

Subject:

FW: HB 110

>

>> Dear Representative Lynn,

>>

>> My name is Andrew Kerosky, and I am a District 31 resident that is living outside the Anchorage area for the next month or two. As such, I find myself unable to attend the Legislative hearing regarding HB 110, and instead wanted to contact you to express how concerned I am about this bill. I have heard of groups like political campaigns organizing letter writing campaigns before, and the suspicion that my letter would be regarded as such always prevented me from writing in the past. I assure you though, I am not working towards anyone's means but my own and those of the State of Alaska.

>>

>> I am a young person, only 24 years old, and as such I can't remember the old tax system of the 90s and early 2000s. I have read that the system was grossly flawed, and full of loopholes that tax companies could exploit. For example, I read that companies only paid less than 1 percent on their production in some cases. I must admit that these figures shocked me.

>>

>> While I may not be old enough to remember the tax system pre-Murkowski, I definitely remember the cronyism and pay-for-politics that defined his era. Even back then, I remember thinking that oil companies seemed to be walking all over lawmakers, and that our government was giving away far more than self-interest demanded. Lo and behold, following his term, we learned that his administration and our own elected legislature even broke the law in their attempts to bend over backwards to please oil companies. I feel that this example should serve as a strong warning, of the political will and goals of the oil companies, and the weakness of our own legislature to please an industry that is one of its primary sources of revenue.

>>

>> The system put into place by Sarah Palin, called ACES, was in response to the blatant corruption of Murkowski's system, and is by no means perfect. It does however provide incredibly generous incentives for investment and new development. I have read that our investment and new field incentives are among the best in the world. Whether that is true or not I don't know. ACES also provides a sliding scale of tax, so that companies only pay taxes on fields that are profitable, and the more profitable they are, the more tax the company pays. Honestly, this seems extremely fair to me. When considering these two facts, maybe I shouldn't have been surprised when oil companies called the ACES system stifling, but I was.

>>

>> Oil companies have mounted an aggressive campaign to overturn ACES with a much more corporate friendly tax system this session, claiming it will encourage new investment and development in Alaska. When taken in a historical perspective, this is not surprising. Oil companies had been getting away with murder in Alaska for many years, until their own greed and overreach caused a backlash of tax sanity from the last legislature.

>> Oil companies have claimed that the new rules, which I have been told will give them up to 2 billion dollars in tax breaks, will encourage new development and investment in Alaska. How can this be, when we already have one of the most generous investment incentives around? A full half of the costs of new fields and development are tax deductible. Conoco Philips made \$1.5 billion in profits in 2009, one-third of their worldwide profits, under the current system. They also pushed to develop new projects in Alaska, such as the National Petroleum Reserve, all under the current system. Clearly, our current system isn't a barrier, theoretically or in practice, to new investment or development.

>>

>> When taken as a whole, from a historical perspective, a financial and practical perspective, it seems much more likely to me that oil companies, in pushing so aggressively for this new system, do not have the best interests of Alaskans at heart. It seems much more likely to me that they are just trying to take further advantage of an oil dependent legislature to dramatically increase their profits, and dramatically decrease our state revenues. Those revenues go to fund things like foster care, pensions, and school districts (which had to come asking the legislature for more funding this session). While I am very sympathetic to the needs of business to have a development/investment friendly atmosphere, I believe that the current system accomplishes this nicely. While I am not exactly doting on ACES, and could definitely agree that sections of the law need to be changed, they should be changed in a way that does not actively destroy our state government, while simultaneously not handing oil companies an enormous check with nothing in return. When asked for guarantees of more investment in Alaska with the passing of new tax laws, oil companies have been non-committal. If we give them 2 billion dollars, shouldn't we at least get a guarantee in return? No sane organization in the world would sign a contract with provisions like that. Where is the fiscal responsibility there?

>>

>> This is why I wrote to you today, to encourage you to do everything in your power to oppose this bill. I have never written to my legislator in my life, and hopefully will never have to again. However, this issue, and the distress it causes me from reading and studying it, has pushed me out of my own inertia. I sincerely hope that you find the time to read this letter, and that it helps sway you in some small way. While writing it has been some comfort, a much, much larger comfort would be the defeat of HB 110.

>>

>> Your respectful constituent,

>> Andrew Kerosky

**Subject:**

FW: Testimony on Proposed HB 110

Please include this testimony on the record for the Friday, March 25, 2011, call-in opportunity to present all views on this proposed legislation.

If it [ACES] ain't broke, don't fix it. Consider the facts below and do not enact House Bill 110.

"The good news is we are seeing a lot of increase in oil exploration" (Karen Rehfeld, Director of the Governor's Office of Management and Budget, in testimony before the House Finance Committee on January 19, 2011). "Alaska is open for business and a big incentive is the ACES legislation" (Greg Vigil, Savant Alaska LLC).

ACES is working as intended, benefiting both Alaska and the producers. Great Bear is projecting to double the TAPS throughput within 10 years. Repsol just invested \$768 million. Alaska is 12% of ConocoPhillips' overall portfolio, and that 12% is consistently driving their worldwide business. Under ACES Alaska is providing a 29 to 55% return on investment. The multinational producers crying poor are drilling in wartorn Iraq -- despite that government taking 98.5%! Some multinational corporations in Iraq are drilling for as little as \$1.39 profit per barrel.

Eighty percent (80%+) of the oil companies Governor Parnell has met with regarding HB 110 are just fine with ACES. Of those, "four to five" thought the tax system was "just fine," while "two or three" thanked the state for the tax credit program, and two companies wanted to see ACES changed" (12/20/2009 Petroleum News).

There were over 150 wells drilled on the North Slope in 2010. More wells were drilled on the North Slope than before ACES in 2006 under PPT. Three exploratory wells were drilled in 2010, the same number drilled in 1999. Only 10% of wells drilled on the North Slope are dry, and Alaska picks up 76% of the cost via tax credits for those wells.

Capital and operating expenditures have dramatically increased under ACES; and most of that was not due to maintenance -- the majority of growth in capital expenditures since 2007 is attributable to drilling, seismic, and other production-related projects. Investment is forecast to increase in 2011.

What do the oil companies think about ACES?

Employment since ACES has exploded on the North Slope -- 2009 was a record year. In 2010, more people were employed than at any time since before ACES this decade. A few years ago prior to ACES, when taxes were actually 0% in some fields on the North Slope, oil company investment went down. Since ACES, the number of companies doing business and filing tax returns more than doubled from 19 in 2006 to 39 in 2009.

As for competition from North Dakota, their tax rate is 11.25%. But you can't compare Alaska to North Dakota because we are an owner-state. Oil companies must negotiate oil rights with private landowners in North Dakota, and sophisticated landowners are negotiating up to 50% royalty payments. Others are getting anywhere from 15% to 50%. Add North Dakota's state

tax and the total tax on producers is anywhere from 31.25 to 61.25%. At \$110 per barrel, the current effective tax rate in Alaska is right around 30%.

If HB 110 becomes law, this state will be in deficit spending mode in two years. Our savings will be gone within 5 years. How long til PFDs are a footnote in history? How long til we see a statewide income tax? How long til we see a statewide sales tax? Statewide property tax? We will see a higher financial burden for residents on top of draconian cuts in state spending to pay for the unnecessary corporate welfare implicit in HB 110 -- with no guarantees of increased investment.

Thank you.

Colleen Ryan  
Anchorage

**From:** Gavin Charrier [gcharrier@gci.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2011 11:34 AM  
**To:** Rep. Les Gara  
**Subject:** Public Employees and Oil Tax Revenue

Greetings Representative Gara, House Finance Committee Member,

**I'm appalled...**

First, the Public Employees Retirement System is changed from a Defined Benefit to a Defined Contribution System with NO Retirement Guarantees to the working class whose wages were mutually negotiated much lower than private enterprise in order to have those guarantees. Excuse, To save the State Budget and reduce the Unfunded Liability. Public Employees have contributed their portion each pay period towards their own retirement already.

Then the Governor Parnell wants to give back \$2,000,000,000 to the already wealthy Oil Companies whereas the State could certainly put this money towards better use (i.e. the unfunded liability, etc. etc.). I was recently informed and understand that Governor Parnell was a former Conoco Lobbyist – Go Figure where his loyalty lays.

Now comes Representative Gatto's HB200 "An Act relating to restricting collective bargaining by certain public employees." Thus to further ROB Public Employees of their Collective Bargaining Rights and hard earned Health and Retirement Benefits (now only a 401K type plan).

The State is heading for disaster when no longer will there be any incentive whatsoever for a long term devoted professional employees to apply for employment with an Alaska State entity or Political Subdivision within. An inexperienced workforce is all that will be left to conduct those day to day Public Affairs.

Please do not consider Representative Gatto's HB200 nor making any changes to ACES and giving back \$2,000,000,000 back to the Oil Industry who already have historically record high profits after all expenses.

Alaska and Alaskans need both the Money now and to maintain the experience of a Professional Workforce to guide our Citizens and forge our future livelihood.

Thank-You.

Gavin D. Charrier  
P.O. Box 8692  
Ketchikan, Alaska 99901

**From:** Karen Hopp [khopp@mtaonline.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 25, 2011 4:18 AM  
**To:** Rep. Les Gara  
**Subject:** oil tax repeal

To all it may concern: I am voicing opposition to the oil tax repeal proposed by Governor Parnell. Two billion dollars is a lot to "give away" for Alaskan unsustainable natural resources in these uncertain times. The oil companies make huge profits and Alaskans take huge environmental and financial risk to grant them additional profit?? Of course it is a complex issue – but one best made with open meetings and public input. It's time we listen to the voices of intelligence and reason such as Mr. Les Gara has offered the citizens of Alaska.

Thank you.

Karen Hopp  
Palmer AK

---

**From:** Angela Larson [alarson@mosquitonet.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 9:38 AM  
**To:** Rep. Bill Stoltze; Rep. Bill Thomas; Rep. Anna Fairclough; Rep. Mia Costello; Rep. Bryce Edgmon; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Tammie Wilson; Rep. Mike Doogan; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. David Guttenberg; Rep. Mike Hawker; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Joe Thomas; Sen. Joe Paskvan; Rep. Scott Kawasaki  
**Cc:** lisa@fairbankschamber.org  
**Subject:** Opposition to HB110

Dear Representatives and Senators,

I am a member of the Fairbanks Chamber of Commerce and a small business owner in Fairbanks. I am extremely opposed the Governor's proposal to cut taxes on the oil industry. Cutting their taxes will not increase oil investment in Alaska. The oil industry is already making billions of dollars in profits every year. I do not agree that we should add to their profits.

More to the point, and contrary to the position of the Fairbanks Chamber of Commerce, if we cut taxes on oil profits, the state of Alaska will need to recoup the billions of tax dollars somewhere else – and I do not want to see taxes on my small business, nor do I want to pay income taxes somewhere down the line when the oil companies walk away with billions! More importantly I do not want to see our public school and university system suffer a lack of funding – our education system is the true engine of our economy!

Alaska's oil belongs to Alaskans and the oil companies should pay for the oil they sell at extreme profits – taxes are the only mechanism the state has to ensure that it profits from this incredible resource.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Angela Larson  
PO Box 80222  
Fairbanks, Alaska 99708

**From:** John Godzina [JGodzina@COLASKA.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 10:14 AM  
**To:** lisa@fairbankschamber.org; Rep. Bill Stoltze; Rep. Bill Thomas; Rep. Anna Fairclough; Rep. Mia Costello; Rep. Bryce Edgmon; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Tammie Wilson; Rep. Mike Doogan; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. David Guttenberg; Rep. Mike Hawker  
**Subject:** HB110

For the record, my name is John Godzina and I live in Fairbanks. We need to pass HB 110 to keep jobs in Alaska – not only the oil jobs but also all of the support ones.

I originally moved to Fairbanks in 1997 and by 2004 I was too nervous about the property market and the potential job market that I moved out-of-state. I remember how hard it was to pull away from our house and head down the highway. It didn't take long until we started looking for another place to live and everywhere we looked we compared to Fairbanks and Alaska. So we decided to move back because despite the potential economic conditions this is a great place to live. We lost a lot of money selling our house in Nevada in 2007 – I can't afford to do that again. We need to keep the economy going with resource development and especially keeping the oil companies involved in our state. I don't want to have to make that hard decision again that I regretted until the day I came back here. As a state we don't want to regret not passing this bill to help insure the economic viability of Alaska's future.

**John Godzina**

*Controller  
Exclusive Paving / URM / Emulsion Products  
907-488-8832 x232*



**From:** northwolf northwolf [northwolfnorthwolf@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 9:31 AM  
**To:** Rep. Bill Stoltze; Rep. Bill Thomas; Rep. Anna Fairclough; Rep. Mia Costello; Rep. Bryce Edgmon; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Tammie Wilson; Rep. Mike Doogan; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. David Guttenberg; Rep. Mike Hawker; lisa@fairbankschamber.org  
**Subject:** No Oil, No Jobs, No Future – No WAY!

To my Representatives;

For the record, my name is DawnRae Dufford and I live in Anchorage. Alaska is the highest taxed oil region in North America. Higher taxes have dampened investment and have made Alaska non-competitive. Investment is being directed outside of our great state to place like Alberta, North Dakota and the Gulf of Mexico. We must make Alaska competitive again, without a competitive edge our "future" will be forced to leave and go elsewhere for work. Our future is now! I urge the House Finance Committee support this legislation – No Oil, No Jobs, No Future – No WAY!

DawnRae Dufford  
1248 Contrary Ct  
Anchorage, AK 99515  
907-344-1452

**From:** Allen Hippler [allen@faulknerwalsh.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 9:28 AM  
**To:** Rep. Bill Stoltze; Rep. Bill Thomas; Rep. Anna Fairclough; Rep. Mia Costello; Rep. Bryce Edgmon; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Tammie Wilson; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. David Guttenberg; Rep. Mike Hawker  
**Subject:** hb 110

Dear Representatives,

I am writing in support of HB 110.

In short, the oil pipeline is running dry. I am 30 years old, and have 6 children. Will my children grow up in a state that has a viable petroleum industry, capable of shouldering most of the burden of state government? Or will we have killed the goose that lays the golden egg by that time? I understand and sympathize with the conclusion that a reduction in taxes will immediately reduce government revenues. That is true. But over the long run, this reduction in taxes shall incentivize investment, and keep the oil industry viable for decades to come.

It seems that most of the opposition to a review of ACES comes from people with a 'defeatist' attitude; namely, that oil production will decline regardless of tax burden. I take the more optimistic (and realistic) approach based on basic economic theory: behavior is altered by incentives. If we make it profitable to drill on the slope, companies will do so.

I would like to thank you in advance for your service and thoughtful consideration.

Allen Hippler  
CFO  
Faulkner Walsh Constructors  
Rivercity Development  
Suite 881 LLC  
Top Fuel Co LLC  
Kuskokwim Seafoods  
(907)344-2522 (ph)  
(907)344-2836 (fx)  
(907)830-9995 (cell)

**From:** Jim Simko [jssrvs@mosquitonet.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 9:26 AM  
**To:** Rep. Bill Thomas; Rep. Anna Fairclough; Rep. Mia Costello; Rep. Bryce Edgmon; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Tammie Wilson; Rep. Mike Doogan; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. David Guttenberg; Rep. Mike Hawker  
**Subject:** HB110

We fully support this fine bill HB110 in all respects. Thanks, Jim @ J&S

J&S Services Inc. is a small but efficient Alaska Veteran owned supply company that has been in business here in Alaska since 1986.

Our customers are the State of Alaska, The Alaska Railroad, University of Alaska, Military, and the main cities and villages therein. We have supplied all these facilities on a competitive bid basis since 1986.

J&S Services Inc.  
800 McGrath  
Fairbanks, Alaska 99712  
Ph# 907-4573850  
Fax# 907-4577895  
Cell# 907-5901974  
[jssrvs@mosquitonet.com](mailto:jssrvs@mosquitonet.com)  
Alaska business Lic # 276287  
VOSB

**From:** Anne Kilkenny [annekilkenny@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 23, 2011 9:38 PM  
**To:** Rep. Scott Kawasaki; Rep. Kurt Olson; Rep. Kyle Johansen; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. Lindsey Holmes; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Cathy Munoz; Rep. Max Gruenberg; Rep. Mike Chenault; Rep. Mike Doogan; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. Peggy Wilson; Rep. Pete Petersen; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Neal Foster; Rep. Sharon Cissna; Rep. Wes Keller; Rep. Mike Hawker; Rep. Dan Saddler; Rep. Steve Thompson; Rep. Chris Tuck; Rep. Tammie Wilson  
**Subject:** ACES & K-12

Representative,

**I totally oppose any change in the oil tax structure that reduces the amount of revenue to the State.** Reducing the tax will not increase the amount of oil under ground. I consider oil in the ground the equivalent of money in the bank. I don't care if the current tax structure makes it less profitable for the oil companies and they decrease production, because the oil will only be worth MORE in the future than it is now, and they'll be back when the price is higher.

Oil is not the future of Alaska. Our children are. Let's not give away what little oil we have left and short-change our children in the process.

House Finance passed a budget which represented an increase in expenditures of over 5%, but how much of an increase for k-12????? And the Senate is sweating over appropriating an additional \$36m for k-12 education. \$36 m? that's the skins and seeds! And it is incredibly short-sighted.

Everyone is playing politics with our children, even school boards and administrations. Everyone claims to put children first, but right now Alaska's children are coming last. School board members and school administrators are selling them short in order to stay in the good graces of legislators.

District after district has testified that a 2% increase will STILL mean reducing teaching staffs and increasing class sizes. There is no reason for this when we have a budget surplus and are contemplating giving oil companies \$2 BILLION!

**I believe that the increase in k-12 funding needs to be at least 3% per year (\$170 in year 1 in the BSA).**

To do less, is to rob our children. Our children's generation will be burdened by debt and an aging population like no other. We owe it to them to give them the best education possible so that they will not be crushed by these burdens.

Thank you for consideration of my opinions

Anne Kilkenny  
P. O. Box 870163  
Wasilla, Alaska USA  
99687-0163

907-376-6225

Live Simply. Love Generously. Care Deeply. Speak Kindly. Leave the rest to God.

**Subject:**

FW: HB 110 - Opposed

> Dear Members of the Alaska State Legislature:

>  
> If reducing oil taxes is a such a sure-fire way to increase  
> production, why can't you get concurrence on that from the oil companies?

>  
> From my perspective, the oil companies are probably looking on with  
> amusement, not even bothering to indicate what would please them  
> production wise, while the

>  
> members of the legislature who favor HB110 are frantically putting forth the  
> simplistic theory that reducing taxing will automatically increase production.

>  
> Doesn't appear to be such a slam-dunk to me - or more importantly to the oil  
> companies.

>  
> If you would stake the interests of the citizens of Alaska on the  
> premise lower taxes equal increased production, please seek proof to  
> support that proposition

>  
> before giving away the store.

>  
> Right now, your only guarantee from the oil companies is that they  
> guarantee nothing.

>  
> Sincerely,

>  
> Andrea Veach  
> PO Box 90534  
> Anchorage, Alaska 99509  
> Home phone: 349-7302

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> Please vote no on HB110.

> Thank you.  
> Andrea Veach  
> PO Box 90534  
> Anchorage, Alaska 99509  
> Phone: 349-7302

**Subject:**

FW: Changing oil and gas taxes not wise at this time

> March 18, 2011

>

> Re: Changing oil taxes is not right for now

>

> Dear Senator Wielechowski:

>

> Changing the oil and gas taxes at this time is insane. Alaska would  
> not be sitting on huge windfall surpluses if not for former Governor  
> Palin's efforts to give us Alaskans a fair share of our rapidly  
> depleting oil reserves on the North Slope.

>

> I ask you and your senate colleagues to resist this urge to give  
> additional subsidies, tax breaks, and incentives that claim to get  
> more Alaskans working. Total lie.

>

> Alaskans need tax incentives to upgrade their homes and make them more  
> energy efficient with a 1% long term loan program.

>

> We need to have our fuel capped at \$3 a gallon as we watch crude oil  
> prices climb into the atmosphere.

>

> We need a transportation subsidy so that Alaskans can afford to travel  
> around their state.

>

> We need a State-Wide Energy Grid so that ALL Alaskans will benefit  
> when our state funds and subsidizes projects like Susitna or any other  
> alternative energy project.

>

> And finally, and perhaps more important, the Governor and legislature  
> need to fully fund the education needs of our state from the huge oil  
> windfall surplus.

>

> And finally, finally, Senator, you are asking the right questions as  
> stated in the news report below.

>

> They need to be answered.

>

> Best regards,

>

> Nels Anderson, Jr.  
> 2440 E Tudor #135  
> Anchorage, Alaska 99507  
> 907-337-9031  
> [ndor@gci.net](mailto:ndor@gci.net)

>

>

>

>

>

> Oil taxes and authorship  
> by FDNMstaff  
> 03.14.11 - 06:36 pm

> - Posted by Christopher Eshleman, Daily News-Miner staff  
>  
>  
>  
> The Senate Resources Committee just dove straight into a question of  
> authorship beneath a proposal to update oil taxes, with a member  
> asking the state's revenue commissioner to make a more direct case for  
> the plan.  
>  
> Sen. Bill Wielechowski of Anchorage told Bryan Butcher, interim  
> commissioner, to avoid relying on oil companies to explain how the  
> proposal might improve the North Slope investment climate.  
>  
> "Is this your bill or is this the industry's bill?" Wielechowski asked  
> Butcher.  
>  
> Butcher answered that "this is the state's bill ... but ultimately  
> you're going to have to hear from the producers, from the companies,"  
> as to expectations of its potential effect.  
>  
> "You shouldn't be relying on industry to prove your case,"  
> Wielechowski replied. He challenged Butcher to introduce his own  
> analysis of how well the cuts, which would rewrite a number of  
> sections in tax law, might work in influencing exploration. "This is  
> not the industry's burden," he said. "This is your bill. You need to come in and prove your  
case."  
>  
> Butcher said investments are decisions for private companies to make.  
> "As the public sector, we can't say we're going to force the private  
> sector to do A, B, C if this passes," he said.  
>  
> The exchange, halfway through a committee hearing, drew a measured  
> follow-up from commission member Sen. Lesil McGuire. She asked the  
> panel to guard against allowing an adversarial, "courtroom climate"  
> during upcoming hearings and suggested signs to date don't suggest oil  
> companies themselves had a significant hand in writing the plan.  
> McGuire noted policy makers and administrators often propose bills as  
> the result of high public interest, although she conceded some derive  
> almost directly from industry requests.  
>  
> The plan to cut taxes follows a campaign season focused in large part  
> on debate over declining throughput in the trans-Alaska oil pipeline.  
> "Those are facts, and those facts bear consideration," McGuire said of  
> declining oil production.  
>  
> © newsminer.com 2011

**Subject:**

FW: Public Testimony on Oil Tax; Pushing for Parking for Anchorage Hikers

Gentlemen:

I do not support the Governor's oil tax plan. Please vote no. Let's use the extra money from the current tax system to invest in alternative energy or educating Alaskans! Alaska needs to leverage its political stability and having a known oil resource to its advantage. Just say no.

Karl Kassel was recently spot-lighted in the News Miner for his extremely efficient home design. Surely there's more to be done. Invest in Alaska, not in multinational corporations. Entice smaller oil companies not the giants who have obtained hundreds of billions in profits over the past few decades.

Thank you.

Craig Partyka  
PO Box 457  
Ester AK 99725



**Subject:**

FW: Reminder - Public Testimony On Oil Tax Bill Today and Tomorrow

Dear Editor:

Governor Parnell's idea to rollback two billion dollars in oil taxes is a mistake. There is no guarantee whatsoever from the oil industry, which has made record profits in our state, that they would reinvest in Alaska. We need those revenues to fund education, to build roads, and to create the infrastructure that will be there when the oil companies leave.

Sincerely,  
Bridget Smith and Jim Asper  
137 Sixth Street  
Juneau, AK 99801  
586-1411

Keep fighting them.

Bridget Smith

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 9:51 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** New Pom:HB 110 Production Tax On Oil And Gas

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Julie Anderson  
432 W 10th Ave

Anchorage 99501, Julie574  
[juliea@gci.net](mailto:juliea@gci.net)

I urge you to support the HB110 and pass this legislation NOW! We cannot afford to wait another year. I see my friends and family losing jobs every year. Good jobs - for both rural and urban people.  
Thank you - Julie



# Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the Finance  
House Resources Committee  
committee name  
committee on HB 110 dated 3/25/11  
bill/subject

I support the house bill  
No. 110 in the legislature of the  
State of Alaska.

Yes, I love Alaska!

Signed:

Kristen Mucci  
Testifier

Representing (Optional)

3801 Congress Circle Unit D  
Address

230-0618  
Phone No.



# Alaska State Legislature

Please enter into the record my testimony to the House Finance Committee  
committee name  
committee on HB 110 dated 03/25/11  
bill/subject

I am in favor of HB110. The long term effects on our economy, our families, and our pipeline will be detrimental if we don't act now to attract and engage oil business. Thank you for your consideration.

Signed: *Michael*

Testifier

Representing (Optional)

5950 E. Liberty Ct. Wasilla, AK 99654

Address

607.599.8234

Phone No.