

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2014 Legislative Session

Bill Version: HB 298
Fiscal Note Number: _____
() Publish Date: _____

Identifier: HB 298 - Legislative Audit
Title: CONFIDENTIALITY OF PERFORMANCE
REVIEWS
Sponsor: CHENAULT
Requester: House Finance

Department: Alaska Legislature
Appropriation: Budget and Audit Committee
Allocation: Legislative Audit
OMB Component Number: 773

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

(Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2015 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY2015 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0 (separate supplemental appropriation required)
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2015) cost: 0.0 (separate capital appropriation required)
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Initial Version

Prepared By: Kris Curtis
Division: Div. of Legislative Audit
Approved By: Kris Curtis
Agency: Legislature

Phone: (907)465-4199
Date: 02/21/2014 05:00 PM
Date: 02/21/14

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA
2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. HB 298

Analysis

Last year CSHB 30 (FIN) was enacted into law to require Departmental performance reviews. During the course of the first review, Legislative Audit discovered that performance review records are not accorded the same statutory protection as audit records. This technical amendment is necessary to afford performance review records the same confidentiality as audits records.