

# Issue Brief

The American Institute of Architects • Government Advocacy • Current Issue Position and Analysis

# Qualifications Based Selection

# **Key Points:**

- \* The American Bar
  Association endorses QBS in
  its "Model Procurement
  Code for State and Local
  Governments."
- 8→ 48 states follow QBS procedures for procuring A/E services.
- In recent polling, only 11
  percent of voters said they
  agreed with the viewpoint
  that "architects and
  engineers who are hired by
  government agencies to
  design and construct public
  buildings like schools, court
  houses, hospitals, airports,
  and prisons should always be
  awarded to the lowest bidder
  in order to save money."
- 8 8 percent agreed with the statement, "Because public health and safety is at stake, these contracts should be awarded by selecting the best qualified architects and engineers and then negotiating the best possible fee."
- Every major voter group registered over 80 percent support for the QBS concept.

The American Institute of Architects 1735 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006-5292 Phone: 202-626-7505

Fax: 202-626-7583
E-mail: govaffs@aia.org
Website: www.aia.org

# **Qualifications Based Selection**

#### **AIA Position**

The American Institute of Architects strongly supports Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) for procuring professional design services for public projects. QBS is required for federal projects as described by the Brooks Architect/Engineer Act (Public Law 92-582, 40 U.S.C. 541 et seq.).

## **Action Sought**

The AIA urges state legislators to support the use of Qualifications Based Selection for procuring architectural services.

## **Explanation and Justification**

QBS stands for Qualifications Based Selection. QBS provides owners with a selection process that is not only straightforward and easy to implement, but is objective and fair. It recognizes that design professionals play a critical role in the public building process.

As QBS is predicated on the notion that qualifications, not price, should be the determining factor in selecting an architect, it places fee negotiation at the end of the selection process. While price may have its place within the selection process, it alone should not be the determining factor.

The reason for this is clear: Projects that are contracted solely on price do not take into account the myriad additional factors that go into architectural design. Architectural design is an evolving process and much goes into it after the drawings are signed, sealed and delivered. In fact, one of an architect's principal duties is to ensure that the construction process goes smoothly.

It is an architect's experience, expertise and ability to resolve unforeseen problems creatively that will keep a construction project moving forward. These intangibles are common throughout the process. As a result, owners who rely only on a submitted bid as the project cost may be placed in a difficult position if the final cost is significantly higher.

QBS helps resolve the projected vs. final cost dilemma by negotiating the project's cost with the most qualified firm among the applicants. QBS also fosters a collaborative environment, so the roles of all stakeholders are known, documented and understood. Finally, because the selection process is well-documented, decisions the owner makes are more likely to stand up to public scrutiny.

For more information contact the State and Local Affairs team at 202-626-7507 or govaffs@aia.org.

Updated: December 2005