FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF A	LASKA				Fiscal Note Nun	nber:			
2010 LEGISLATIVE SESSION					Bill Version: HCS CSSB		305 (RES)		
					() Publish Date:				
Identifier (file non				4 42 40	Dant Affactad		Devenue		
Identifier (file name): HCS CSSB305(RES)(title am)-REV-TAX-04-13-10 Title Separate Oil & Gas Production Tax					Dept. Affected: RDU	Revenue Taxation and Treasury			
					Component	Tax Division			
Sponsor	Senate Finance Committee				Component				
Requester	House Finance Committee			Component Nur	nber	2476			
					- 				
Expenditures/					ousands of Do	llars)			
Note: Amounts d	o not include inflation		vise noted be	low.					
		Appropriation							
		Required	EV 0044	EV 0040		mation		EV 0010	
OPERATING EX		FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Personal Service	S								
Travel Contractual		660.0							
Supplies		000.0							
Equipment									
Land & Structure	s								
Grants & Claims	-								
Miscellaneous									
TOTAL O	PERATING	660.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CAPITAL EXPEN	NDITURES								
CHANGE IN REV	VENUES ()	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		*** Significant	Impact Bevo	ond FY 2016	- See Analysis S	Section for Add	itional Inform	ation ***	
FUND SOURCE		5			housands of Dol				
1002 Federal Re	ceipts			× ×		,			
1003 GF Match									
1004 GF		660.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1005 GF/Program Receipts									
1037 GF/Mental									
Other Interagency Receipts									
10	TAL	660.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Estimate of any	current year (FY2	010) cost:	_	660.0	_				
POSITIONS					-				
Full-time		0.0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
Part-time		0.0	0.00	0	Ŭ	<u> </u>	0		
Temporary									
Bill Language This bill separate sales. Under this surcharge on exp remains unchange equivalent, then This new method project (currently for progressivity	Attach a separate pages bill the progressivity port gas would be ca ged at 25%, and the 0.1% per \$1 of produ- d of calculating progra anticipated to be Ma purposes. Then, follo- tin separated for pro-	s for purposes of y surcharges for of alculated separate progressivity stru- uction tax value of ressivity will apply ay 1, 2010), after owing the month	bil and Cook Ir ely (currently a ucture remains over \$92.50. y from April 29 which progress in which more	hlet and in-sta all oil and gas s unchanged a), 2010 throug ssivity will rev	te gas would be of are combined for at 0.4% per \$1 of p h the first day of t ert to the current i	alculated togethe progressivity pur production tax val he binding open s method of combir	er, and the prog poses). The ba lue over \$30 pe season for the <i>p</i> ning all oil and g	ressivity se tax rate er barrel of oil AGIA pipeline gas together	
Prepared by: Dan Stickel, Petroleum Economist						Phone 907-465-3279			
Division Tax Division				Date/Time 04-13-10; 7:56pm					
_						Date 04-13-10; 8:15pm			
Administrative Services Division, Department of Revenue							0-+-13-10, 0.13	<u>pm</u>	
<u></u>									

STATE OF ALASKA 2010 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. HCS CSSB 305 (RES)

ANALYSIS CONTINUATION

Revenues

This bill effectively maintains the status quo in terms of progressivity calculation until major gas sales begin. At that time, export gas will be taxed at a different progressivity rate than will oil, Cook Inlet gas, and gas for in-state use. As such, the revenue impact is shown as zero through the time horizon of this fiscal note.

Once major gas sales begin, our modeling suggests that under most oil and gas price scenarios, this bill will either have no impact on revenue or will increase state revenue versus the status quo. In some scenarios, the revenue increase is over \$1 billion per year. On the other hand, there are also scenarios where revenue could be decreased, but these scenarios are more limited in both number and magnitude of revenue decrease. The exact impact will be dependent on numerous variables including oil and gas prices and production, and lease expenditures. This bill dictates that lease expenditures shall be allocated between oil and gas based on gross value at the point of production "to the extent possible."

Since this bill will likely result in an overall increase in tax due for the major producers, it is possible that the tax change could be viewed by producers as a disincentive to oil and gas exploration and development.

Expenditures

With the change in tax structure the Department will need to change its monthly reporting forms, annual tax returns, and databases. The FY 2011 contractual services costs for programming changes to the online tax information system and the monthly reporting system are estimated at \$230,000. Additionally, to implement this bill with an immediate effective date, the Department will need to develop regulations to the extent possible by April 29, requiring an additional \$430,000 appropriation for FY 2010 to cover immediate contractual, regulatory and legal work. Aside from the \$660,000 in one-time costs, the provisions of this bill can be implemented using existing staff and resources.

\$230,000 computer programming and forms changes

\$50,000 contracted professional services for regulation consulting

\$100,000 contracted professional services for legal and fiscal consulting

\$180,000 regulations costs including Department of Law, public notice and registry

\$100,000 public forums for education of taxpayers and public participation includes preparation materials and legal support, could include travel

Other Issues

This bill provides for an immediate effective date, with the production tax changing potentially twice during 2010; once on April 29 and again after the first day of the AGIA binding open season. Since the production tax is levied on an annual basis (payable in monthly installments), changing the tax calculations for a portion of the tax year would create an additional burden with additional complexity for both the Department and the taxpayers for the 2010 tax year.