28-GH1970\U Bullock 4/5/13

#### CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 129(FIN)

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

### TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

BY THE HOUSE FINANCE COMMITTEE

Offered: Referred:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Sponsor(s): HOUSE RULES COMMITTEE BY REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

## A BILL

## FOR AN ACT ENTITLED

"An Act relating to approval for oil and gas or gas only exploration and development in a geographical area; and providing for an effective date."

# **BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:**

\* **Section 1.** The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to read:

LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS. The legislature finds that

(1) in 2001, the legislature amended AS 38.05.035(e) to clarify that the Department of Natural Resources is only required to issue a single written best interest finding before approving contracts for the sale, lease, or other disposal of available land, resources, property, or interests in them;

(2) on March 29, 2013, the Alaska Supreme Court issued an opinion in
Sullivan v. Resisting Environmental Destruction on Indigenous Lands, Supreme Court Case
No. S-14216, Opinion No. 6769 (March 29, 2013), that correctly and properly recognized that
art. VIII, sec. 2, Constitution of the State of Alaska, gives the legislature the "responsibility

#### WORK DRAFT

WORK DRAFT

and discretion to create procedures to meet the policy outlined in article VIII, section 1 to develop Alaska's resources 'for the maximum benefit of [the State's] people,'" and that it is "not the court's place to provide instruction on how the State should determine what action would be for the maximum benefit of the Alaskan people";

(3) while the decision of the Alaska Supreme Court acknowledged the legislature's authority to limit best interest findings to the disposal phase, the Alaska Supreme Court also held that the Department of Natural Resources had a constitutional duty to "continue to analyze and consider all factors material and relevant to what is in the public interest after the lease sale phase, including the cumulative impacts of the project, and to provide the public with timely and meaningful notice of its cumulative impacts assessment in order to ensure the constitutional principle of maximum use consistent with the public interest";

(4) the decision of the Alaska Supreme Court noted that this constitutional duty would be met by taking a "continuing hard look at new information and changing circumstances" as projects proceed through phases subsequent to the lease disposal phase;

(5) the Department of Natural Resources has carefully considered and continues carefully to consider approvals of oil and gas exploration and development and conducts an extensive analysis for each approval;

(6) the legislature instructed the Department of Natural Resources regarding how it should determine what action would be for the maximum benefit of the people of Alaska by enacting AS 38.05.180(a);

(7) the Department of Natural Resources already has taken and continues to take a "continuing hard look at new information and changing circumstances" by gathering information each year under AS 38.05.035(e)(6)(F) and by calling for comments from the public to determine whether there is substantial new information that justifies a supplement to the best interest finding for the most recent areawide lease sale;

(8) in addition to those efforts by the Department of Natural Resources, the processes for issuing numerous other state, federal, and local permits and authorizations, some of which are described in ch. 101, SLA 2001, provide the public with timely and meaningful notice of information related to the effects of leasing, exploration, development, and transportation;

CSHB 129(FIN)

L

CSHB 129(FIN)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(9) under AS 38.05.020(b)(1), the commissioner of natural resources is expressly granted the ability to adopt regulations that are consistent with AS 38.05.180(a) and provide procedures necessary to meet the constitutional duty created by the decision of the Alaska Supreme Court for taking an ongoing hard look at new information and changing circumstances when evaluating subsequent phases of an oil and gas project.

\* Sec. 2. AS 38.05.035 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(o) The director may approve exploration or development for all or part of an area previously approved for oil and gas or gas only leasing under (e) of this section. An approval applies to exploration or development commencing during a period for up to 10 years, as specified by the director in the approval. When authorizing exploration or development under this subsection, the department will provide public notice and the opportunity to comment using the methods described in AS 38.05.945(b) and (c). The approval authorizes a lessee to begin exploration or development during the period specified in the approval, subject to the lessee receiving other authorizations required from the department or other state, local, or federal agencies. Once a lessee begins exploration or development on a lease or group of leases, the approval for exploration under this subsection or the approval for development under this subsection remains valid for the term of the lease. This subsection does not relieve lessees of any statutory, regulatory, or lease obligations, including any obligations to submit for approval plans of operations, of exploration, or of development.

\* Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately under AS 01.10.070(c).