

State of Alaska
Department of Revenue
Administrative Services Division



SARAH PALIN, GOVERNOR
333 Willoughby Avenue, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 110400
Juneau, Alaska 99811-0405
Phone: (907) 465-2300
Fax: (907) 465-2394

March 20, 2009

The Honorable Bert Stedman
Chair, Senate Finance Committee
Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 516
Juneau, AK 99801

Dear Senator Stedman;

The Department of Revenue has requested a hearing to be scheduled for SB96 Child Support / Cash Medical. This bill was introduced by the Senate Health and Social Services Committee with support from the Governor. This letter outlines the need for the legislation to pass during this legislative session both for content of the bill and fiscal impact to the citizens of the state.

The Alaska Child Support Services Division (CSSD) needs to amend state law on three issues.

1. The requirement for cash medical support
2. The three year review cycle
3. The change of the definition of state in UIFSA

The first two amendments are less controversial and only require that child support orders include a provision for obligors to pay cash medical assistance to the custodial parent to help with health costs when insurance is not provided for that child, and that the division performs regular reviews of its cases.

The third amendment is more sensitive to certain legislators. The Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) contains a definition of "state." Under federal law, all states must adopt the uniform act's definition of "state" that includes "the United States Virgin Islands" and "an Indian tribe." For Alaska, the impact of adopting a definition that would include Indian tribes, means Indian tribes can issue and serve income-withholding orders on employers. The obligor would be entitled to contest the jurisdiction of the tribe to issue the order and the usual rights to contest the validity or enforcement of an order by an obligor would still apply. The change would also affect the process for state recognition of tribal orders. A tribal child support order would be registered in the Alaska state courts under the UIFSA procedures instead of a comity process. These changes are consistent with the purpose of UIFSA. The purpose of UIFSA is to unify state laws relating to child support orders, to provide efficient procedures for collecting child support in interstate cases, and to eliminate multiple support orders that were permitted under prior child support laws.

One question raised by the changes required by UIFSA is whether the tribal amendment would result in an expansion of tribal authority. The Department of Law has examined this question and came to the

following conclusion:

“UIFSA’s underlying purpose is not to define jurisdiction. Nor does the state have the ability to define tribal jurisdiction. The overall purpose of UIFSA is simply to unify state laws relating to child support orders, to provide efficient procedures for collecting child support in interstate cases, and to eliminate multiple support orders that were permitted under prior child support laws. These purposes do not trigger broader jurisdictional concerns.”

Intent language could be included in the introduced child support legislation (SB 96) to ease concerns of legislators who are not comfortable with issues related to Indian tribes. Or a letter of intent could be attached as a separate letter to the bill. I might suggest the following as an amendment:

LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS AND INTENT. (a) The legislature finds that

(1) failure to bring AS 25.25.101 into compliance with the federal Social Security Act Title IV-D could result in the loss of approximately \$17,000,000 in administrative funding;

(2) of the 33 states that have federally recognized tribes, Alaska is the only state that has not yet passed conforming legislation; and

(3) to bring Alaska into conformity with UIFSA, the legislature finds that it is necessary to amend AS 25.25.101 to include “an Indian tribe” and “the United States Virgin Islands” in the definition of “state.”

(b) It is the intent of the legislature that this Act not alter or expand in any way the governmental relationship between federally recognized tribes and the state.

A zero fiscal note accompanies the legislation because passage of the bill would result in no additional impact to the Department of Revenue, Child Support Services Division. The fiscal impact to non-passage of SB96, or another like bill, could be detrimental to 62,000 Alaska’s children under age 19. If the state remains out of compliance after this legislative session, CSSD could lose nearly the entire operating budget required to operate the child support activities mandated by state and federal law and could jeopardize the entire TANF block grant received by the Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Assistance. (The division currently has a budget of \$174,000 General Funds.)

\$11,000,000	Federal receipts paid through Title IV-D of the Social Security Act for child support services.
\$12,708,403	Each year CSSD collects funds from families who also receive state benefits through the State’s TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) program. The collections received on behalf of the children receiving funding through TANF are retained by CSSD and used as match to obtain additional federal funding for child support, \$6,070,137 state match, and \$6,638,266 to be used as part of the total federal receipts to be paid to CSSD for its services. *FY08 totals

CSSD Federal Compliance Impact Letter

March 20, 2009

Page 3 of 4

\$ 1,025,761	Annual collections from obligors whose children are in state foster care are sent to the Department of Health and Social Services to help pay for foster care costs. *FY08 totals
\$ 860,560	Annual collections from obligors whose children are institutionalized are sent to the Department of Health and Social Services to help pay for non-federal foster care. *FY08 totals
\$60,000,000	Federal TANF Block Grant is part of the Social Security Act. If any part of the Act is not in compliance, the State would risk losing the entire block grant. The majority of this funding is appropriated in the Department of Health and Social Services. Action Transmittal OCSE-AT-97-05 dated April 28, 1997 describes that CSSD funding would be lost and that non-compliance could also put at risk Title IV-A funding. The language from this Action Transmittal follows:

AUTHORITY:Section 455(a)(1)(A) of the Act specifies that funds appropriated under title IV-D shall be paid to States with approved State IV-D plans. There is no authority to expend Federal funds under title IV-D of the Act for the operation of a Child Support Enforcement program unless such State has an approved State IV-D plan.

Section 466 of the Act requires that all States, as a condition for approval of their State IV-D plan, must have in effect laws requiring the use of mandatory procedures to increase the effectiveness of their Child Support Enforcement programs. As a condition for State plan approval, section 454(20) of the Act provides that, to the extent required by section 466, States must have laws in effect and implement the procedures prescribed in or pursuant to such laws.

Section 454 of the Act sets the statutory requisites for the State IV-D plan. In addition, regulations at 45 CFR 301.10 define the State IV-D plan as a comprehensive statement submitted by the IV-D agency describing the nature and scope of its program. The State IV-D plan contains all the information necessary for the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) to determine whether the plan can be approved, as a basis for Federal financial participation in the State IV-D program.

Section 452(a)(3) of the Act requires that OCSE review and approve State plans for Child Support Enforcement programs under title IV-D of the Act. The authority to approve State plans is delegated to the Regional Office, but OCSE retains authority for determining that a State IV-D plan is not approvable.

As stated above, a determination that a State IV-D plan is disapproved will result in immediate suspension of all Federal payments for the State's child support enforcement program, and such payments will continue to be withheld until the State IV-D plan can be approved by OCSE. If a State is dissatisfied with OCSE's decision, reconsideration may be requested pursuant to 45 CFR 301.14. Withholding of Federal payments cannot be stayed pending reconsideration.

Section 402(a)(2) of the Act (as amended by PRWORA) provides that the chief executive officer of a State must certify that it will operate a child support enforcement program under an approved IV-D plan as a condition of eligibility for a TANF block grant under title IV-A of the Act. Therefore, States should be aware that TANF funds may also be at risk.

In conclusion:

1. Monthly distribution of child support payments to custodial parents averages \$9,350,000.
2. One out of six citizens (approximately 125,000 people) in Alaska are somehow involved in a child support case.
3. If this legislation does not pass in the next 30 days, Alaska risks losing its entire child support services program and could lose its state TANF block grant that serves low-income citizens in every community.

Thank you for working with us on this issue.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ginger Blaisdell". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Ginger Blaisdell
Director