# **Fiscal Note**

## State of Alaska 2013 Leo

**Expenditures/Revenues** 

2013 Legislative Session		Bill Version: Fiscal Note Number: () Publish Date:	HB 34
Identifier:	HB034-GOV-OMB-02-23-2013	Department: Office of the Govern	or
Title:	FEDERAL LAWS, REGULATIONS & EXEC.	Appropriation: Office of Manageme	ent and Budget
	ORDERS	Allocation: Office of Manageme	ent and Budget
Sponsor:	T.WILSON	OMB Component Number: 2144	
Requester:	House Judiciary		

Note: Amounts do not include in	flation unless	otherwise noted	below.			(Thousa	nds of Dollars)
		Included in					
	FY2014	Governor's					
	Appropriation	FY2014	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
	Requested	Request					
<b>OPERATING EXPENDITURES</b>	FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Personal Services	***		***	***	***	***	***
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***

#### Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***

Positions						
Full-time Part-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						
	•	•	•	•		
Change in Revenues	***	***	***	***	***	***

#### Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2013) cost: 0.0

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0

#### ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

#### Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Initial version of fiscal note.

Prepared By:	Arnold Liebelt, Policy Analyst	Phone:	(907)465-4676
Division	Office of Management and Budget	Date:	02/23/2013 04:00 PM
Approved By:	Karen Rehfeld, Director	Date:	02/23/13
	Office of Management and Budget	_	

#### FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

### STATE OF ALASKA 2013 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

#### BILL NO. HB 34

#### Analysis

House Bill 34 would make the state's compliance with a federal law, regulation, or presidential executive order contingent on the receipt of certain information from the federal government. The information would need to include how compliance with the federal law, regulation, or executive order will be accomplished and what the economic effect of the law will have on each community affected by the law, regulation, or executive order.

Neither the bill nor other state or federal law requires the federal government to provide that information. If the bill were enacted and the state is consequently unable to comply with federal legal requirements, the federal government could withhold or deny significant amounts of funding to the state, withdraw grants of primacy to the state to administer certain federal programs, or take legal action to force state compliance if the federal law is one with which state compliance is mandatory.

The executive branch is unable to quantify the fiscal costs of the bill, but they are expected to be substantial. In addition to the cost impact from the loss of federal funding, we anticipate increased costs of negotiations with the federal government, costs from changes in program administration, and costly legal actions.

This bill would impact every state agency including the Alaska Court System, the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation, the Regulatory Commission of Alaska, and the University of Alaska.