



January 24, 2012

The Honorable Hollis French, Senator
Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
Alaska State Legislature, State Capitol
Juneau, Alaska 99801

RE: Senate Bill 135, Continuances in Criminal Trials; Victims

Dear Senator French:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of Victims for Justice, I am writing to support Senate Bill 135.

Time and time again, we see continuances provided to defendants in criminal cases for reasons that seem insufficient and sometimes disingenuous, with absolutely no consideration given to the challenges this presents to victims in the case.

We have supported victims of violent crimes, and the surviving family members of homicide victims, in cases where so many continuances have been granted that they caused the trial to be delayed more than a decade. This length of delay can work to the benefit of the defendant, when witnesses die or leave the state, memories fade, prosecutors change over and over and it becomes difficult or impossible to win a conviction. And these delays work to the serious disadvantage of victims who only want to see justice done, and to society in general.


We have known victims to travel hundreds or thousands of miles, and incur great expense and inconvenience so that they may exercise their right to attend a trial or hearing, only to have the trial or hearing continued with absolutely no consideration given as to how this impacts the victim.

We recognize that courts want to extend the benefit of the doubt to defendants, who, after all, are the ones whose liberty is at stake. However, we feel the courts often fail to take into consideration the rights afforded by our state Constitution to victims in these situations. Senate Bill 135 puts the victim in the picture, and supports the judge who considers the victim when deciding whether to grant a continuance.

This bill is a very modest change to existing statutes and court rules. It simply describes a means to implement the requirements of our state Constitution and statutes with regard to victims. However, in a very important way, this bill brings balance to our justice system.

The Board of Directors of Victims for Justice strongly support SB 135.

Regards,


Susan Sullivan
Executive Director



**STATE OF ALASKA
OFFICE OF VICTIMS' RIGHTS**

January 17, 2012

The Honorable Hollis French, Senator
State of Alaska Senate
Chair, Judiciary Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capital
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: Senate Bill 135, Continuances in Criminal Trials; Victims

Dear Senator French:

I write in support of Senate Bill 135, Continuances in Criminal Trials; Victims.

The proposed legislation strengthens notification standards for informing crime victims of any request for a continuance that may substantially delay the prosecution, the date of trial, sentencing, an appeal, and any hearing which the defendant's release from custody is considered.

The amendments to Alaska Criminal Rule 45 strengthen a crime victim's right to address the court, and for the court to consider the crime victim's perspective, before issuing an order based on a motion for continuance.


Both components to the proposed legislation fortify a crime victim's statutory rights and Alaska Constitutional rights to be "treated with dignity, respect, and fairness" and "to timely disposition of the case..." (Alaska Constitution, Article 1, Section 24). The proposed legislation also stands to amplify the crime victim's voice before the court and other criminal justice agencies regarding delay in the criminal justice process.

I believe strongly that the administration of justice is improved when a crime victim's voice is heard and considered within the Alaska criminal justice system.

In sum, the proposed legislation wisely aligns with the Alaska Constitutional rights of a crime victim - especially with regard to the timely disposition of a criminal case.


I stand ready to testify in support of this legislation.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "D. Victor Kester". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "D" and a long horizontal stroke at the end.

D. Victor Kester
Executive Director

Main Office
130 Seward St #214
Juneau, Alaska 99801
Phone: (907) 586-3650
Fax: (907) 463-4493
www.andvsa.org



Pro Bono Office
PO Box 6631
Sitka, Alaska 99835
Phone: (907) 747-7545
Fax: (907) 747-7547

January 25, 2012

Honorable Senator Hollis French
State Capitol, Room 417
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: Senate Bill 135, Right to a Timely Disposition

Dear Senator French:

Thank you so much for introducing this very important bill. The Alaska Network on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault strongly supports Senate Bill 135. It is our understanding that this bill will provide a victim of crime, including domestic violence and sexual assault crime victims, a notice from law enforcement or prosecution when there will be a request for a continuance that would substantially delay a prosecution. All too often in Alaska victims have been disappointed in the process, procedure and outcome of their case. This bill will provide for consideration of the victim's wishes, the impact that continual delays will have on a victim of crime, and provide the victim with information of what to expect or what not to expect, in the nearer future.

Our eighteen member victim service agencies throughout the state witness daily the impact these delays have on the people they serve. Time and time again, our advocates appear in court with victims of both domestic violence and sexual assault, only to have their courage and hopes dashed by yet another delay. It has become a 'tactic' which, when employed, disheartens the victim, decreases their sense of safety and decreases their faith in the criminal justice system and the State of Alaska. Hopefully, this bill will strike a balance between the Constitutional right to a speedy trial for both defendants and victims.

Sincerely,



Peggy Brown
Executive Director

cc: Lisa Mariotti, Policy Director

Member Programs

Anchorage AWAIC, STAR Barrow AWIC Bethel TWC Cordova CFRC Dillingham SAFE Fairbanks IAC Homer SPHH
Juneau AWARE Kenai LeeShore Center Ketchikan WISH Kodiak KWRCC Kotzebue MFCC Nome BSWG
Seward SeaView Community Services Sitka SAFV Unalaska USAFV Valdez AVV

January 20, 2012

Senator Hollis French, Chair
State Capitol, Room 417
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Re: Senate Bill 135, Continuances in Criminal Cases

Dear Senator French:

Standing Together Against Rape (STAR) strongly supports any bill that affords a victim of crime the opportunity to have their voice and concerns heard by the justice system. Senate Bill 135 appears to strengthen "the right to a timely disposition of the case following the arrest of the accused" as stated in the Alaska Constitution.

Crime victims, in particular, victims of sexual assault feel a tremendous amount of anxiety when contemplating facing the person who assaulted them in court. The anxiety builds, creating sleepless nights and increased reliance on coping skills. The day arrives, and the trial is continued. This pattern can be repeated over and over and over again. If the offender is incarcerated the victim is afraid they will be released. If the offender is not incarcerated the victim feels they must continue watching over their shoulder at the market, the department store, the movies, etc. The ability of the victim to move about freely may be inhibited.

While I believe it is not the intent of prosecution to re-victimize crime victims, repeated continuances often do. At the same time STAR recognizes the need for the prosecution to put forth a strong case, to increase the possibility of conviction, to hear defense requests and to lessen the likelihood of appeal. Hopefully this bill will allow for a balance to be struck between the two.

Respectfully submitted,

Standing Together Against Rape
Nancy A Haag, MSW
Executive Director

Dear Lawmakers,

I am writing to encourage your support for Senator Hollis French's SB 135 dealing with a victim's constitutional right to "timely disposition of their case."

As many of you may recall, my daughter, Bonnie Craig, was murdered in September 1994. Her killer, Kenneth Dion was finally sentenced October 31st, 2011. For 17 years our family, friends and the community waited for justice. Our constitutional right to "timely disposition of our case" was violated again and again. I vowed, when thrown into this horror story, that I would make sure the things that went wrong in our case would be changed for others.

Protecting a victim's right to "timely disposition" is the most important change that needs to come out of this incredible tragedy. It was the most egregious violation that our family had to endure, and that violation was at the hands of the State of Alaska, not the criminal. I need your help to ensure all future victims will have their constitutional rights protected in Alaska. Let's balance the scales of justice and honor the victim's right to "timely disposition."

Do not be mistaken. It is not okay to think: "At least the criminal is behind bars and can't hurt anyone else." The pain inflicted on the victims is inexcusable and the prosecution of the case against the defendant weakens daily. Delays are a tactical advantage for defense attorneys to accommodate defense attorneys, rarely the defendant.

Kenneth Dion was identified November 2006. From the time he was identified our family was basically placed under a "gag order." Nothing could be said to the press, our friends, or the community. Our incredible grief - the wounds being ripped wide open, bringing us back to the day we were notified of Bonnie's death - were to be muzzled, and kept deep within us. We weren't allowed to share the news, our private trauma, our personal hell, for four months. As a family, we tried to continue our life as if nothing was happening, pretending this incredible burden and stress didn't exist. It was tearing us apart, eating at the very core of our existence, like a cancer. It destroyed relationships and financially ruined me. It affected our health and my parenting abilities. It could, and can, destroy a whole family.

Finally, May 1st, 2007 the news was released. Although we talked with the press and could finally share the information with friends, the gag was, once again, placed on our family members to avoid all contact with media. The threat of a "change of

venue" is not something a victim's family can take lightly. Until a jury is selected, avoiding any media coverage is critical. Our jury was selected more than 4 years later. During that time it became abundantly clear that the defense attorney and the defendant hold all the power and they call all the shots. Victims can only sit back and wait without complaining.

I know that you will never be able to even imagine the pain and suffering a parent, a sister and brothers goes through while awaiting a trial. Our pain and stress and fear was multiplied ten-fold with the memories of Joshua Wade being acquitted. We knew things could go wrong, evidence could get lost, a technicality could cause a mistrial, investigators and witnesses could die or be lost. We know memories fade. We know each day something could come up that could make it impossible to prosecute the man who brutally raped and murdered Bonnie. Our life is in a limbo, teetering on insanity. It is beyond comprehension. Yet, we try to continue our life with a new kind of normalcy.

Our first trial date was set for September, 2008. Please keep in mind that the killer was identified November, 2006. I wept when Judge Patrick McKay set the date. It was like having your guts ripped out of your body. He justified it by saying it would give both the prosecution and the defense plenty of time to prepare and that there would be absolutely no reason for any continuance. He vowed that he wanted to make sure both sides would be prepared, so we were going to have pretrial conferences to avoid any surprises or complications from arising. And we did. By the time we got to trial we had 45 pre-trial conferences, status and motion hearings. The judges, both Judge McKay and Judge Jack Smith were trying to make sure the defense was getting all they needed and getting ready for trial. They both failed. If the defense asks for anything, the judge, in fear of an appeal, will always grant the defense more time, even if it meant losing Pat Gullufson, our prosecutor of 3 years who had to retire for medical reasons. (July 15, 2010 a new prosecutor, Paul Miovas, took the case over. He successfully handled the case in less than 10 months.)

More than once, Lee De Grazia, the first public defender, misled the Judge. We all knew it and yet he never called her on it. One month before the trial was to start she claimed she fired her "DNA Expert" and would need more time. We all sat there amazed and wondering if she was lying. Had she even hired one yet? She doesn't need to answer to anyone. There was no accountability. She made a mockery of the whole system. When the case was finally handed over to Office of Public Advocacy defense attorney Andrew Lambert (03/23/09) he told Judge McKay that De Grazia had done virtually nothing on the case and the judge

responded, "I was afraid of that." 18 months had been wasted on a totally incompetent defense attorney.

Make no mistake, the delays are not about affording the defendant a fair trial, nor protecting the defendant's rights. They are for the benefit of, more times than not, the defense attorney's schedule and needs. The litigation of a case is dictated by the personal life of the defense attorney. Timely disposition is about case management and having efficient and effective counsel. It is about prioritizing cases that have been lingering on for the benefit of the defense attorney's schedules. You can't imagine how disheartening it is to hear your case is going to be delayed, yet again, for 4-5 months because the defense attorney wants to go on their second, one month vacation of the year and he needs about a week to do a divorce case for a buddy of his and he is going to visit some schools for his daughter. Why can't co-counsel take over?

If a builder is building a home he can't tell the client he is going away for a month on vacation and will need 3-4 more months. In just about any profession a project must be completed before those involved can decide to take time off.

If you were diagnosed with cancer and the doctor goes for vacation for a month you have the opportunity to use another doctor. Victims have no choice, but to sit and wait. Prosecutors can't request that we use another defense attorney or that co-counsel handle the scheduled hearing, nor can the judge tell a defense attorney they will need to hold off on their vacation.

Below, I have listed each trial date that was set. I can never describe the sickening feeling a family feels when you are told, once again, that the trial date will be changed. You feel like you are going to vomit. Again, it's like your guts are being ripped out of you. In many cases I was on the verge of tears, knowing we would be given no consideration. And, it is always incredulous reasoning, something that you think may require an extra week or two, delays the trial by 4 months or more.

Preparing for each pretrial hearing is mentally exhausting. You have difficulty functioning and sleeping in fear of what the defense is going to do each time. The tension and anxiety are overwhelming. Getting to court is difficult. We had 45 pretrial hearings. Please consider what that does to families. As a victim, you can't plan life too far in advance; you can't start to rebuild your life.

Refusal to deal with "timely disposition" is a refusal to address the inefficiencies in our justice system, and allowing the "justice system" to re-victimize the victims. Please, support Senator French's SB 135 to honor victim's constitutional right to

"timely disposition of their case." Show the victims the respect they deserve and stop the re-victimization of the victims.

Sincerely yours,



Karen Foster

November, 2006	Defendant Identified	
September 15, 2008	First Trial Date	4 month delay
January 5th, 2009	Second Trial Date	4 month delay
May 11, 2009	Third Trial Date	4 month delay
April 19, 2010	Fourth Trial Date	One year delay
August 30, 2010	Fifth Trial Date	4 month delay
February 7th, 2011	Sixth Trial Date	6 month delay
May 10th, 2011	Went to Trial, Jury finally selected.	

Lila Hobbs


From: karen.dynamic@gci.net on behalf of Karen Foster <karen.dynamic@gci.net>
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 7:38 AM
To: Lila Hobbs
Subject: Today's Hearing

The earlier email I sent had the wrong date for the new defense attorney. It should have been 2009 Can you please change it. Thanks,

Karen

State of Alaska v. Kenneth Dion

November, 2006	Kenneth Dion Identified	
September 15, 2008	First Trial Date	4 month delay
January 5th, 2009	Second Trial Date	4 month delay
May 11, 2009	Third Trial Date	4 month delay
March 23, 2010	New Defense attorney assigned	
April 19, 2010	Fourth Trial Date	One year delay
July 15, 2010	New Prosecutor assigned	
August 30, 2010	Fifth Trial Date	4 month delay
February 7th, 2011	Sixth Trial Date	6 month delay
May 10th, 2011	Went to Trial, Jury finally selected.	
June 15th, 2011	Dion convicted	
October 31st, 2011	Dion sentenced to 124 years	



Alaska Association of Chiefs of Police

February 10, 2012

Senator Hollis FRENCH
Mailstop: 3100 Room 417
State Capitol,
Juneau AK 99801-1182

RE: SB 135, An Act relating to the rights of crime victims; relating to the duties of prosecuting attorneys; and amending Rule 45, Alaska Rules of Criminal Procedure

Dear Senator French,


The Alaska Association of Chiefs of Police, Inc. (AACOP) represents Criminal Justice executives across Alaska; from Anchorage to Annette Island, Barrow to Bethel and Kotzebue to Ketchikan. Our 120 Members direct local, state and federal criminal justice agencies. We collaborate and work together toward one goal: making our state and communities safer.

AACOP leadership recently met to evaluate the impact on public safety of pending legislation before the 27th Alaska Legislature. One of the bills evaluated was SB 135, *An Act relating to the rights of crime victims; relating to the duties of prosecuting attorneys; and amending Rule 45, Alaska Rules of Criminal Procedure*. **We are writing to express our unreserved support for this bill as it is currently drafted.**

If you have need of testimony from any of our members as the bill proceeds through the committee process, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully,

Chief Tom Clemons,
President, AACOP
(907) 224-3338



February 10, 2012

Business Manager

Joseph Young
Anchorage

Board of Directors
Officers

John Lucking, Jr., President
Soldotna

Kris Sell, Vice President
Juneau

Angella Long, Past President
Wasilla

Marilyn Bailey, Secretary-Treasurer
Anchorage

Directors
John Waldron, President
Aleutian Islands Chapter
Unalaska

Michele Logan, President
Anchorage Chapter
Anchorage

Steve Hall, President
Capital City Chapter
Juneau

Brad Johnson, President
Farthest North Chapter
Fairbanks

James Kimura, President
First City Chapter
Ketchikan

Mark Pearson, President
Kenai Peninsula Chapter
Kenai

Bob Griffiths, President
Mat-Su Chapter
Wasilla

Thecla LaLonde, President
Wrangell Chapter
Wrangell

Senator Hollis French
Alaska State Senate
State Capitol
Juneau AK 99801-1182

Dear Senator French:

On behalf of the Alaska Peace Officers Association (APOA), I would like to thank you for introducing Senate Bill 135, an act relating to the rights of crime victims; relating to the duties of prosecuting attorneys; and amending Rule 45, Alaska Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The APOA State Board of Directors recently reviewed this proposed legislation and decided to unanimously support this bill.

We thank you for addressing this issue. Please contact the APOA office in Anchorage at 277-0515, if there is anything our organization can do to assist in the passage of this bill.

Sincerely,

John Lucking, Jr.
State President

PO Box 240106
Anchorage AK 99524

t 907 277 0515
f 907 272 5355

Making A Difference In The Last Frontier

