

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2016 Legislative Session

Bill Version:	CSSB 174(EDC)
Fiscal Note Number:	1
(S) Publish Date:	2/26/2016

Identifier: CSSB174-UA-SYSBRA-2-23-16-Reg Firearms
Title: REG. OF FIREARMS/KNIVES BY UNIV. OF AK
Sponsor: KELLY
Requester: Senate Education

Department: University of Alaska
Appropriation: University of Alaska
Allocation: Budget Reductions/Additions - Systemwide
OMB Component Number: 1296

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

(Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2017 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY2017 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2017	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services	1,300.0		800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	1,300.0	0.0	800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

1004 Gen Fund	1,300.0		800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0
Total	1,300.0	0.0	800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0	800.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2016) cost: 0.0 (separate supplemental appropriation required)
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2017) cost: 0.0 (separate capital appropriation required)
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency?
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Initial version

Prepared By: Michelle Rizk
Division: University of Alaska
Approved By: Michelle Rizk
Agency: University of Alaska

Phone: (907)450-8187
Date: 02/25/2016 10:50 AM
Date: 02/25/2016

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA
2016 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Analysis

CSSB 174 would limit the Board of Regents' authority to regulate the carrying of concealed handguns and knives at the university, even by persons who don't have a concealed carry permit. This would limit the Board of Regents and University administration from effectively managing campus safety issues where concealed weapons are involved. There is significant uncertainty, fiscal and otherwise, associated with the downstream effects of CSSB 174. Below is a known fiscal impact.

1. Cost to review existing and establish new secure access points, with an emphasis on Facilities and areas housing K-12 programs. Estimate: \$1,300,000

The University of Alaska would hire a consultant to conduct a facilities and program inventory and security analysis. The University is responsible for over 400 facilities at 3 universities, 12 community campuses and numerous outreach centers. Based on the analysis, UA would determine: 1) what new areas need a secure access point as defined in CSSB 174; 2) the appropriate type of screening at that point; and, 3) what improvements are needed, if any, to existing secure access points to ensure compliance with CSSB174 (for example, secure access is currently required to access sensitive infrastructure and research labs. It is unclear if concealed handguns would be permitted beyond current access points).

Although the final cost of installing and upgrading secure access points is unknown, the following cost data is helpful to understand the impact:

Metal detector - \$2,500 to \$5,000

Wand style metal detector - \$160

Secure card access - \$2,000 to \$12,000 per door, depending on whether the door is interior or exterior, whether it is ADA compliant, and whether it is an initial or subsequent installation.

Annual salary for unarmed or armed security guard to screen visitors: \$88,000 - \$123,000

In Idaho, the institutions projected spending \$3.7 million in expenses to increase campus security following the passage of the law allowing concealed carry.

There are additional costs that are indeterminate at this time. UA's insurer, United Educators, has notified UA that it will require risk mitigation if CSSB 174 is enacted. Depending on the final bill and the available risk mitigation, UA insurance premiums may increase. Out year costs will be refined based on consultant study.