# **Fiscal Note**

# State of Alaska 2015 Legis

2015 Legislative Session		Bill Version: HB 115				
		Fiscal Note Number:				
		() Publish Date:				
Identifier:	HB115-DNR-MLW-2-23-15	Department: Department of Natural Resources				
Title:	TRANSFER FEDERAL LAND TO STATE;	Appropriation: Land & Water Resources				
	DISPOSAL	Allocation: Mining, Land & Water				
Sponsor:	CHENAULT	OMB Component Number: 3002				
Requester:	House Resources Committee					

## **Expenditures/Revenues**

Note: Amounts do not include in	flation unless of	otherwise noted	below.			(Thousa	nds of Dollars)			
		Included in								
	FY2016	Governor's								
	Appropriation	FY2016	Out-Year Cost Estimates							
	Requested	Request								
<b>OPERATING EXPENDITURES</b>	FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021			
Personal Services	***		***	***	***	***	***			
Travel										
Services										
Commodities										
Capital Outlay										
Grants & Benefits										
Miscellaneous										
Total Operating	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***			
Fund Source (Operating Only)										
None										
Total	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***			
Positions										
Full-time										
Part-time										
Temporary										
Change in Revenues										
Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (	•	0.0	(separate su	pplemental app	propriation requ	ired)				
(discuss reasons and fund sour	ce(s) in analysis	s section)								
Estimated CAPITAL (FY2016) cost: 0.0 (separate capital appropriation required)										
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)										
ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS										
Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No										

#### Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Not applicable. Initial Version.

Prepared By:	Brent Goodrum, Director	Phone:	(907)269-8625
Division:	Mining, Land & Water	Date:	02/23/2015 05:00 PM
Approved By:	Mark Meyers, Commissioner	Date:	02/23/15
Agency:	Department of Natural Resources	_	

N.A

#### FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

### STATE OF ALASKA 2015 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

#### BILL NO. HB115

#### Analysis

This analysis assumes that the bill would be successful at least in part in getting the federal government to convey public lands to the state as stated in Section (a). Section (c) of the bill requires the state to accept title to lands conveyed by the Federal Government. If successful, this bill could more than double state ownership of acreage assets (currently about 100 million acres) and, depending on the kinds of federal lands included in the transfer, potentially increase state acreage even more than that. Receiving the Bureau of Land Management, US Fish and Wildlife Service, and US Forest Service managed federal lands, without considering the submerged land, would increase land owned or managed by the state by approximately 166 million acres.

Once title has been accepted, the state and not the Federal government would assume the costs for managing the conveyed lands. These costs are unknown and could be significant. Considering the existing staff and cost it takes to manage the existing state lands, this significant increase of land ownership could require a correspondingly significant increase of staff and expense to manage the new lands.

In addition, under section (c), it appears that the federal government could convey all of its contaminated sites and the state **would be required to** accept title to the lands by law. If the bill is not amended to allow the state to choose which lands to accept from the federal government, then the state would be required to accept the liabilities and costs of the problems with the land conveyed. This would result in potentially unlimited costs for liability and cleanup on these lands for the state (which has largely been deferred by the federal government to date).

Further, Section (b)(2) requires the state to pay to the federal government various shares of the proceeds the state may receive from the sale or other disposal of interests in the lands conveyed under the bill. Currently the state receives payments for various shares of mineral revenues the federal government receives on these land, (90% of uplands mineral revenues and 27% of revenues from outer continental shelf submerged lands out to 6 miles from mean high water). The state currently does not receive any share of federal revenues from non-mineral disposals. It is unclear what additional revenues that could be gained with the new lands (although in some areas these revenues may be quite significant), and how these revenues, after the federal payments, would compare to the associated management costs.

In addition, the bill may require new revenue sharing with the federal government. The bill proposes to share 50% of all non-mineral leasing revenues and 10% of all mineral leasing revenues of the transferred lands. These provisions of the bill might amount to large payments to the federal government.

Additionally, it seems possible that a small portion of the lands received under section (a)(2) would otherwise be part of the Statehood Entitlement. This could result in revenue-sharing type payments to the federal government revenues from lands that would otherwise be received under Statehood Entitlement. We recommend the bill be modified to ensure that the revenue sharing provisions do not apply to lands that otherwise may be conveyed as part of our statehood land entitlement.

(Revised 10/30/2014 OMB)

Page 2 of 2