ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

Interim: 600 East Railroad Avenue Wasilla, Alaska 99654 Phone (907) 373-1842 Fax: (907) 373-4729*



Session: State Capitol Building Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182 Phone: (907) 465-2186 Fax: (907) 465-3818

REPRESENTATIVE WES KELLER DISTRICT 7

MEMO

To: Members of the Alaska Legislature

Fm: Rep. Wes Keller

Date: January 30, 2013

Re: Sectional for CS HB 53 (28-LS0177\C)

Section 1: Adds language to discipline for Dentists who fail to check the controlled substance prescription database prior to prescribing an opiate.

Section 2: Adds a new section to AS 08.36.that requires a licensed dentist who Prescribes 120 milligrams morphine equivalent or more per day of an opiate to a patient for more than four weeks to consult with a pain management specialist. The section describes the ways to satisfy the consultation requirement and exemptions to the consultation requirement.

Section 3: references AS 11.71.900 for the definition of an opiate.

Section 4: The section requires the State Medical Board to adopt regulations to approve a physician, osteopath, podiatrist, or physician's assistant as a pain management specialist.

Section 5: Adds to the list of sanction violations for Board Consideration failure to check the controlled substance prescription database prior to prescribing an opiate.

The information contained in this memo is **CONFIDENTIAL** and/or privileged. This memo is intended to be reviewed initially by only the individual named above. If the reader of this page is not the intended recipient or a representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, or copying of this memo or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this memo in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return this memo to the sender at the above address.

Thank you

Section 6: Adds a new section to AS 08.64. This section requires a physician, osteopath, podiatrist, or physician's assistant who prescribes 120 milligrams morphine equivalent or more per day of an opiate to a patient for more than four weeks to consult with a pain management specialist. The section describes the ways to satisfy the consultation requirement and exemptions to the consultation requirement.

Section 7: references AS 11.71.900 for the definition of an opiate.

Section 8: The section requires the Board of Nursing to adopt regulations to approve an advanced nurse practitioner as a pain management specialist.

Section 9: Adds to the list of sanction violations for board consideration failure to check the controlled substance prescription database prior to prescribing an opiate.

Section 10: Adds a new section to AS 08.68. This section requires an advanced nurse Practitioner who prescribes 120 milligrams morphine equivalent or more per day of an opiate to a patient for more than four weeks to consult with a pain management specialist. The section describes the ways to satisfy the consultation requirement and exemptions to the consultation requirement.

Section 11: references AS 11.71.900 for the definition of an opiate.

Section 12: Adds to the list of sanction violations for board consideration failure to check the controlled substance prescription database prior to prescribing an opiate.

Section 13: Requires pharmacist to submit and check the substance prescription databases before filling a prescription for an opiate. Failure can result in Board action.

Section 14: Provides liability protection for individuals submitting to and those accessing information in the database.

Section 15: Requires the dispenser or Practioners to access the database before administering an opiate.

Section 16: Requires Practioners working in the drug rehabilitative service that uses methadone to consult with the patient primary care physician. Additionally it requires certain test take place if doses of methadone are increased.

Section 17: Is a section 4 and 8 effective date clause

Section 18: Is the effective date clause which allows six months to put the programs in place.

A sectional summary of a bill should not be considered an authoritative interpretation of the bill and the bill itself is the best statement of its contents.