

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2014 Legislative Session

Bill Version: HB 292
Fiscal Note Number: _____
() Publish Date: _____

Identifier: HB292-LAW-CIV-02-07-14
Title: 2014 REVISOR'S BILL
Sponsor: RLS BY REQUEST OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
Requester: (H) JUD

Department: Department of Law
Appropriation: Civil Division
Allocation: Legislation/Regulations
OMB Component Number: 2209

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

(Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2015 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY2015 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0 (separate supplemental appropriation required)
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2015) cost: 0.0 (separate capital appropriation required)
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Initial version, not applicable.

Prepared By: Loretta Withington, Division Operations Manager
Division: Administrative Services Division
Approved By: Michael C. Geraghty, Attorney General
Agency: Department of Law

Phone: (907)465-5427
Date: 02/07/2014 05:22 PM
Date: 02/07/14

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA
2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. HB 292

Analysis

HB 292 makes technical improvements to the Alaska Statutes. HB 292 should have no fiscal impact on Department of Law.