FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA cost ≠ codes 2012 LEGISLATIVE SESSION					Bill Version Fiscal Note Number Publish Date		SB116	
Identifier (file name)	SB116-DOA-RM	1-11-21-11			Dept. Affected	Administration	1	
Title An Act offering Alternate Dispute Resolution through a					Appropriation	ion Risk Management		
Sponsor Senate Labor and Commerce					_Allocation	Risk Manager	ment	
Sponsor Requester		nate Labor and Commerce			ent Number	71		
					•			
Expenditures/Rev				(Tho	usands of Doll	ars)		
Note: Amounts do no	t include inflation	unless otherwise						
		FY13 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY13 Request		Out-Year Cost Estimates			
OPERATING EXPE	NDITURES	FY13	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
Personal Services		75.9		75.9	75.9	75.9	75.9	75.9
Travel		60.0		60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0
Services								
Commodities Capital Outlay						<u> </u>		
Grants, Benefits						<u> </u>		
Miscellaneous								
TOTAL OP	ERATING	135.9	0.0	135.9	135.9	135.9	135.9	135.9
FUND SOURCE (Thousands of Dollars)								
1002 Federal Reco 1003 GF Match 1004 GF 1005 GF/Prgm (D0	GF)							
1037 GF/MH (UGF 1007 I/A Rcpts (Of		135.9		135.9	135.9	135.9	135.9	135.9
TOT.		135.9	0.0	135.9	135.9	135.9	135.9	135.9
		.	<u> </u>		I.		l l	
POSITIONS		1			•	•	1	
Full-time Part-time		1.0	0.00	1	1	1	1	1
Temporary								
remporary						1	<u>l</u>	
CHANGE IN REVEN	IUES							
Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY12) operating costs (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section) Estimated CAPITAL (FY13) costs (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)					(separate supplemental appropriation required, (separate capital appropriation required,			
Why this fiscal note Not applicable, initi		evious version (if	initial version	, please no	ote as such)			
Prepared by Scott Jordan, Director					Phone 465-5723			
Division	Risk Management				Date/Time 11/21/11 10am			
Approved by		Deputy Commissioner Date 11/21/2011						
	Department of Administration							

(Revised 8/17/2011 OMB) Page 1 of 2

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA 2012 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Analysis

Risk Management (RM) would be financially impacted by this proposed legislation.

It is unclear how the Trust would be funded but RM assumes through an employer's participation. The funding component was not detailed in the proposed legislation. It is unclear whether there will be one trust to handle all bargaining units or a separate trust per bargaining unit. Currently RM pays a self-insured fee to the Division of Workers Compensation to administer our workers compensation claims. The fee for calendar year 2010 was \$691.0. Costs are indeterminate for this proposed legislation.

RM would experience an increase in mediation cost and travel. Currently RM attends about 4-5 workers compensation claim mediations per year. I would conservatively anticipate an increase to 25% of our time loss claims (average 421 claims) or approximately 100 claims. Currently, the Workers Compensation hearing officers who mediate do so in Anchorage therefore travel to Anchorage for each claim would be necessary. Mediation of claims are handled by our staff in Juneau along with our worker comp attorneys. Our third party administrators (adjusters) for comp claims are not responsible for settlement mediation. RM does not anticipate any additional staff needed to handle the increase in mediations; however it would increase our current travel budget from \$17.4 to \$77.4 annually.

RM would be required to add one Administrative Assistant II to administer the light duty or modified duty program offered in Section 2, (6). This position would be a Range 14, step C. RM averages 421 time loss claims a year and each claim has a potential for the employee to return to work in a light or modified duty capacity.

RM would also anticipate additional costs for travel of the employees to employer medical evaluation's (EME) as we believe it will be difficult to get Alaskan physicians to participate in this type of program. Costs associated to this increase, however, are unknown at this time.

Increase costs in claims would also be reflected in the work comp rates for each agency thereby increasing the costs to each agency, but those costs would be unknown at this time.

(Revised 8/17/2011 OMB) Page 2 of 2