

Differences Between the HJR 32 Original Resolution and the CSHJR 32 Version E

Prepared by Sponsor's Office

Replaced repeated references to the “Endangered Species Act of 1973” with “The Act”

Clarified that litigation affected implementation of The Act.

Clarified that “free ranging” bison no longer exist in the United States

Replaced some references to the “Department of Fish and Game” with “State of Alaska”

Clarified that “provid[ing] alternative hunting opportunities in rural areas” is a purpose of reintroducing wood bison in the state.

Removed excessive wording

Clarified that the state has concerns regarding the certainty of the negotiated special provisions in the event of a legal challenge

Clarified that USFWS could not remove the gray wolf from the ESA list due to federal court intervention

Clarified that it was the Montana and Idaho populations of gray wolf that were removed from the ESA list.

Clarifies that if the land the wood bison occupy were to become subject to the restrictive provisions of the ESA it would be by court action

Clarifies that the ADF&G has successfully managed plains bison in Alaska for more than 70 years

Clarifies that the State's concerns are related to the uncertainty of court intervention

Clarifies that we are asking for congress to “exempt” not “remove” wood bison from the ESA