## Fiscal Note State of Alaska Bill Version: SB 62 2015 Legislative Session Fiscal Note Number: () Publish Date: Identifier: SB062-DOA-OAH-03-02-15 Department: Department of Administration Title: REGULATION OF MARIJUANA BUSINESSES; Appropriation: Centralized Administrative Services **BOARD** Office of Administrative Hearings Allocation: Sponsor: **JUDICIARY** OMB Component Number: 2771 Requester: Senate State Affairs Expenditures/Revenues Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars) Included in FY2016 Governor's **Out-Year Cost Estimates** Appropriation FY2016 Requested Request FY 2019 **OPERATING EXPENDITURES** FY 2016 FY 2016 FY 2020 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2021 **Personal Services** Travel Services 10.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Commodities Capital Outlay **Grants & Benefits** Miscellaneous **Total Operating** 10.0 0.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 **Fund Source (Operating Only)** 20.0 20.0 1007 I/A Rcpts 10.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Total 10.0 0.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 **Positions** Full-time Part-time **Temporary** Change in Revenues **Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2015) cost:** (separate supplemental appropriation required) 0.0 (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section) Estimated CAPITAL (FY2016) cost: (separate capital appropriation required) (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section) **ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS** Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed? Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Not applicable, initial version.

Prepared By:	Christopher Kennedy, Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge	Phone:	(907)269-8170
Division:	Office of Administrative Hearings	Date:	03/01/2015 07:30 PM
Approved By:	Sheldon Fisher, Commissioner	Date:	03/02/15
Agency:	Department of Administration	_	

## FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

## STATE OF ALASKA 2015 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SB 62

## **Analysis**

This bill would a regulatory and licensing process for marijuana overseen by the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board. Licensing categories are created for marijuana producers, processors, retailers, boutique producers, brokers, and home growers. The Board is given authority to issue, deny, condition, restrict, suspend, or revoke these licenses, and to rule upon transfers of licenses between persons and relocations of licensed premises.

Section 22 of the bill provides that if an application is denied, the applicant has the right to an administrative hearing before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). A right to a similar hearing is also granted in the case of suspensions and revocations, although the bill does not expressly require that these hearings be conducted by OAH. In keeping with current practice of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board and most other regulatory boards, OAH assumes that these hearings would likewise be referred to OAH.

OAH estimates that the cost of conducting the above hearings would be approximately equivalent to the present cost of conducting the hearings relating to alcoholic beverage licenses as directed in AS 44.64.030(a)(1). In FY 2016, that cost has been \$5.0 per quarter.

OAH is presently operating at or beyond capacity. The additional hearing load of this work alone would not support the creation of a new full-time administrative law judge position. In the absence of a new PCN, OAH will instead contract out hearing responsibilities to contractors as appropriate.

Sections 29-32 of the bill modify but do not appear to expand the excise tax imposed by Ballot Measure 2. Under AS 43.05.405, OAH would hear and decide appeals relating to this tax. However, SB 62 does not appear to modify the tax in a way that would increase that hearing load beyond the increase in tax hearings already inherent in Ballot Measure 2. Accordingly, no portion of this fiscal note relates to tax hearings.

(Revised 10/30/2014 OMB) Page 2 of 2