

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2012 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Bill Version CSHB 216(STA)
 Fiscal Note Number 1
 (H) Publish Date 1/20/12

Identifier (file name) HB216-GOV-OMB-1-13-2012 Dept. Affected All
 Title Deadlines in bills for the Adoption of Regulations Appropriation _____
 Allocation _____
 Sponsor P. Wilson
 Requester House State Affairs OMB Component Number _____

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

| | FY13 Appropriation Requested | Included in Governor's FY13 Request | Out-Year Cost Estimates | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| OPERATING EXPENDITURES | FY13 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 |
| Personal Services | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| Travel | | | | | | | |
| Services | | | | | | | |
| Commodities | | | | | | | |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | | | |
| Grants, Benefits | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL OPERATING | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |

| FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1002 | Federal Receipts | | | | | | |
| 1003 | GF Match | | | | | | |
| 1004 | GF | | | | | | |
| 1005 | GF/Prgm (DGF) | | | | | | |
| 1037 | GF/MH (UGF) | | | | | | |
| 1178 | temp code (UGF) | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |

| POSITIONS | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Full-time | | | | | | | |
| Part-time | | | | | | | |
| Temporary | | | | | | | |

| CHANGE IN REVENUES | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY12) operating costs _____ (separate supplemental appropriation required)
 (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY13) costs _____ (separate capital appropriation required)
 (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version (if initial version, please note as such)

Updated for the 2012 legislative session.

Prepared by Arnold Liebelt, Policy Analyst
 Division Office of Management and Budget
 Approved by Karen Rehfeld, Director
Office of Management and Budget

Phone 907-465-4676
 Date/Time 1/13/12 12:30 PM
 Date 1/13/2012

FISCAL NOTE #1

STATE OF ALASKA
2012 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CSHB 216(STA)

Analysis

HB 216 sets out to accomplish two objectives.

1. Requires bills to include language that establishes a date when regulations must be implemented;
2. Requires that all proposed regulation changes include descriptions in plain English that is easily understood by the public, of what the existing regulation does and what the proposed change will do.

Based on the language of the bill, the extent of the fiscal impact cannot be quantified for every agency, but we do believe the impact would be extensive, and that it would be statewide. With regards to objective 1, fiscal notes for bills introduced will need to consider the cost associated with the time required to implement regulations.

Depending on the nature and the complexity of the bill, the fiscal impact could include the need for additional staff or a consultant to assist with implementing the regulations within the time allowed. Departments such as Health & Social Services, Environmental Conservation, Fish & Game, Commerce Community and Economic Development, and Natural Resources have historically had more complex legislation than other departments and as such, could be impacted to a higher degree. Quantifying this impact is not possible.

The second objective of this bill will raise the amount departments need to pay for public notices as they would be required to print a summary of the existing regulation as well as a summary of the proposed change. This will need to be written in clear, easily readable language that can be understood by those without a legal background. The additional amount of information that will need to be written will have a fiscal impact, and the cost of framing the regulation without legal language could also have an immediate fiscal impact and a long term fiscal impact if the language needs legal interpretation in the future.