

Jan. 23, 2018

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

## New Report One of the Most Comprehensive Studies on Health Effects of E-Cigarettes; Finds That Using E-Cigarettes May Lead Youth to Start Smoking, Adults to Stop Smoking


WASHINGTON — A new congressionally mandated [report](#) from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine takes a comprehensive look at evidence on the human health effects of e-cigarettes. Although the research base is limited given the relatively short time e-cigarettes have been used, the committee that conducted the study identified and examined over 800 peer-reviewed scientific studies, reaching dozens of conclusions about a range of health impacts.

Evidence suggests that while e-cigarettes are not without health risks, they are likely to be far less harmful than conventional cigarettes, the report says. They contain fewer numbers and lower levels of toxic substances than conventional cigarettes, and using e-cigarettes may help adults who smoke conventional cigarettes quit smoking. However, their long-term health effects are not yet clear. Among youth -- who use e-cigarettes at higher rates than adults do -- there is substantial evidence that e-cigarette use increases the risk of transitioning to smoking conventional cigarettes.

E-cigarettes are a diverse group of products containing a heating element that produces an aerosol from a liquid that users can inhale via a mouthpiece, and include a range of devices such as “cig-a-likes,” vape tank systems, and vape mods. Millions of Americans use e-cigarettes, and e-cigarette use is generally greatest among young adults and decreases with age. Use varies substantially across demographic groups, including age, gender, race, and ethnicity. For example, among youth and adults, use is typically greater among males than females.

Whether e-cigarettes have an overall positive or negative impact on public health is currently unknown, the report says. More and better research on e-cigarettes’ short- and long-term effects on health and on their relationship to conventional smoking is needed to answer that question with clarity.

“E-cigarettes cannot be simply categorized as either beneficial or harmful,” said David Eaton, chair of the committee that wrote the report, and dean and vice provost of the Graduate School of the University of Washington, Seattle. “In some circumstances, such as their use by non-smoking adolescents and young adults, their adverse effects clearly warrant concern. In other cases, such as when adult smokers use them to quit smoking, they offer an opportunity to reduce smoking-related illness.”



The report offers conclusions about e-cigarette use and a range of health impacts, including the following, and it notes the strength of the evidence for each conclusion.

### **Exposure to nicotine**

- There is conclusive evidence that exposure to nicotine from e-cigarettes is highly variable and depends on the characteristics of the device and the e-liquid, as well as on how the device is operated.
- There is substantial evidence that nicotine intake from e-cigarettes among experienced adult e-cigarette users can be comparable to that from conventional cigarettes.

### **Exposure to toxic substances**

- There is conclusive evidence that in addition to nicotine, most e-cigarettes contain and emit numerous potentially toxic substances.
- There is substantial evidence that except for nicotine, exposure to potentially toxic substances from e-cigarettes (under typical conditions of use) is significantly lower compared with conventional cigarettes.

### **Dependence and abuse liability**

- There is substantial evidence that e-cigarette use results in symptoms of dependence on e-cigarettes.
- There is moderate evidence that risk and severity of dependence is lower for e-cigarettes than for conventional cigarettes.
- There is moderate evidence that variability in the characteristics of e-cigarette products (nicotine concentration, flavoring, device type, and brand) is an important determinant of the risk and severity of dependence on e-cigarettes.

### **Harm reduction**

- There is conclusive evidence that completely substituting e-cigarettes for conventional cigarettes reduces users' exposure to many toxicants and carcinogens present in conventional cigarettes.
- There is substantial evidence that completely switching from regular use of conventional cigarettes to e-cigarettes results in reduced short-term adverse health outcomes in several organ systems.

### **Use by youth and young adults**

- There is substantial evidence that e-cigarette use by youth and young adults increases their risk of ever using conventional cigarettes.

## **Secondhand exposure**

- There is conclusive evidence that e-cigarette use increases airborne concentrations of particulate matter and nicotine in indoor environments compared with background levels.
- There is moderate evidence that second-hand exposure to nicotine and particulates is lower from e-cigarettes compared with conventional cigarettes.

## **Cancer**

- There is no available evidence whether or not e-cigarette use is associated with intermediate cancer endpoints in humans. (An intermediate cancer endpoint is a precursor to the possible development of cancer; for example, polyps are lesions that are intermediate cancer endpoints for colon cancer.)
- There is limited evidence from animal studies using intermediate biomarkers of cancer to support the hypothesis that long-term e-cigarette use could increase the risk of cancer.

## **Respiratory effects**

- There is no available evidence whether or not e-cigarettes cause respiratory diseases in humans.
- There is moderate evidence for increased cough and wheeze in adolescents who use e-cigarettes, and an increase in asthma exacerbations.

## **Injuries and poisonings**

- There is conclusive evidence that e-cigarettes can explode and cause burns and projectile injuries. Such risk is significantly increased when batteries are of poor quality, stored improperly, or are being modified by users.
- There is conclusive evidence that intentional or accidental exposure to e-liquids (from drinking, eye contact, or skin contact) can result in adverse health effects such as seizures, anoxic brain injury, vomiting, and lactic acidosis.
- There is conclusive evidence that intentionally or accidentally drinking or injecting e-liquids can be fatal.

## **Reproductive and developmental effects**

- There is no available evidence whether or not e-cigarettes affect pregnancy outcomes.
- There is insufficient evidence whether or not maternal e-cigarette use affects fetal development.

Until more definite scientific data are available, population modeling can help estimate the balance of potential benefits and harms. Under the assumption that e-cigarette use increases the rate at which adults quit conventional smoking, modeling projects that use of e-cigarettes will generate a net public health benefit, at least in the short run. The harms caused by the higher rate of conventional cigarette smoking among youth who had used e-cigarettes will take decades to appear. For long-range projections, the net public health benefit is substantially less, and under some scenarios the net impact is harmful.

Maximizing the potential health benefits associated with e-cigarettes, the report says, will require determining with more precision whether and under what conditions e-cigarettes help people quit

smoking; discouraging e-cigarette use among youth through education and access restrictions; and increasing the devices' safety through data-driven engineering and design.

The study was sponsored by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine are private, nonprofit institutions that provide independent, objective analysis and advice to the nation to solve complex problems and inform public policy decisions related to science, technology, and medicine. They operate under an 1863 congressional charter to the National Academy of Sciences, signed by President Lincoln. For more information, visit [nationalacademies.org](https://nationalacademies.org). A committee roster follows.

Resources:

Download the report at [www.nationalacademies.org/eCigHealthEffects](https://www.nationalacademies.org/eCigHealthEffects)

[Report Highlights](#)

[Recommendations](#)

[Conclusions by Level of Evidence](#)

[Conclusions by Outcome](#)

Contacts:

Dana Korsen, Media Relations Officer

Andrew Robinson, Media Relations Assistant

Office of News and Public Information

202-334-2138; e-mail [news@nas.edu](mailto:news@nas.edu)

[Newsroom](#)

**THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES OF SCIENCES, ENGINEERING, AND MEDICINE**

**Health and Medicine Division**

**Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice**

## Committee on the Review of the Health Effects of Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems

David L. Eaton\* (*chair*)  
Dean and Vice Provost  
Graduate School  
Professor of Environmental  
& Occupational Health Sciences  
School of Public Health, Univ. of Washington  
Seattle

Anthony Alberg  
Professor and Chair  
Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics  
Arnold School of Public Health  
University of South Carolina, Columbia

Maciej Goniewicz  
Associate Professor of Oncology  
Department of Health Behavior  
Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer  
Center, Buffalo, N.Y.

Adam Leventhal  
Associate Professor  
Department of Preventive Medicine  
Division of Health Behavior Research  
Keck School of Medicine  
University of Southern California, Los Angeles

José E. Manautou  
Professor of Pharmacology and Toxicology, and  
Interim Chair  
Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences  
School of Pharmacy  
University of Connecticut, Storrs

Sharon McGrath-Morrow  
Professor  
Department of Pediatrics  
School of Medicine  
Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore

David Mendez  
Associate Professor  
Department of Health Management and Policy  
School of Public Health  
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Richard Miech  
Research Professor  
Survey Research Center  
Institute for Social Research

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Ana Navas-Acien  
Professor of Environmental Health Sciences  
Mailman School of Public Health  
Columbia University, New York City

Kent E. Pinkerton  
Professor of Pediatrics  
School of Medicine, and  
Professor of Anatomy, Physiology, and Cell Biology  
School of Veterinary Medicine  
University of California, Davis

Nancy A. Rigotti  
Professor of Medicine  
Harvard Medical School, and  
Director  
Tobacco Research and Treatment Center, and  
Associate Chief  
Division of General Internal Medicine  
Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston

David A. Savitz\*  
Professor of Epidemiology  
School of Public Health, and  
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology and  
Pediatrics  
Warren Alpert Medical School  
Brown University, Providence, R.I.

Gideon St.Helen  
Assistant Professor of Medicine  
Division of Clinical Pharmacology  
Department of Medicine  
University of California, San Francisco

STAFF  
Kathleen R. Stratton  
Staff Officer-----

\*Member, National Academy of Medicine