

From: Kristine Niles [kryniles@alaska.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2011 4:08 PM
Subject: Rep. Scott Kawasaki
thank you for taking on the farmed salmon propositions

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: GM Fish Bill

Scott,

I thank you for introducing bills that will protect our wild salmon from the potential ravages of farming and hybridizing salmon. It has happened time and again that by farming salmon- inevitably some escape and decimate the local populations of salmon because they are usually much more aggressive hybrid salmon species or they introduce pathogens that in turn decimate the local salmon populations through infection, and yet companies continue to farm salmon.

Dipnetting at Chitna is my family's main source of meat throughout the year. It is a healthy source of omega-3's and they have such low mercury levels that I can eat them throughout my pregnancy. It is vital to my family that the salmon remain strong and healthy!

I also support your efforts to ensure that all genetically modified salmon along with farmed salmon are required to be labeled as such. I, for one, will always purchase wild salmon over farmed or genetically modified.

Thank you for fighting to maintain our wild Alaskan salmon populations,

Kristine Niles

--
Kristine Niles, B.S.
Nutrition Research Technician
Center for Alaska Native Health Research
University of Alaska Fairbanks
(907)474-5486

Dist 8
Goldstream
#2

From: sltack63@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 2:49 PM
Subject: Rep. Scott Kawasaki
Please Introduce Bill to Label GMO Foods

Categories: GM Fish Bill

Stephen Tack
304 Noyes St.
Fairbanks, AK 99701-3045

January 13, 2011

The Honorable Scott Kawasaki
Alaska House of Representatives
State Capitol
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

HD - 9

Prop # 1

Dear Representative Kawasaki:

Like most consumers, I want to avoid foods that contain genetically modified organisms, but they are not labeled.

In fact, the federal government does nothing to regulate, or guarantee the safety of, agricultural crops -- and now food animals -- that have been altered with foreign genes. There has never been a longitudinal scientifically rigorous health study on the impacts of eating genetically altered foods.

The little science there is shows that GMOs are more likely to trigger novel allergies, are less nutritious, sprayed with more herbicides, and contain elevated levels of hormones that correlate with common cancers.

And, there's no doubt that the most common GMO foods are linked to epidemic levels of obesity and diet-related diseases. These include artery-clogging meat and milk products from animals fed GMO grains, trans fats from GMO vegetable oils, and high fructose (GMO) corn syrup.

Public health depends on labeling GMO foods so consumers can avoid them. Mandatory GMO labels are popular with consumers, consistently earning polling numbers politicians dream of.

I am hoping that you and your colleagues in the state legislature can help. Please stand up for consumers' right to know and truth in labeling by introducing a bill to label GMO foods this year.

I look forward to hearing from you on this important topic.

Sincerely,

Stephen Tack

From: nis@mosquitonet.com
ent: Wednesday, February 09, 2011 7:42 PM
Subject: Rep. Scott Kawasaki
GE Salmon

Categories: GM Fish Bill

Scott, Sen Begich has introduced S 230 to ban genetically engineered salmon so if this becomes federal law it should solve the problem. But i agree that any genetically engineered food if approved, should be conspicuously labeled as such so the public can make the decision to buy or not to buy the product. If the feds won't establish this requirement, the state should for sale of such products in AK.

Thanks for your service.

Herbert R.Melchior
nis@mosquitonet.com

Dist 0

Colostonia #2

From: bobsal@gci.net
Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2011 12:45 PM
To: Rep. Scott Kawasaki
Subject: GMOs and Frankenfish

Categories: GM Fish Bill

Dear Mr. Kawasaki,

This is in response to your note to me about my letter to the editor on GMOs. Here are two great sites regarding GMOs, both involving Jeffrey Smith, an expert on the subject. The discussion mainly revolves around the big chemical companies and seed involvement. The results from seed modification is disastrous and there is no reason to believe messing around with the genetics of fish will have a different outcome. The greed by both the chemical companies and our federal involvement has the potential for great harm to the world's people, not just American's.

On a different note from GMOs is the USDA Food Guidelines for the American Diet. Government involvement thirty to fifty years ago has lead to the increased health problems of cancer, diabetes, obesity and mental health problems. They still cannot see the forest for the trees, as to the problem, blaming the people, instead of the high carbohydrate and low-fat recommendations. This problem should be investigated by legislators as it would go a long way to solving the high expense of healthcare.

I am thankful for the interest by a legislator. Sincerely, Sally Stuart

<http://www.responsibletechnology.org>

The Institute for Responsible Technology (IRT)

The Institute for Responsible Technology is a world leader in educating policy makers and the public about genetically modified (GM) foods and crops. We investigate and report their risks and impact on health, environment, the economy, and agriculture, as well as the problems associated with current research, regulation, corporate practices, and reporting.

<http://www.seedsofdeception.com/Public/Home/index.cfm>

International bestselling author Jeffrey M. Smith is the leading spokesperson on Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs). He documents how the watch bluffs and mislead critics, and put the health of society at risk. At this watch.

There are a myriad links to good sites regarding how wrong the USDA get your interest.

<http://www.hulu.com/watch/196879/fat-head> this movie explain wrong the current recommendations are by the USDA.

Many bloggers have a scientific background and are up to date on the seem to be indoctrinated in the low carb theory from medical schools.

<http://www.fathead-movie.com/index.php/2011/02/01/fat-kids-are>

Mighton

<http://www.westonaprice.org/>

Sally Fallon

Dist.
11
North Pole

Banning GMOs[print](#)

by Sally Stuart, North Pole
01.11.11 - 12:13 am

Letter to the Editor

Jan. 7, 2011

To the editor:

How do we stop the genetic engineering of our food supply? First, the public has to be made aware of the dangers of genetically modified organisms.

The FDA has left it up to Monsanto to do its own safety testing and accepts the results. The vast majority of Americans would not buy GMOs if they were labeled as such. Economics would eliminate the problem. Who knows what the future holds for people eating GMOs?

Evidence is strong that GMOs for animals are catastrophic.

The seeds involved are soybeans, corn, canola, cottonseed and sugar beets. These foods are in everything, especially processed foods. Our dairy, poultry and meat animals are affected, as they are fed these grains.

Monsanto, Syngenta, Bayer, Dow and DuPont have bought more than 200 other seed companies, allowing them to dominate access to seeds.

Monsanto's motto is "No Food Shall Be Grown That We Don't Own."

The takeover has made it difficult for farmers to find natural seeds. In 1999, with the help of Arthur Anderson (Enron fame), Monsanto designed a plan to have the world use 100 percent of all commercial seeds genetically modified and patented by them in 15-20 years.

They developed strategies and tactics to achieve this aim. They want dominance in a world in which natural seeds would become extinct.

Monsanto has been ruthless. Following are two sites to follow up on and learn about this subject: www.seedsofdeception.com and www.responsibletechnology.org.

Scientists have been threatened, blackballed and fired. Government agencies have been infiltrated, and they have seized control. This has happened around the world.

However, the European Union, Australia and a few more countries have banned GMOs.

There are 65 health risks from GMOs. We need to insist the biochemistry industry take each of those risks and prove each is not harmful with independent

How For
Food

data.

Think over the last 20 years about changes happening to people in greater numbers: infertility, food allergies, autism, autoimmune diseases. Now "frankenfish" is in the news, another attempt to mess with our food.

People need to be informed of the truth about our food supply. The FDA has been grossly negligent.

© newsmine.com 2011