of class periods; it should be a series of age appropriate discussion over a period of time, as the child grows. That's another reason why I feel that parents and teachers are a better option for teaching children and youth about sex and puberty than a Planned Parenthood representative.

I feel that a more ideal solution would be to offer parenting classes to help parents feel more confident in their ability to discuss puberty and sex with their children. School nurses or health teachers could also receive training on how to better teach and discuss these issues with students.

Thank you for taking the time to read my comments.

Janet Ogan

From: Ted & Elizabeth Baker <tnebaker@gci.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 9:44 AM
To: 'Ted & Elizabeth Baker'
Subject: Yes on SB 89

Importance: High

Dear Representative,

Thank you for your service to the state of Alaska.

I am asking you to please vote yes on SB 89. I feel it's important to preserve parental rights in the schools, as well as leaving the sex education of young children to the parents and the qualified school staff.

Providers like Planned Parenthood have a reputation of teaching sex education in ways that not only promote sex, but are very graphic and even involve "roll playing" by the students. This is not what I feel is appropriate for children, especially in a school setting with no parents around to shield them from details they might find disturbing.

A school environment should be for learning math, reading, science and history, not an outside abortion industry's sex Education program.

If the parents want some sex education taught to their children in school, a school nurse is fully equipped to answer questions along with teachers.

Thank you.
Ted E. Baker

Ted Baker

1012 Gold Mine Trl.

Fairbanks, AK 99712-2095

tnebaker@gci.net

(907) 457-1957

(907) 687-9312 Cell

Janet Ogan

From: Ed Gray <edgray17@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 10:30 PM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz; Rep. Lora Reinbold
Subject: Support for SB89 prohibition on abortion providers in public schools.

My name is Ed Gray I am a parent of Sitka School District children. I support SB89, SB191, HB192 and HB352 Parental rights and prohibition on abortion providers in public schools. In September 2013 I was one of 150 families to petition and have Planned Parenthood (PPH) removed from the Sitka Middle School. I do not share PPH values and oppose the secular humanist agenda that considers abortion as birth control As you know abortion ends a life. (PPH) is the largest abortionist in America aborting 335,000 unborn children a year. Public school is common ground for all children. The following is how they present abortion to children and attempt to come between children and their parents.

Abortion

Abortion is a legal and safe procedure that ends a pregnancy. People have many different feelings after an abortion: relief, grief, sadness, happiness, or all of these at once. But there is no "right" way to feel. Abortion does not cause long-term depression or mental health problems.

Many teens want to talk with their parents before having an abortion. Some states make you tell a parent if you're having an abortion (if you're under 18), and others don't (<https://www.plannedparenthood.org/health-topics/abortion/parental-consent-notification-laws-25268.htm>). In states where you must tell a parent, you can ask a judge to excuse you from having to do this (called "judicial bypass").

- See more at: <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/teens/going-to-the-doctor/im-pregnant-now-what#sthash.qM6P9BTB.dpuf>

PPH have no business in public schools and need to be banned.

Thank You Ed Gray

Janet Ogan

From: Pamela Lynn <aksunshine123@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 11:25 AM
To: Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Liz Vazquez; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. David Talerico; Rep. Jim Colver; Rep. Harriet Drummond; Rep. Ivy Spohnholz
Subject: Yes on SB 89
Attachments: Video_2.MOV; ATT00001.txt; Video_1.MOV; ATT00002.txt; Video_4.MOV; ATT00003.txt

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged