

TESTIMONY OF DAVID JENSEN on HJR33

My name is David Jensen, I live at 11533 Tanglewood Lakes Circle, Anchorage, AK 99516

I am testifying in regard to SJR21. I have been an executive in Alaska for 40 years, most of that time with private, for-profit corporations.

I am business executive with no connection to the legal system and no involvement with politics. It is from the viewpoint of a business executive that I offer my testimony regarding SJR21.

I think that SJR21 is a bad idea, and will be bad for private business in Alaska, and here is why. As a business executive, I tried to keep the affairs of the companies that I ran out of court. But sometimes there was no alternative, and when that happened, all I expected was a judge who was impartial and professional.

I was not disappointed by the Alaska court system, because every time that I did have to go to court, I got a judge who was impartial and professional, with no private agendas or axes to grind. I did not agree with every decision made by every judge in every case I was involved in, but I always felt that the judge was impartial and professional.

It was always a comfort to me to know that the judges in Alaska get to be judges in part because they are well regarded by their fellow professionals. I would never go to a doctor unless I knew that he was well regarded by his colleagues in the medical profession, and I feel the same way about lawyers and judges.

The practical effect of SJR21 will be to remove professional qualifications from the selection of judges and to substitute political loyalty for it. In making appointments to the Judicial Council, the governor will appoint people who are loyal to him, to his party, and to his way of thinking. Those people will owe their appointment to him, and will repay him by sending him the names of judicial candidates who are loyal to, and allied with, the governor. And he will appoint those people,

based on their political loyalties instead of their professional qualifications.

In a complicated commercial dispute, I could care less about a judge's political leanings: I want a judge who is smart enough, and well regarded enough in the profession, to sort through the complicated commercial tangle and come up with a decision that gives certainty to businessmen who are trying to run a business, plan their investments, and make a profit. What I do not want, is a political hack who has no professional qualifications other than that he is a friend of the governor.

If SJR21 is approved and the constitution amended, the effect will be to centralize power over the judiciary into the governor's office. The checks and balances that the framers built into the constitution will be weakened because the judiciary will no longer be a truly independent branch of government.

Finally, the supporters of SJR21 need to remember that the tides of politics come and go. The pendulum will swing one day, to a different group of political thinkers and leaders. When that happens, if power over the judiciary is centralized in the governor's office, the judiciary will change as well.

The private sector is best off if it has professionalism, neutrality and certainty in the judicial system. A judicial system that changes with the changing winds of political thought is a drag on the economy and destructive of true prosperity. When I was the Vice President at Reeve Aleutian Airways, I had occasion to deal with a political judiciary, because Reeve ran routes to Russia. And I bear the scars to prove that the political nature of the Russian judicial system made for an incredibly difficult business environment. Let's not move in that direction here.

Thank You