Carmen L. Gutierrez
529 W. 19th Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

February 27, 2014

To the Honorable Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee,
Senator John Coghill
To the Honorable Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee
State Capital
Juneau, AK 99801-1162

Dear Chairman Coghill and Member Senators,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on SB 108. As a former criminal defense attorney for 25 years followed by the privilege of serving the state as Deputy Commissioner for the Department of Corrections, I have observed first-hand the need for the criminal justice reforms for which this Committee has so tirelessly worked to advance. I thank this Committee for its courageousness in promoting needed revisions aimed at reducing recidivism. Every former offender who is able to successfully return to his or her community means one less victim, one less crime, and one less costly prosecution.

I believe that SB 108 is another step in that direction. As it stands today, every person who is arrested for a criminal offense has a permanent public record of that arrest. In felony cases, a detailed statement of alleged factual detail accompanies the fact of arrest and charge.

The name of the person arrested and then convicted always remains available to the public through the period of prosecution and after conviction. That is fair.

What is not fair and not in keeping with our system of criminal justice is that under current law a person's name and fact of charge remains available to the public even when the prosecutor dismisses the charge, the charge is dismissed by the court or after a jury acquits the person. Despite dismissal of or acquittal on the charge, the fact of arrest and the accompanying documentation forever remains available for public examination.

The reality is that when the fact of arrest after dismissal continues to be made available for public inspection either by an in-person visit to the courthouse or by review on CourtView, the arrest often becomes synonymous with conviction in the

mind of those doing the inspecting. This greatly impedes a person's ability to find employment, rent an apartment and to live a life free of stigmatization for a crime for which the person was never convicted.

Numerous individuals – both men and woman – in Alaska are arrested for the crime of Assault in the Fourth Degree. A person may charged with this offense if a police officer concludes there is probable cause to believe that a person by "words or other conduct recklessly places another person in fear of imminent physical injury." [1]

AS18.65.530 appropriately provides that in a domestic relations context, when a person reports to the police that she/he was placed in fear of imminent physical injury, the police must arrest the alleged offender for Domestic Violence Assault when the officer decides there is probable cause to believe the assault took place.

Needless to say, police officers taxed with a tremendous amount of work have to make snap decisions when deciding if there is probable cause to believe an assault occurred. The soundness of the police officer's decision often depends on the experience of the officer and the officer's perceived need to diffuse a situation.

After the person is arrested and charged, a prosecutor later has more time to review the merits of the case. In some cases, upon more careful review and with the benefit of additional facts, the prosecutor determines the charge doesn't merit prosecution and dismisses it. The individual arrested, however, is forever stigmatized by his arrest. It will forever be a part of the Alaska Court System records available for public inspection.

A good number of cases filed in Alaska are ultimately dismissed. For example, in FY 13, the state filed 6,675 felony cases. Of those, the state dismissed 1,289 cases. Of the 29,562 misdemeanor cases filed, the state dismissed 9,508.[2]

Our constitutional right to due process of law is intended to protect citizens from being treated as convicted persons without first being afforded certain procedural safeguards. That is the way it should be and it is our responsibility to uphold our system of criminal justice, the shining example and envy of other countries.

There are those who would have you believe that their individual judgment is more knowing than the collective wisdom of a jury; that a person's record should forever be stigmatized by an arrest and charge even though the prosecutor dismissed the charge or a jury of his peers acquitted him of the charge. These same individuals would have you believe that an arrest should be equated to conviction of crime. Alaska citizens, judges, prosecutors, and defense attorneys will always have different

---

[1] AS 11.41.230, a class A misdemeanor offense.
[2] Data provided by the Alaska Court System on February 26, 2014.

opinions regarding the facts of a case. That is why our system requires due process under the law before someone is convicted of crime and shoulders the burdens associated criminal conviction.

For these reasons, the fact of an arrest and charge without conviction should not forever tarnish the reputation of an Alaskan citizen. SB 108 is intended to rectify these unintended and harmful consequences that in many cases impact a person's ability to successfully live and work in our communities.

Thank you for any consideration you may give my comments.

Sincerely yours,

Carmen L. Gutierrez